

RENEWAL RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the charter of Rolling Hills Public Charter School (RHPCS) be renewed for a five (5) year term.

School Overview

SUMMARY

Rolling Hills Public Charter School (RHPCS) is a public charter school serving Boise and West Ada area students in grades K-8. Originally based on the Harbor Method, RHPCS continues to use direct instruction, service learning, and a teach-to-the-high philosophy to develop engaged and educated citizens.

The charter includes the following commitments:

- Students will read at grade level by grade 3.
- Students will write and compute math at grade level by grade 4.
- Students will demonstrate annual improvement or perform in the top quartiles on state-required assessments.

Although the standards detailed in the performance certificate supplant those in the charter, these commitments represent the nature and anticipated effectiveness of the school as promised by its founders.

The petition for Rolling Hills Public Charter School was approved by the PCSC in September 2004. The school opened in fall 2005.

MISSION

The Rolling Hills Public Charter School mission is to develop educated and engaged citizens through high expectations for student behavior and academic success in a small, safe, structured environment.

LEADERSHIP

Name	Title	Term
Scot Carley	Chairman	10/2016 – 10/2018
Christopher Wilson	Vice Chairman	10/2015 – 10/2017
Jennifer Sweet-Fears	Treasurer	10/2015 – 10/2017
Jon Worbets	Treasurer	10/2016 – 10/2018
Mark Pomerans	Director	10/2016 – 10/2018
Joe Critchfield	Director	10/2015 – 10/2017
Shane Pratt	Administrator	N/A

Academic Performance Summary

RHPCS's academic performance has remained strong throughout the performance certificate term.

RHPCS's non-white and LEP student populations are lower than those of the state and surrounding district, while its special needs population is higher. The school's FRL population is comparable to that of the district, but lower than the state average.

DURING CURRENT PERFORMANCE CERTIFICATE TERM

Year	Academic Accountability Rating
2013-14*	Good Standing
2014-15**	Good Standing
2015-16**	Good Standing
2016-17***	Good Standing

The school's annual performance reports, provided in Exhibit G, include details including proficiency rates, graduation rate, and outcome comparisons with surrounding districts and the state.

*The 2013-14 annual report used 2012-13 academic data, which was the most recent available.

**2014-15 and 2015-16 academic results reflect use of the ISAT by SBAC; however, the performance framework used in these years was designed based on the Star Rating System and former ISAT. As a result, framework outcomes general skewed low.

***The school has asked the PCSC to consider its 2016-17 outcomes using the new performance framework which is designed to reflect continued use of the ISAT by SBAC, rather than the outdated framework.

KEY DESIGN ELEMENTS

Element	Evident?
Create a physically and emotionally safe learning environment.	Yes
Utilize citizenship education through the Six Pillars of Character.	Yes
Integrate service learning.	Yes
Teach to the high through the core curriculum, including the Shurley method.	Yes
Offer electives to middle school students.	Yes

Operational Performance Summary

RHPCS's operational performance has remained strong throughout the performance certificate term.

DURING CURRENT PERFORMANCE CERTIFICATE TERM

Year	Operational Accountability Rating
2013-14	Honor
2014-15	Honor
2015-16	Honor
2016-17	Honor

The school's annual performance reports, provided in Exhibit G, contain details including the nature of any operational shortcomings and contextual information, when applicable.

ENROLLMENT HISTORY

Year of Operation	Maximum Enrollment	Actual Enrollment
9 (2013-14)	278	250
10 (2014-15)	278	247
11 (2015-16)	278	243
12 (2016-17)	278	256

BOARD AND ADMINISTRATIVE TURNOVER

RHPCS's governing board and administration have remained stable throughout the performance certificate term.

Financial Performance Summary

RHPCS's financial performance has remained strong throughout the performance certificate term.

DURING CURRENT PERFORMANCE CERTIFICATE TERM

Year	Financial Accountability Rating
2013-14	Honor
2014-15	Honor
2015-16	Good Standing
2016-17	Honor

The school's annual performance reports, provided in Exhibit G, include details regarding outcomes on specific, industry-based near-term and long-term financial measures.

Renewal Process

Rolling Hills Public Charter School

EVENT	DATE	NOTES
Performance Certificate Executed by School and Authorizer	10/10/2013	Certificate execution was preceded by a series of meetings with school leadership, during which certificate and framework terms were discussed and customized.
2014 Annual Report Issued to School	3/2015	A draft of the report was initially issued in January 2014; the school did not provide a response.
2015 Annual Report Issued to School	1/2016	A draft of the report was initially issued in December 2015; the school did provide a response.
2016 Annual Report Issued to School	1/2017	A draft of the report was initially issued in December 2016; the school did provide a response.
Renewal Process Orientation Meeting	3/17/2017	PCSC staff met with school leadership (all school board members and administrators were invited) to discuss the renewal process and highlight any significant concerns/issues.
Renewal Process Follow-up Letter Provided to School	3/17/2017	This letter summarized material covered during renewal process orientation meeting
Renewal Guidance & Application Provided to School	3/20/2017	The statutory deadline for issuance of renewal guidance and applications is November 15.
Auxiliary Data Submission Opportunity (optional)	7/17/2017	The school did not provide auxiliary performance data.
Pre-Renewal Site Visit	N/A (Automatic Renewal)	Two independent reviewers joined one PCSC staff member for a one-day site visit to the school.
2017 Annual Report Issued to School	11/15/2017	No draft was issued due to timing of data availability. However, the school had opportunity to respond in its renewal application. The annual report summarized the school's performance record to date and provided notice of any weaknesses or concerns that may jeopardize the school's position in seeking renewal. The school was provided with its academic results on both the old and new performance frameworks, and selected the new framework for renewal consideration purposes.
Renewal Application Received from School	N/A (Automatic Renewal)	The statutory deadline for renewal applications is December 15.
PCSC Staff's Renewal Recommendation Issued to School	1/12/2018	Schools have four weeks in which to consider PCSC staff's recommendation and determine whether they wish to stipulate or request a public hearing.



Rolling Hills Public Charter School



ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2016-2017

Idaho Public Charter School Commission
304 North 8th Street, Room 242
Boise, Idaho 83702

Phone: (208) 332-1561
chartercommission.idaho.gov

Alan Reed, Chairman
Tamara Baysinger, Director

DRAFT Distributed November 2017

Introduction

Each year, Idaho's Public Charter School Commission (PCSC) issues a performance report to every school in its portfolio. The annual report serves several purposes:

1. To provide transparent, data-driven information about charter school quality;
2. To ensure that charter school boards have access to clear expectations and are provided maximum opportunity to correct any deficiencies prior to their renewal year; and
3. To inform mid-term decision making, such as the evaluation of charter amendment proposals.

This report contains an overview of the school, including its history, mission, leadership, and demographics. The overview is followed by the school's performance framework, including outcomes for the most recently completed school year.

The performance framework is comprised of four sections: Academic, Mission-Specific, Operational, and Financial. Each section contains a number of measures intended to evaluate the school's performance against specific criteria. The scorecard pages of the framework offer a summary of the school's scores and accountability designation ranging from Honor (high) to Critical (low).

Due to significant and ongoing changes to the state's school accountability system, many of the academic measures in the performance framework could not be scored this year. Data for all of the growth measures and most of the post-secondary readiness measures was unavailable. As a result, academic framework scores cannot reflect the intended scope of information.

Additionally, although ISAT Math and English Language Arts proficiency data was available, it was gathered using an assessment that the state adopted subsequent to the framework's development. The cut scores used to establish proficiency remain under evaluation, and it cannot be determined at this time whether or not the rating categories within each framework measure are appropriate in the context of the new assessment.

For these reasons, we have eliminated academic framework scores from this report and instead provided comparisons of the public charter schools' proficiency rates to those of the state as a whole, as well as to area schools that serve similar grade ranges. In some cases, comparisons cannot be provided because the data is masked per state law or statistical irrelevance.

To facilitate a clearer context for the academic results contained in this report, the demographic, enrollment, and school leadership data provided is from the 2016-17 school year. Updated enrollment and school leadership information is available upon request from the school or PCSC office.

Schools had an opportunity to correct or clarify their framework outcomes prior to the publication of this report.

Public charter school operations are inherently complex. For this reason, readers are encouraged to consider the scores on individual measures within the framework as a starting point for gaining full, contextualized understanding of the school's performance.

Additional information about how the performance framework was developed and how results may be interpreted is available on the PCSC's website: chartercommission.idaho.gov.

School Overview

Mission Statement	The Rolling Hills Public Charter School mission is to develop educated and engaged citizens through high expectations for student behavior and academic success in a small, safe, structured environment.	
Key Design Elements	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Create a physically and emotionally safe learning environment; • Utilize citizenship education through the Six Pillars of Character; • Integrate service learning; • Teach to the high through the core curriculum, including the Shurley method; • Offer electives to middle school students. 	
School Contact Information	Address: 8900 N. Horseshoe Bend Road, Boise, Idaho 83714	Phone: 208-939-5400
Surrounding District	West Ada School District	
Neighboring District	Boise Independent School District	
Opening Year	2005	
Current Term	October 10, 2013 – June 30, 2018	
Grades Served	K – 8	
Enrollment	Approved: 278	Actual: 256

School Leadership (2016-2017)	Role
Scott Carley	Chairman
Joe Critchfield	Vice Chairman
Jennifer Sweet-Fears	Treasurer
Rachel Tracey	Secretary
Rebecca Evans	Member
Christopher Wilson	Member
Shane Pratt	Administrator

	School	Surrounding District (West Ada)	Neighboring District (Boise)	State
Non-White	██████	19.56%	24.98%	25.64%
Limited English Proficiency	██████	3.35%	8.18%	5.56%
Special Needs	██████	9.03%	10.68%	9.62%
Free & Reduced Lunch	██████	27.64%	52.35%	48.73%

Academic Measure	Result
Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding Proficiency in Math	██████
Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding Proficiency in English Language Arts	██████
Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding Proficiency In Science	██████
Graduation Rate (4-year cohort data from 2016)	N/A

ACADEMIC	Measure	Points Possible K-8	Points Earned K-8	Points Possible 9-12	Points Earned 9-12	Points Possible K-12	Points Earned K-12	Points Possible Alternative	Points Earned Alternative
State Proficiency Comparison	1a	50	45	50	0	50	0		
	1b	50	41	50	0	50	0		
District Proficiency Comparison	2a	50	33	50	0	50	0	50	0
	2b	50	35	50	0	50	0	50	0
Criterion-Referenced Growth	3a	100	49			50	0		
	3b	100	63			50	0		
Norm-Referenced Growth	4a			100	0	50	0	50	0
	4b			100	0	50	0	50	0
Post-Secondary Readiness	5a			125	0	125	0	100	0
Total Academic Points		400	266	525	0	525	0	300	0
% of Academic Points			67%		0%		0%		0%

MISSION-SPECIFIC	Measure	Points Possible	Points Earned
	1		
	2		
	3		
	4		
	5		
	6		
Total Mission-Specific Points		0	0
% of Mission-Specific Points			#DIV/0!

OPERATIONAL	Measure	Points Possible	Points Earned	FINANCIAL	Measure	Points Possible	Points Earned
Educational Program	1a	25	0	Near-Term	1a	50	0
	1b	25	0		1b	50	0
	1c	25	0		1c	50	0
	1d	25	0		1d	50	0
Financial Management & Oversight	2a	25	0	Sustainability	2a	50	0
	2b	25	0		2b	50	0
	2c	25	0		2c	50	0
Governance & Reporting	3a	25	0		2d	50	0
	3b	25	0	Total Financial Points		400	0
	3c	25	0	% of Financial Points			0%
	3d	25	0				
	3e	25	0				
	3f	25	0				
School Environment	4a	25	0				
	4b	25	0				
Additional Obligations	5a	25	0				
Total Operational Points		400	0				
% of Operational Points			0%				

The financial measures above are based on industry standards. They are not intended to reflect nuances of the school's financial status. Please see the financial section of this framework for relevant contextual information that may alleviate concern.

ACCOUNTABILITY DESIGNATION	Range (% of Points Possible)	Academic Gen Ed Outcome	Academic Alt Outcome	Range	Mission Specific Outcome	Range	Operational Outcome	Range	Financial Outcome
Honor	75% - 100%			75% - 100%		90% - 100%		85% - 100%	
Good Standing	55% - 74%	67%	0%	55% - 74%	NA	80% - 89%	0%	65% - 84%	0%
Remediation	31% - 54%			31% - 54%		61% - 79%		46% - 64%	
Critical	0% - 30%			0% - 30%		0% - 60%		0% - 45%	

School outcomes will be evaluated in light of contextual information, including student demographics, school mission, and state/federal requirements.

ACADEMIC K-8

All proficiency and growth measures will be scored using the ISAT by SBAC, or any state-required standardized test as may replace it. Subject area (math and ELA) may be replaced by similar subject areas if necessary due to statewide changes. On all applicable measures, standard rounding to the nearest whole number will be used for scoring purposes. Measures based on ISAT outcomes exclude alternate ISAT data; as a result, the outcomes shown may differ slightly from those published on the State Department of Education's website.

INDICATOR 1: STATE PROFICIENCY COMPARISON			
Measure 1a	Do math proficiency rates meet or exceed the state average?	Result	Points Possible
Math Proficiency Rate Comparison to State	Exceeds Standard: The school's proficiency rate in math exceeds the state average by 16 percentage points or more.		50
	Meets Standard: The school's proficiency rate in math is equal to the state average, or exceeds it by 1 - 15 percentage points.	X	30 - 45
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school's proficiency rate in math is 1 - 15 percentage points lower than the state average.		15 - 29
	Falls Far Below Standard: The school's proficiency rate in math is 16 or more percentage points lower than the state average.		0 - 14
			45
Notes	The state average will be determined using the same grade set as is served by the public charter school.		
Measure 1b	Do English Language Arts proficiency rates meet or exceed the state average?	Result	Points Possible
ELA Proficiency Rate Comparison to State	Exceeds Standard: The school's proficiency rate in ELA exceeds the state average by 16 percentage points or more.		50
	Meets Standard: The school's proficiency rate in ELA is equal to the state average, or exceeds it by 1 - 15 percentage points.	X	30 - 45
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school's proficiency rate in ELA is 1 - 15 percentage points lower than the state average.		15 - 29
	Falls Far Below Standard: The school's proficiency rate in ELA is 16 or more percentage points lower than the state average.		0 - 14
			41
Notes	The state average will be determined using the same grade set as is served by the public charter school.		

ACADEMIC K-8

INDICATOR 2: DISTRICT PROFICIENCY COMPARISON			
Measure 2a	Do math proficiency rates meet or exceed the district average?	Result	Points Possible Points Earned
Math Proficiency Rate			
Comparison to District	<p>Exceeds Standard: The school's proficiency rate in math either exceeds the district average by 16 percentage points or more, or is at least 80%.</p> <p>Meets Standard: The school's proficiency rate in math is equal to the district average, or exceeds it by 1 - 15 percentage points.</p> <p>Does Not Meet Standard: The school's proficiency rate in math is 1 - 15 percentage points lower than the district average.</p> <p>Falls Far Below Standard: The school's proficiency rate in math is 16 or more percentage points lower than the district average.</p> <p>The district average will be determined using the same grade set as is served by the public charter school.</p>		<p>50</p> <p>0</p> <p>X 30 - 45 33</p> <p>15 - 29 0</p> <p>0 - 14 0</p> <hr/> <p>33</p>
Notes	<p>Because some schools have primary attendance areas crossing district lines, the school and authorizer will agree upon execution of the performance certificate which district (or other comparison group, in the case of virtual schools) will be used for comparison purposes. The comparison group should represent a majority of the school's enrollment.</p>		
Measure 2b	Do ELA proficiency rates meet or exceed the district average?	Result	Points Possible Points Earned
ELA Proficiency Rate			
Comparison to District	<p>Exceeds Standard: The school's proficiency rate in ELA either exceeds the district average by 16 percentage points or more, or is at least 80%.</p> <p>Meets Standard: The school's proficiency rate in ELA is equal to the district average, or exceeds it by 1 - 15 percentage points.</p> <p>Does Not Meet Standard: The school's proficiency rate in ELA is 1 - 15 percentage points lower than the district average.</p> <p>Falls Far Below Standard: The school's proficiency rate in ELA is 16 or more percentage points lower than the district average.</p> <p>The district average will be determined using the same grade set as is served by the public charter school.</p>		<p>50</p> <p>0</p> <p>X 30 - 45 35</p> <p>15 - 29 0</p> <p>0 - 14 0</p> <hr/> <p>35</p>
Notes	<p>Because some schools have primary attendance areas crossing district lines, the school and authorizer will agree upon execution of the performance certificate which district (or other comparison group, in the case of virtual schools) will be used for comparison purposes. The comparison group should represent a majority of the school's enrollment.</p>		

ACADEMIC K-8

INDICATOR 3: CRITERION-REFERENCED STUDENT GROWTH (GRADES K-8)				
Measure 3a	Are students making adequate academic growth to achieve math proficiency within 3 years or by 10th grade?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Criterion-Referenced Growth				
Math	Exceeds Standard: At least 85% of students are making adequate academic growth in math. Meets Standard: Between 70% and 84% of students are making adequate academic growth in math. Does Not Meet Standard: Between 50% and 69% of students are making adequate academic growth in math. Falls Far Below Standard: Fewer than 50% of students are making adequate academic growth in math.	68	76-100 51-75 26-50 0-25	0 0 49 <hr/> 0
Notes				49

Measure 3b	Are students making adequate academic growth to achieve English Language Arts proficiency within 3 years or by 10th grade?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Criterion-Referenced Growth				
ELA	Exceeds Standard: At least 85% of students are making adequate academic growth in ELA. Meets Standard: Between 70% and 84% of students are making adequate academic growth in ELA. Does Not Meet Standard: Between 50% and 69% of students are making adequate academic growth in ELA. Falls Far Below Standard: Fewer than 50% of students are making adequate academic growth in ELA.	77	76-100 51-75 26-50 0-25	0 63 0 <hr/> 0
Notes				63

PUBLIC CHARTER SCHOOL COMMISSION - PERFORMANCE FRAMEWORK

Name of School: Rolling Hills Public Charter School Year Opened: 2005 Operating Term: 10/10/13 - 6/30/18 Date Executed: 10/10/2013

Introduction

Idaho's charter school legislation requires each public charter school authorizer to develop a Performance Framework on which the provisions of the Performance Certificate will be based. Performance Frameworks must clearly set forth the academic and operational performance indicators, measures, and metrics that will guide the authorizer's evaluations of each public charter school, and must contain the following:

- Indicators, measures, and metrics for student academic proficiency;
- Indicators, measures, and metrics for student academic growth;
- Indicators, measures, and metrics for college and career readiness (for high schools); and
- Indicators, measures, and metrics for board performance and stewardship, including compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and terms of the performance certificate.

The measurable performance targets contained within the framework must require, at a minimum, that each school meet applicable federal, state, and authorizer goals for student achievement. This Performance Framework was adopted by the Public Charter School Commission (PCSC) on August 30, 2013, and is intended for use with non-alternative public charter schools authorized by the PCSC.

Performance Framework Structure

The Performance Framework is divided into four sections: Academic, Mission-Specific, Operational, and Financial. The Academic and Mission-Specific sections comprise the primary indicators on which most renewal or non-renewal decisions will be based. The Operational and Financial sections contribute additional indicators that will, except in cases of egregious failure to meet standards, be considered secondary.

Academic:

A high percentage (60%) of a school's total score for the Academic & Mission Specific Accountability Designation reflects the school's performance on a set of academic measures. These measures are the same for all non-alternative schools. The "Meets Standard" rating for each measure is designed to align closely with state minimum standards as established in Idaho's ESEA waiver and Star Rating System.

Mission-Specific:

A significant portion (40%) of a school's total score for the Academic & Mission Specific Accountability Designation reflects the school's performance on a set of mission-specific measures. These measures may be academic or non-academic in nature, but must be objective and data-driven. The number and weighting of mission-specific measures should be established during one-on-one negotiations between the school and authorizer.

During their first Performance Certificate term only, schools authorized to open in or before Fall 2014 may choose to opt out of the Mission-Specific section of the framework. Schools choosing to opt out of Mission-Specific measures for their first term agree that the weight of those measures will be placed instead on the Academic section, which then becomes the single, primary factor considered for purposes of renewal or non-renewal.

Operational:

Operational indicators comprise a secondary element for consideration during the renewal process. While each school will receive a score in the operational section, this score should not be used as the primary rationale for non-renewal unless the non-compliance with organizational expectations is severe or systemic. Particularly for a school whose academic performance meets or exceeds standards, poor results in this area are more likely to lead to a conditional renewal decision than to non-renewal.

Financial:

Financial indicators comprise a secondary element for consideration during the renewal process. While each school will receive a score in the financial section, this score should not be used as the primary rationale for non-renewal unless the school's financial state at the time of renewal is dire. Particularly for a school whose academic performance meets or exceeds standards, poor results in this area are more likely to lead to a conditional renewal decision than to non-renewal. The PCSC may also elect to renew a financially troubled school that is clearly providing a high quality education, but notify the SDE of the situation so that the payment schedule may be modified in order to safeguard taxpayer dollars.

Accountability Designations

Calculation of the percentage of eligible points earned for each school will guide the determination of that school's accountability designation: Honor, Good Standing, Remediation, or Critical. The accountability designation will, in turn, guide the PCSC's renewal or non-renewal decision-making. Measures for which a school lacks data due to factors such as grade configuration or small size will not contribute to that school's accountability designation. The PCSC will consider contextual factors affecting a school's accountability designation when making renewal or non-renewal decisions.

Honor:

Schools achieving at this level in all categories (academic, mission-specific, operational, and financial) are eligible for special recognition and will be recommended for renewal. Replication and expansion proposals are likely to succeed. The Framework places schools that earn 75-100% of the combined academic and mission-specific points possible in this accountability designation. It is possible for 5-star schools, high-range 4-star schools with solid mission-specific outcomes, and mid-range 4-star schools with strong mission-specific outcomes to receive an honor designation. Schools that fall into this point-percentage category but have poor operational and/or financial outcomes will not be eligible for an honor designation.

Good Standing:

Schools achieving at this level will be recommended for renewal; however, conditional renewal may be recommended if operational and/or financial outcomes are poor. Replication and expansion proposals will be considered. To be placed in this category, schools must receive the appropriate percentage of the combined academic and mission-specific points possible and have at least a 3-star rating. The Framework places schools that earn 55-74% of the combined academic and mission-specific points possible in this accountability designation. It is possible for 3-star or 4-star schools with solid mission-specific outcomes, or 5-star schools with poor mission-specific, financial, and/or operational outcomes to receive a good standing designation. Although 2-star schools with strong mission-specific outcomes could fall into this point-percentage range, they would not be eligible to receive a good standing designation due to their star ratings; the Framework is drafted thus in recognition of Idaho's statutory provision that the performance framework shall, at a minimum, require that each school meet applicable federal and state goals for student achievement.

Remediation:

Schools achieving at this level may be recommended for non-renewal or conditional renewal, particularly if operational and/or financial outcomes are poor. Replication and expansion proposals are unlikely to succeed. The Framework places schools that earn 31-54% of the combined academic and mission-specific points possible in this accountability designation. It is possible for 3-star schools with poor mission-specific outcomes, 2-star schools, or 1-star schools with strong mission-specific outcomes to receive a remediation designation.

Critical:

Schools achieving at this level face a strong likelihood of non-renewal, particularly if operational and/or financial outcomes are also poor. Replication and expansion proposals should not be considered. The Framework places schools that earn less than 30% of the combined academic and mission-specific points possible in this accountability designation. It is possible for 1-star schools or 2-star schools with poor mission-specific outcomes to receive a Critical designation.

RHPCS --- PERFORMANCE FRAMEWORK SCORING

ACADEMIC	Measure	Possible Elem / MS Points	% of Total Points	POINTS EARNED	Possible HS Points	% of Total Points	POINTS EARNED		
State/Federal Accountability	1a	25	0%	0.00	Rolling Hills Public Charter School has requested that the PCSC consider its 2017 academic outcomes on the new performance framework.				
	1b	25	0%	0.00					
Proficiency	2a	75	0%	0.00					
	2b	75	0%	0.00					
	2c	75	0%	0.00					
Growth	3a	100	0%	0.00					
	3b	100	0%	0.00					
	3c	100	0%	0.00					
	3d	75	0%	0.00					
	3e	75	0%	0.00					
	3f	75	0%	0.00					
	3g	100	0%	0.00					
College & Career Readiness	4a								
	4b1 / 4b2								
	4c								
Total Possible Academic Points		900	0%						
- Points from Non-Applicable		750							
Total Possible Academic Points for This School		150							
Total Academic Points Received				0.00					
% of Possible Academic Points for This School				0.00%					

MISSION-SPECIFIC	Measure	Possible Points	% of Total Points	POINTS EARNED	Possible Points	% of Total Points	POINTS EARNED
Safe Learning Environment / Pro Social Behavior	1	33.33	13.3%	33.33			
Civic Engagement	2	33.33	13.3%	33.33			
Reading Proficiency, Young Students	3	33.33	13.3%	26.67			
Total Possible Mission-Specific Points		99.99	40%	93.33			
Total Mission-Specific Points Received				93.33			
% of Possible Mission-Specific Points Received				93.34%			
TOTAL POSSIBLE ACADEMIC & MISSION-SPECIFIC POINTS		249.99					
TOTAL POINTS RECEIVED				93.33			
% OF POSSIBLE ACADEMIC & MISSION-SPECIFIC POINTS				37.33%			

OPERATIONAL	Measure	Points Possible	% of Total Points	Points Earned
Educational Program	1a	25	6%	25.00
	1b	25	6%	25.00
	1c	25	6%	25.00
	1d	25	6%	25.00
Financial Management & Oversight	2a	25	6%	25.00
	2b	25	6%	25.00
Governance & Reporting	3a	25	6%	25.00
	3b	25	6%	25.00
Students & Employees	4a	25	6%	25.00
	4b	25	6%	25.00
	4c	25	6%	25.00
	4d	25	6%	25.00
School Environment	5a	25	6%	15.00
	5b	25	6%	25.00
	5c	25	6%	25.00
Additional Obligations	6a	25	6%	25.00
TOTAL OPERATIONAL POINTS		400	100%	390.00
% OF POSSIBLE OPERATIONAL POINTS				97.50%

FINANCIAL	Measure	Points Possible	% of Total Points	Points Earned
Near-Term Measures	1a	50	13%	50.00
	1b	50	13%	50.00
	1c	50	13%	50.00
	1d	50	13%	50.00
Sustainability Measures	2a	50	13%	50.00
	2b	50	13%	50.00
	2c	50	13%	50.00
	2d	50	13%	50.00
TOTAL FINANCIAL POINTS		400	100%	400.00
% OF POSSIBLE FINANCIAL POINTS				100.00%

The financial measures included here are based on industry standards. They are not intended to reflect the nuances of a school's financial status. A low score on any single measure indicates only the *possibility* of a problem. In many cases, contextual information that alleviates concern is provided in the notes that accompany individual measures. Please see the financial section of this framework for additional detail.

RHPCS --- PERFORMANCE FRAMEWORK SCORING

ACCOUNTABILITY DESIGNATION	Academic & Mission-Specific		Operational		Financial	
	Range	% of Points Possible Earned	Range	% of Points Possible Earned	Range	% of Points Possible Earned
Honor Schools achieving at this level in all categories are eligible for special recognition and will be recommended for renewal. Replication and expansion proposals are likely to succeed.	75% - 100% of points possible		90% - 100% of points possible	97.50%	85% - 100% of points possible	100.00%
Good Standing Schools achieving at this level in Academic & Mission-Specific will be recommended for renewal; however, conditional renewal may be recommended if Operational and/or Financial outcomes are poor. Replication and expansion proposals will be considered. To be placed in this category for Academic & Mission-Specific, schools must receive the appropriate percentage of points and have at least a Three Star Rating.	55% - 74% of points possible		80% - 89% of points possible		65% - 84% of points possible	
Remediation Schools achieving at this level in Academic & Mission-Specific may be recommended for non-renewal or conditional renewal, particularly if Operational and/or Financial outcomes are also poor. Replication and expansion proposals are unlikely to succeed.	31% - 54% of points possible		61% - 79% of points possible		46% - 64% of points possible	
Critical Schools achieving at this level in Academic & Mission-Specific level face a strong likelihood of non-renewal, particularly if Operational and/or Financial outcomes are also poor. Replication and expansion proposals should not be considered.	0% - 30% of points possible		0% - 60% of points possible		0% - 45% of points possible	

RHPCS --- MISSION-SPECIFIC FRAMEWORK

MISSION-SPECIFIC GOALS				
Measure 1	Is the school helping students increase their pro-social behavior?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
	<p>Exceeds Standard: 90% to 100% of 4th and 5th grade students who ranked in tier 1 - 4 on the fall benchmark AIMSWEB Prosocial Behavior Scale Social Skills Improvement System (SSIS) increased by one tier or more by the spring benchmark.</p> <p>Meets Standard: 70% to 89% of 4th and 5th grade students who ranked in tier 1 - 4 on the fall benchmark SSIS increased by one tier or more by the spring benchmark.</p> <p>Does Not Meet Standard: 40% to 69% of 4th and 5th grade students who ranked in tier 1 - 4 on the fall benchmark SSIS increased by one tier or more by the spring benchmark.</p> <p>Falls Far Below Standard: Less than 40% of 4th and 5th grade students who ranked in tier 1 - 4 on the fall benchmark SSIS increased by one tier or more by the spring benchmark.</p>	90.00%	200	200
			160	
			100	
			0	
				<u>200.00</u>
Notes	Results will be reported by the school to the PCSC by October 1 of each year.			
Measure 2	Is the school helping middle school students increase civic engagement through service learning projects?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
	<p>Exceeds Standard: 90% to 100% of 6th through 8th grade students who participate in a service learning project scored at least 40 out of 50 on the Civic Responsibility Level 2 survey tool.</p> <p>Meets Standard: 80% to 89% of 6th through 8th grade students who participate in a service learning project scored at least 40 out of 50 on the Civic Responsibility Level 2 survey tool.</p> <p>Does Not Meet Standard: 30% to 79% of 6th through 8th grade students who participate in a service learning project scored at least 40 out of 50 on the Civic Responsibility Level 2 survey tool.</p> <p>Falls Far Below Standard: Less than 30% of 6th through 8th grade students who participate in a service learning project scored at least 40 out of 50 on the Civic Responsibility Level 2 survey tool.</p>	93.40%	200	200
			160	
			100	
			0	
				<u>200.00</u>
Notes	The assessment tool to be used is the Civic Responsibility Survey Level 2 (Middle School) developed in 1998 by Furco, Muller, and Ammon at the Service Learning Research and Development Center, University of CA, Berkeley. Results will be reported by the school to the PCSC by October 1 of each year.			

RHPCS --- MISSION-SPECIFIC FRAMEWORK

		Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Measure 3	Is the school helping a high percentage of young students reach proficiency in reading?			
	Exceeds Standard: 95% -100% of 1st through 3rd grade students achieved benchmark proficiency on the spring Idaho Reading Indicator (IRI).		200	
	Meets Standard: 80% -94% of 1st through 3rd grade students achieved benchmark proficiency on the spring IRI.	87%	160	160
	Does Not Meet Standard: 50% -79% of 1st through 3rd grade students achieved benchmark proficiency on the spring IRI.		100	
	Falls Far Below Standard: Less than 49% of 1st through 3rd grade students achieved benchmark proficiency on the spring IRI.			
				<hr/> 160.00
Notes	Results will be reported by the school to the PCSC by October 1 of each year.			

INDICATOR 1: EDUCATIONAL PROGRAM			
Measure 1a Implementation of Educational Program	Is the school implementing the material terms of the educational program as defined in the performance certificate?	Result	Points Possible
	Meets Standard: The school implements the material terms of the mission, vision, and educational program in all material respects and the implementation of the educational program reflects the essential elements outlined in the performance certificate, or the school has gained approval for a charter modification to the material terms.	No instances of non-compliance documented	25
	Does Not Meet Standard: School has deviated from the material terms of the mission, vision, and essential elements of the educational program as described in the performance certificate, without approval for a charter modification, such that the program provided differs substantially from the program described in the charter and performance certificate.		0
Notes			25.00
Measure 1b Education Requirements	Is the school complying with applicable education requirements?	Result	Points Possible
	Exceeds Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to education requirements, including but not limited to: Instructional time requirements, graduation and promotion requirements, content standards including the Common Core State Standards, the Idaho State Standards, State assessments, and implementation of mandated programming related to state or federal funding.	No instances of non-compliance documented	25
	Meets Standard: The school has exhibited non-compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to the education requirements; however, matters of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		15
Notes			0
			25.00
Measure 1c Students with Disabilities	Is the school protecting the rights of students with disabilities?	Result	Points Possible
	Exceeds Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to the treatment of students with identified disabilities and those suspected of having a disability, including but not limited to: Equitable access and opportunity to enroll; identification and referral; appropriate development and implementation of IEPs and Section 504 plans; operational compliance, including provision of services in the LRE and appropriate inclusion in the school's academic program, assessments, and extracurricular activities; discipline, including due process protections, manifestation determinations, and behavioral intervention plans; access to the school's facility and program; appropriate use of all available, applicable funding.	No instances of non-compliance documented	25
	Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to the treatment of students with identified disabilities and those suspected of having a disability. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		15
Notes			0
			25.00

		Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Measure 1d English Language Learners	Is the school protecting the rights of English Language Learner (ELL) students?			
	Exceeds Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to requirements regarding ELLs, including but not limited to: Equitable access and opportunity to enroll; required policies related to the service of ELL students; compliance with native language communication requirements; proper steps for identification of students in need of ELL services; appropriate and equitable delivery of services to identified students; appropriate accommodations on assessments; exiting of students from ELL services; and ongoing monitoring of exited students. Matters of non-compliance, if any, are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.	No instances of non-compliance documented	25	25.00
	Meets Standard: The school has exhibited non-compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to the treatment of ELL students; however, matters of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		15	
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant non-compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to requirements regarding ELLs; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		0	
				25.00
Notes				
INDICATOR 2: FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT AND OVERSIGHT				
Measure 2a Financial Reporting and Compliance	Is the school meeting financial reporting and compliance requirements?			
	Exceeds Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to financial reporting requirements, including but not limited to: Complete and on-time submission of financial reports including annual budget, revised budgets (if applicable), periodic financial reports as required by the PCSC, and any reporting requirements if the board contracts with and Education Service Provider; on-time submission and completion of the annual independent audit and corrective action plans (if applicable); and all reporting requirements related to the use of public funds.	No instances of non-compliance documented	25	25.00
	Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to financial reporting requirements. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		15	
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to financial reporting requirements; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		0	
				25.00
Notes				
The school has partially maintained an expenditures website as required by §33-357, Idaho Code; however, it has not consistently been kept current (within 45 days).				
Measure 2b GAAP	Is the school following Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP)?			
	Meets Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to financial management and oversight expectations as evidenced by an annual independent audit, including but not limited to: An unqualified audit opinion; an audit devoid of significant findings and conditions, material weaknesses, or significant internal control weaknesses; and an audit that does not include a going concern disclosure in the notes or an explanatory paragraph within the audit report.	No instances of non-compliance documented	25	25.00
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits failure to comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to financial management and oversight expectations as evidenced by an annual independent audits; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		0	
				25.00
Notes				

GOVERNANCE AND REPORTING					
Measure 3a Governance Requirements	Is the school complying with governance requirements?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned	
	<p>Exceeds Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to governance by its board, including but not limited to: board policies; board bylaws; state open meetings law; code of ethics; conflicts of interest; board composition; and compensation for attendance at meetings.</p> <p>Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to governance by its board. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.</p> <p>Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to governance by its board; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.</p>	No instances of non-compliance documented	25	25.00	
			15		
			0		
					25.00
Notes					
Measure 3b Reporting Requirements	Is the school complying with reporting requirements?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned	
	<p>Exceeds Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and requirements of the performance certificate relating to relevant reporting requirements to the PCSC, the SDE, and/or federal authorities, including but not limited to: accountability tracking; attendance and enrollment reporting; compliance and oversight; additional information requested by the authorizer.</p> <p>Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to relevant reporting requirements to the PCSC, the SDE, and/or federal authorities. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.</p> <p>Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to relevant reporting requirements to the PCSC, the SDE, and/or federal authorities; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.</p>	No instances of non-compliance documented	25	25.00	
			15		
			0		
					25.00
Notes					
INDICATOR 4: STUDENTS AND EMPLOYEES					
Measure 4a Student Rights	Is the school protecting the rights of all students?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned	
	<p>Exceeds Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and requirements of the performance certificate relating to the rights of students, including but not limited to: policies and practices related to recruitment and enrollment; the collection and protection of student information; due process protections, privacy, civil rights, and student liberties requirements; conduct of discipline.</p> <p>Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to the rights of students. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.</p> <p>Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to the rights of students; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.</p>	No instances of non-compliance documented	25	25.00	
			15		
			0		
					25.00
Notes					

		Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Measure 4b Credentialing	Is the school meeting teacher and other staff credentialing requirements?			
	Exceeds Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and requirements of the performance certificate relating to state and federal certification requirements.	No instances of non-compliance documented	25	25.00
	Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or requirements of the performance certificate relating to state and federal certification requirements. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		15	
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to state and federal certification requirements; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		0	
Notes				25.00
		Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Measure 4c Employee Rights	Is the school complying with laws regarding employee rights?			
	Exceeds Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and requirements of the performance certificate relating to employment considerations, including those relating to the Family Medical Leave Act, the Americans with Disabilities Act, and employment contracts.	No instances of non-compliance documented	25	25.00
	Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or requirements of the performance certificate relating to employment considerations or employee rights. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		15	
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to employment considerations; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		0	
Notes				25.00
		Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Measure 4d Background Checks	Is the school completing required background checks?			
	Exceeds Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and requirements of the performance certificate relating to background checks of all applicable individuals.	No instances of non-compliance documented	25	25.00
	Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or requirements of the performance certificate relating to background checks of all applicable individuals. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		15	
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to background checks of all applicable individuals; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		0	
Notes				25.00

INDICATOR 5: SCHOOL ENVIRONMENT				
Measure 5a Facilities and Transportation	Is the school complying with facilities and transportation requirements?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
	Exceeds Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and requirements of the performance certificate relating to the school facilities, grounds, and transportation, including but not limited to: American's with Disabilities Act, fire inspections and related records, viable certificate of occupancy or other required building use authorization, documentation of requisite insurance coverage, and student transportation.		25	
	Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or requirements of the performance certificate relating to the school facilities, grounds, or transportation. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.	See note	15	15.00
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to the school facilities, grounds, and transportation; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		0	
				15.00
Notes	The school does not offer student transportation. Historically, this decision has been left to schools' discretion; however, Idaho statute requires that public schools provide student transportation where practicable.			
Measure 5b Health and Safety	Is the school complying with health and safety requirements?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
	Exceeds Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and requirements of the performance certificate relating to safety and the provision of health-related services.	No instances of non-compliance documented	25	25.00
	Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or requirements of the performance certificate relating to safety or the provision of health-related services. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		15	
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to safety and the provision of health-related services; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		0	
				25.00
Notes				
Measure 5c Information Handling	Is the school handling information appropriately?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
	Exceeds Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and requirements of the performance certificate relating to the handling of information, including but not limited to: maintaining the security of and providing access to student records under the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act and other applicable authorities; accessing documents maintained by the school under the state's Freedom of Information law and other applicable authorities; Transferring of student records; proper and secure maintenance of testing materials.	No instances of non-compliance documented	25	25.00
	Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or requirements of the performance certificate relating to the handling of information. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		15	
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to the handling of information; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		0	
				25.00
Notes				

RHPCS --- OPERATIONAL FRAMEWORK

ADDITIONAL OBLIGATIONS				
Measure 6a Additional Obligations	Is the school complying with all other obligations?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
	<p>Meets Standard: The school materially complies with all other material legal, statutory, regulatory, or contractual requirements contained in its charter contract that are not otherwise explicitly stated herein, including but not limited to requirements from the following sources: revisions to state charter law; and requirements of the State Department of Education. Matters of non-compliance, if any, are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.</p> <p>Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with with all other material legal, statutory, regulatory, or contractual requirements contained in its charter contract that are not otherwise explicitly stated herein; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.</p>	No instances of non-compliance documented	25	25.00
			0	
				<hr/> 25.00
Notes				

RHPCS --- FINANCIAL FRAMEWORK

INDICATOR 1: NEAR-TERM MEASURES			
Measure 1a	Current Ratio: Current Assets divided by Current Liabilities	Result	Points Possible
Current Ratio	<p>Meets Standard: Current Ratio is greater than or equal to 1.1 OR Current Ratio is between 1.0 and 1.1 and one-year trend is positive (current year ratio is higher than last year's). <i>Note: For schools in their first or second year of operation, the current ratio must be greater than or equal to 1.1.</i></p> <p>Does Not Meet Standard: Current Ratio is between 0.9 and 1.0 or equals 1.0 OR Current Ratio is between 1.0 and 1.1 and one-year trend is negative.</p> <p>Falls Far Below Standard: Current ratio is less than or equal to 0.9.</p>	Current Ratio is:	Points Earned
		5.46	50
			10
			0
			50.00
Notes			
Measure 1b	Unrestricted Days Cash: Unrestricted Cash divided by (Total Expenses minus Depreciation Expense / 365)	Result	Points Possible
Unrestricted Days Cash	<p>Meets Standard: 60 Days Cash OR Between 30 and 60 Days Cash and one-year trend is positive. <i>Note: Schools in their first or second year of operation must have a minimum of 30 Days Cash.</i></p> <p>Does Note Meet Standard: Days Cash is between 15-30 days OR Days Cash is between 30-60 days and one-year trend is negative.</p> <p>Falls Far Below Standard: Fewer than 15 Days Cash.</p>	No. of Days Cash:	Points Earned
		74	50
			10
			0
			50.00
Notes			
Measure 1c	Enrollment Variance: Actual Enrollment divided by Enrollment Projection in Charter School Board-Approved Budget	Result	Points Possible
Enrollment Variance	<p>Meets Standard: Enrollment Variance equals or exceeds 95 percent in the most recent year.</p> <p>Does Not Meet Standard: Enrollment Variance is between 85-95 percent in the most recent year.</p> <p>Falls Far Below Standard: Enrollment Variance is less than 85 percent in the most recent year.</p>	Variance is:	Points Earned
		98%	50
			30
			0
			50.00
Notes			
Measure 1d	Default	Result	Points Possible
Default	<p>Meets Standard: School is not in default of loan covenant(s) and/or is not delinquent with debt service payments.</p> <p>Does Not Meet Standard: Not applicable</p> <p>Falls Far Below Standard: School is in default of loan covenant(s) and/or is delinquent with debt service payments.</p>	No Default Noted	Points Earned
			50
			0
			50.00
Notes			

INDICATOR 2: SUSTAINABILITY MEASURES																				
Measure 2a	<p>Total Margin: Net Income divided by Total Revenue AND Aggregated Total Margin: Total 3-Year Net Income divided by Total 3-Year Revenues</p> <p>Total Margin and Aggregated 3-Year Total Margin</p> <p>Meets Standard: Aggregated 3-year Total Margin is positive and the most recent year Total Margin is positive OR Aggregated 3-Year Total Margin is greater than -1.5 percent, the trend is positive for the last two years, and the most recent year Total Margin is positive. <i>Note: For schools in their first or second year of operation, the cumulative Total Margin must be positive.</i></p> <p>Does Not Meet Standard: Aggregated 3-Year Total Margin is greater than -1.5 percent, but trend does not "Meet Standard"</p> <p>Falls Far Below Standard: Aggregated 3-Year Total Margin is less than or equal to -1.5 percent OR The most recent year Total Margin is less than -10 percent.</p>	<table> <tr> <th>Result</th><th>Points Possible</th><th>Points Earned</th></tr> <tr> <td colspan="3">Aggregated 3-Year Totals:</td></tr> <tr> <td>5.03%</td><td>50</td><td>50.00</td></tr> <tr> <td></td><td>10</td><td></td></tr> <tr> <td></td><td>0</td><td></td></tr> <tr> <td></td><td></td><td><hr/>50.00</td></tr> </table>	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned	Aggregated 3-Year Totals:			5.03%	50	50.00		10			0				<hr/> 50.00
Result	Points Possible	Points Earned																		
Aggregated 3-Year Totals:																				
5.03%	50	50.00																		
	10																			
	0																			
		<hr/> 50.00																		
Notes	Due to the Restatement of Pension Liability, as required by GASB 68, Net Position may be higher than expected. Changes in Net Position due to pension restatement that do not provide or require current financial resources have been removed from the Net Position calculation. This restatement had no material effect on the standard outcome.																			
Measure 2b	<p>Debt to Asset Ratio: Total Liabilities divided by Total Assets</p> <p>Meets Standard: Debt to Asset Ratio is less than 0.9</p> <p>Does Not Meet Standard: Debt to Asset Ratio is between 0.9 and 1.0</p> <p>Falls Far Below Standard: Debt to Asset Ratio is greater than 1.0</p>	<table> <tr> <th>Result</th><th>Points Possible</th><th>Points Earned</th></tr> <tr> <td colspan="3">Ratio is:</td></tr> <tr> <td>0.33</td><td>50</td><td>50.00</td></tr> <tr> <td></td><td>30</td><td></td></tr> <tr> <td></td><td>0</td><td></td></tr> <tr> <td></td><td></td><td><hr/>50.00</td></tr> </table>	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned	Ratio is:			0.33	50	50.00		30			0				<hr/> 50.00
Result	Points Possible	Points Earned																		
Ratio is:																				
0.33	50	50.00																		
	30																			
	0																			
		<hr/> 50.00																		
Notes	Due to the Restatement of Pension Liability, as required by GASB 68, Total Liabilities may be higher than expected. The pension liability was removed from the Total Liability calculation in the reported standard outcome. This restatement had no material effect on the standard outcome.																			
Measure 2c	<p>Cash Flow: Multi-Year Cash Flow = Year 3 Total Cash - Year 1 Total Cash AND One-Year Cash Flow = Year 2 Total Cash - Year 1 Total Cash</p> <p>Cash Flow</p> <p>Meets Standard (in one of two ways): Multi-Year Cumulative Cash Flow is positive and Cash Flow is positive each year OR Multi-Year Cumulative Cash Flow is positive, Cash Flow is positive in one of two years, and Cash Flow in the most recent year is positive. <i>Note: Schools in their first or second year of operation must have positive cash flow.</i></p> <p>Does Not Meet Standard: Multi-Year Cumulative Cash Flow is positive, but trend does not "Meet Standard"</p> <p>Falls Far Below Standard: Multi-Year Cumulative Cash Flow is negative</p>	<table> <tr> <th>Result</th><th>Points Possible</th><th>Points Earned</th></tr> <tr> <td colspan="3">Multi-Year Cumulative is:</td></tr> <tr> <td>\$222,749</td><td>50</td><td>50.00</td></tr> <tr> <td></td><td>30</td><td></td></tr> <tr> <td></td><td>0</td><td></td></tr> <tr> <td></td><td></td><td><hr/>50.00</td></tr> </table>	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned	Multi-Year Cumulative is:			\$222,749	50	50.00		30			0				<hr/> 50.00
Result	Points Possible	Points Earned																		
Multi-Year Cumulative is:																				
\$222,749	50	50.00																		
	30																			
	0																			
		<hr/> 50.00																		
Notes																				
Measure 2d	<p>Debt Service Coverage Ratio: (Net Income + Depreciation + Interest Expense+Lease Payments)/(Annual Principal, Interest, and Lease Payments)</p> <p>Meets Standard: Debt Service Coverage Ratio is equal to or exceeds 1.1</p> <p>Does Not Meet Standard: Debt Service Coverage Ratio is less than 1.1</p> <p>Falls Far Below Standard: Not Applicable</p>	<table> <tr> <th>Result</th><th>Points Possible</th><th>Points Earned</th></tr> <tr> <td colspan="3">Ratio is:</td></tr> <tr> <td>2.34</td><td>50</td><td>50.00</td></tr> <tr> <td></td><td>0</td><td></td></tr> <tr> <td></td><td></td><td><hr/>50.00</td></tr> </table>	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned	Ratio is:			2.34	50	50.00		0				<hr/> 50.00			
Result	Points Possible	Points Earned																		
Ratio is:																				
2.34	50	50.00																		
	0																			
		<hr/> 50.00																		
Notes	Due to the Restatement of Pension Liability, as required by GASB 68, Net Position may be higher than expected. Changes in Net Position due to pension restatement that do not provide or require current financial resources have been removed from the Net Position calculation. This restatement had no material effect on the standard outcome.																			

RHPCS --- LONGITUDINAL RESULTS

ACADEMIC	Measure	Possible Points	2013-14 POINTS EARNED*	2014-15 POINTS EARNED	2015-16 POINTS EARNED	2016-17 POINTS EARNED	2017-18 POINTS EARNED
State/Federal Accountability	1a	25	20.00	0.00	0.00		
	1b	25	15.00	15.00	0.00		
Proficiency	2a	75	62.74	0.00	0.00		
	2b	75	56.00	28.30	30.25		
	2c	75	53.04	38.90	39.20		
Growth	3a	100	77.86	0.00	0.00	See New Framework	
	3b	100	57.22	0.00	0.00		
	3c	100	62.78	0.00	0.00		
	3d	75	46.91	0.00	0.00		
	3e	75	41.96	0.00	0.00		
	3f	75	46.91	0.00	0.00		
	3g	100	66.00	0.00	0.00		
College & Career Readiness	4a						
	4b1 / 4b2						
	4c						
Total Possible Academic Points Received		900	606.41	82.20	69.45	0.00	0.00
% of Possible Academic Points for This School			67.38%	46.97%	46.30%	0.00%	0.00%
*2013-14 academic results are based on 2012-13 ISAT. Subsequent outcomes are based on the ISAT by SBAC and should not be directly compared to 2013-14 data.							

MISSION-SPECIFIC	Measure	Possible Points	2013-14 POINTS EARNED	2014-15 POINTS EARNED	2015-16 POINTS EARNED	2016-17 POINTS EARNED	2017-18 POINTS EARNED
Safe Learning Environment / Pro Social Behavior	1	200	N/A	38.89	33.33	33.33	
Civic Engagement	2	200	N/A	38.89	33.33	33.33	
Reading Proficiency, Young Students	3	200	N/A	38.89	26.67	26.67	
Total Possible Mission-Specific Points Received		600	0.00	116.67	93.33	93.33	0.00
% of Possible Mission-Specific Points for This School			N/A	100.00%	93.34%	93.34%	0.00%

OPERATIONAL	Measure	Possible Points	2013-14 POINTS EARNED	2014-15 POINTS EARNED	2015-16 POINTS EARNED	2016-17 POINTS EARNED	2017-18 POINTS EARNED
Educational Program	1a	25	25	25	25	25	
	1b	25	25	25	25	25	
	1c	25	25	25	15	25	
	1d	25	25	25	25	25	
Financial Management & Oversight	2a	25	15	25	15	25	
	2b	25	25	25	25	25	
Governance & Reporting	3a	25	25	25	25	25	
	3b	25	25	25	25	25	
Students & Employees	4a	25	25	25	25	25	
	4b	25	25	25	25	25	
	4c	25	25	25	25	25	
	4d	25	25	25	25	25	
School Environment	5a	25	15	15	15	15	
	5b	25	25	25	25	25	
	5c	25	25	25	25	25	
Additional Obligations	6a	25	25	25	25	25	
Total Possible Operational Points Received		400	380.00	390.00	370.00	390.00	0.00
% of Possible Operational Points for This School			95.00%	97.50%	92.50%	97.50%	0.00%

FINANCIAL	Measure	Possible Points	2013-14 POINTS EARNED	2014-15 POINTS EARNED	2015-16 POINTS EARNED	2016-17 POINTS EARNED	2017-18 POINTS EARNED
Near-Term Measures	1a	50	50	50	50	50	
	1b	50	50	50	10	50	
	1c	50	50	50	50	50	
	1d	50	50	50	50	50	
Sustainability Measures	2a	50	50	50	50	50	
	2b	50	50	50	50	50	
	2c	50	50	50	50	50	
	2d	50	0	50	0	50	
Total Possible Financial Points Received		400	350.00	400.00	310.00	400.00	0.00
% of Possible Financial Points for This School			87.50%	100.00%	77.50%	100.00%	0.00%

ACCOUNTABILITY DESIGNATION	2013-14 DESIGNATION	2014-15 DESIGNATION	2015-16 DESIGNATION	2016-17 DESIGNATION	2017-18 DESIGNATION
Academic & Mission-Specific	Good Standing	Good Standing	Good Standing	See new fmwk	
Operational	Honor	Honor	Honor	Honor	
Financial	Honor	Honor	Good Standing	Honor	



Rolling Hills Public Charter School



ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2015-2016

Idaho Public Charter School Commission
304 North 8th Street, Room 242
Boise, Idaho 83702

Phone: (208) 332-1561
chartercommission.idaho.gov

Alan Reed, Chairman
Tamara Baysinger, Director

Distributed January 2017

Introduction

Each year, Idaho's Public Charter School Commission (PCSC) issues a performance report to every school in its portfolio. The annual report serves several purposes:

1. To provide transparent, data-driven information about charter school quality;
2. To ensure that charter school boards have access to clear expectations and are provided maximum opportunity to correct any deficiencies prior to their renewal year; and
3. To inform mid-term decision making, such as the evaluation of charter amendment proposals.

This report contains an overview of the school, including its history, mission, leadership, and demographics. The overview is followed by the school's performance framework, including outcomes for the most recently completed school year.

The performance framework is comprised of four sections: Academic, Mission-Specific, Operational, and Financial. Each section contains a number of measures intended to evaluate the school's performance against specific criteria. The scorecard pages of the framework offer a summary of the school's scores and accountability designation ranging from Honor (high) to Critical (low).

Due to significant and ongoing changes to the state's school accountability system, many of the academic measures in the performance framework could not be scored this year. Data for all of the growth measures and most of the post-secondary readiness measures was unavailable. As a result, academic framework scores cannot reflect the intended scope of information.

Additionally, although ISAT Math and English Language Arts proficiency data was available, it was gathered using an assessment that the state adopted subsequent to the framework's development. The cut scores used to establish proficiency remain under evaluation, and it cannot be determined at this time whether or not the rating categories within each framework measure are appropriate in the context of the new assessment.

For these reasons, we have eliminated academic framework scores from this report and instead provided comparisons of the public charter schools' proficiency rates to those of the state as a whole, as well as to area schools that serve similar grade ranges. In some cases, comparisons cannot be provided because the data is masked per state law or statistical irrelevance.

To facilitate a clearer context for the academic results contained in this report, the demographic, enrollment, and school leadership data provided is from the 2014-15 school year. Updated enrollment and school leadership information is available upon request from the school or PCSC office.

Schools had an opportunity to correct or clarify their framework outcomes prior to the publication of this report.

Public charter school operations are inherently complex. For this reason, readers are encouraged to consider the scores on individual measures within the framework as a starting point for gaining full, contextualized understanding of the school's performance.

Additional information about how the performance framework was developed and how results may be interpreted is available on the PCSC's website: chartercommission.idaho.gov.

School Overview

Mission Statement	The Rolling Hills Public Charter School mission is to develop educated and engaged citizens through high expectations for student behavior and academic success in a small, safe, structured environment.	
Key Design Elements	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Create a physically and emotionally safe learning environment; • Utilize citizenship education through the Six Pillars of Character; • Integrate service learning; • Teach to the high through the core curriculum, including the Shurley method; • Offer electives to middle school students. 	
School Contact Information	Address: 8900 N. Horseshoe Bend Road, Boise, Idaho 83714	Phone: 208-939-5400
Surrounding District	West Ada School District	
Neighboring District	Boise Independent School District	
Opening Year	2005	
Current Term	October 10, 2013 – June 30, 2018	
Grades Served	K - 8	
Enrollment	Approved: 278	Actual: 243

School Leadership (2015-2016)	Role
Scot Carley	Chairman
Jeremy Evans	Vice Chair
Rachel Tracy	Secretary
Jennifer Sweet-Fears	Treasurer
Rebecca Evans	Member
Joe Critchfield	Member
Shane Pratt	Administrator

	School	Surrounding District (West Ada)	Neighboring District (Boise)	State
Non-White	████	19.03%	24.05%	23.84%
Limited English Proficiency	████	5.21%	12.39%	8.61%
Special Needs	████	9.17%	11.22%	9.76%
Free & Reduced Lunch	████	23.14%	52.21%	47.27%

Academic Measure	Result
Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding Proficiency in Math	████
Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding Proficiency in English Language Arts	████
Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding Proficiency In Science	████
Graduation Rate (4-year cohort data from 2015)	N/A

West Ada Area Grades K-12 Math Percentage Proficient/Advanced



West Ada Area Grades K-12 ELA Percentage Proficient/Advanced



PUBLIC CHARTER SCHOOL COMMISSION - PERFORMANCE FRAMEWORK

Name of School: Rolling Hills Public Charter School Year Opened: 2005 Operating Term: 10/10/13 - 6/30/18 Date Executed: 10/10/2013

Introduction

Idaho's charter school legislation requires each public charter school authorizer to develop a Performance Framework on which the provisions of the Performance Certificate will be based. Performance Frameworks must clearly set forth the academic and operational performance indicators, measures, and metrics that will guide the authorizer's evaluations of each public charter school, and must contain the following:

- Indicators, measures, and metrics for student academic proficiency;
- Indicators, measures, and metrics for student academic growth;
- Indicators, measures, and metrics for college and career readiness (for high schools); and
- Indicators, measures, and metrics for board performance and stewardship, including compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and terms of the performance certificate.

The measurable performance targets contained within the framework must require, at a minimum, that each school meet applicable federal, state, and authorizer goals for student achievement. This Performance Framework was adopted by the Public Charter School Commission (PCSC) on August 30, 2013, and is intended for use with non-alternative public charter schools authorized by the PCSC.

Performance Framework Structure

The Performance Framework is divided into four sections: Academic, Mission-Specific, Operational, and Financial. The Academic and Mission-Specific sections comprise the primary indicators on which most renewal or non-renewal decisions will be based. The Operational and Financial sections contribute additional indicators that will, except in cases of egregious failure to meet standards, be considered secondary.

Academic:

A high percentage (60%) of a school's total score for the Academic & Mission Specific Accountability Designation reflects the school's performance on a set of academic measures. These measures are the same for all non-alternative schools. The "Meets Standard" rating for each measure is designed to align closely with state minimum standards as established in Idaho's ESEA waiver and Star Rating System.

Mission-Specific:

A significant portion (40%) of a school's total score for the Academic & Mission Specific Accountability Designation reflects the school's performance on a set of mission-specific measures. These measures may be academic or non-academic in nature, but must be objective and data-driven. The number and weighting of mission-specific measures should be established during one-on-one negotiations between the school and authorizer.

During their first Performance Certificate term only, schools authorized to open in or before Fall 2014 may choose to opt out of the Mission-Specific section of the framework. Schools choosing to opt out of Mission-Specific measures for their first term agree that the weight of those measures will be placed instead on the Academic section, which then becomes the single, primary factor considered for purposes of renewal or non-renewal.

Operational:

Operational indicators comprise a secondary element for consideration during the renewal process. While each school will receive a score in the operational section, this score should not be used as the primary rationale for non-renewal unless the non-compliance with organizational expectations is severe or systemic. Particularly for a school whose academic performance meets or exceeds standards, poor results in this area are more likely to lead to a conditional renewal decision than to non-renewal.

Financial:

Financial indicators comprise a secondary element for consideration during the renewal process. While each school will receive a score in the financial section, this score should not be used as the primary rationale for non-renewal unless the school's financial state at the time of renewal is dire. Particularly for a school whose academic performance meets or exceeds standards, poor results in this area are more likely to lead to a conditional renewal decision than to non-renewal. The PCSC may also elect to renew a financially troubled school that is clearly providing a high quality education, but notify the SDE of the situation so that the payment schedule may be modified in order to safeguard taxpayer dollars.

Accountability Designations

Calculation of the percentage of eligible points earned for each school will guide the determination of that school's accountability designation: Honor, Good Standing, Remediation, or Critical. The accountability designation will, in turn, guide the PCSC's renewal or non-renewal decision-making. Measures for which a school lacks data due to factors such as grade configuration or small size will not contribute to that school's accountability designation. The PCSC will consider contextual factors affecting a school's accountability designation when making renewal or non-renewal decisions.

Honor:

Schools achieving at this level in all categories (academic, mission-specific, operational, and financial) are eligible for special recognition and will be recommended for renewal. Replication and expansion proposals are likely to succeed. The Framework places schools that earn 75-100% of the combined academic and mission-specific points possible in this accountability designation. It is possible for 5-star schools, high-range 4-star schools with solid mission-specific outcomes, and mid-range 4-star schools with strong mission-specific outcomes to receive an honor designation. Schools that fall into this point-percentage category but have poor operational and/or financial outcomes will not be eligible for an honor designation.

Good Standing:

Schools achieving at this level will be recommended for renewal; however, conditional renewal may be recommended if operational and/or financial outcomes are poor. Replication and expansion proposals will be considered. To be placed in this category, schools must receive the appropriate percentage of the combined academic and mission-specific points possible and have at least a 3-star rating. The Framework places schools that earn 55-74% of the combined academic and mission-specific points possible in this accountability designation. It is possible for 3-star or 4-star schools with solid mission-specific outcomes, or 5-star schools with poor mission-specific, financial, and/or operational outcomes to receive a good standing designation. Although 2-star schools with strong mission-specific outcomes could fall into this point-percentage range, they would not be eligible to receive a good standing designation due to their star ratings; the Framework is drafted thus in recognition of Idaho's statutory provision that the performance framework shall, at a minimum, require that each school meet applicable federal and state goals for student achievement.

Remediation:

Schools achieving at this level may be recommended for non-renewal or conditional renewal, particularly if operational and/or financial outcomes are poor. Replication and expansion proposals are unlikely to succeed. The Framework places schools that earn 31-54% of the combined academic and mission-specific points possible in this accountability designation. It is possible for 3-star schools with poor mission-specific outcomes, 2-star schools, or 1-star schools with strong mission-specific outcomes to receive a remediation designation.

Critical:

Schools achieving at this level face a strong likelihood of non-renewal, particularly if operational and/or financial outcomes are also poor. Replication and expansion proposals should not be considered. The Framework places schools that earn less than 30% of the combined academic and mission-specific points possible in this accountability designation. It is possible for 1-star schools or 2-star schools with poor mission-specific outcomes to receive a Critical designation.

RHPCS --- PERFORMANCE FRAMEWORK SCORING

ACADEMIC	Measure	Possible Elem / MS Points	% of Total Points	POINTS EARNED	Possible HS Points	% of Total Points	POINTS EARNED
State/Federal Accountability	1a	25	0%	0.00			
	1b	25	0%	0.00			
Proficiency	2a	75	0%	0.00			
	2b	75	30%	30.25			
	2c	75	30%	39.20			
Growth	3a	100	0%	0.00			
	3b	100	0%	0.00			
	3c	100	0%	0.00			
	3d	75	0%	0.00			
	3e	75	0%	0.00			
	3f	75	0%	0.00			
	3g	100	0%	0.00			
College & Career Readiness	4a						
	4b1 / 4b2						
	4c						
Total Possible Academic Points		900	60%				
- Points from Non-Applicable		750					
Total Possible Academic Points for This School		150					
Total Academic Points Received				69.45			
% of Possible Academic Points for This School				46.30%			

MISSION-SPECIFIC	Measure	Possible Points	% of Total Points	POINTS EARNED	Possible Points	% of Total Points	POINTS EARNED
Safe Learning Environment / Pro Social Behavior	1	33.33	13.3%	33.33			
Civic Engagement	2	33.33	13.3%	33.33			
Reading Proficiency, Young Students	3	33.33	13.3%	26.67			
Total Possible Mission-Specific Points		99.99	40%	93.33			
Total Mission-Specific Points Received				93.33			
% of Possible Mission-Specific Points Received				93.34%			
TOTAL POSSIBLE ACADEMIC & MISSION-SPECIFIC POINTS		249.99					
TOTAL POINTS RECEIVED				162.78			
% OF POSSIBLE ACADEMIC & MISSION-SPECIFIC POINTS				65.12%			

OPERATIONAL	Measure	Points Possible	% of Total Points	Points Earned
Educational Program	1a	25	6%	25.00
	1b	25	6%	25.00
	1c	25	6%	15.00
	1d	25	6%	25.00
Financial Management & Oversight	2a	25	6%	15.00
	2b	25	6%	25.00
Governance & Reporting	3a	25	6%	25.00
	3b	25	6%	25.00
Students & Employees	4a	25	6%	25.00
	4b	25	6%	25.00
	4c	25	6%	25.00
	4d	25	6%	25.00
School Environment	5a	25	6%	15.00
	5b	25	6%	25.00
	5c	25	6%	25.00
Additional Obligations	6a	25	6%	25.00
TOTAL OPERATIONAL POINTS		400	100%	370.00
% OF POSSIBLE OPERATIONAL POINTS				92.50%

FINANCIAL	Measure	Points Possible	% of Total Points	Points Earned
Near-Term Measures	1a	50	13%	50.00
	1b	50	13%	10.00
	1c	50	13%	50.00
	1d	50	13%	50.00
Sustainability Measures	2a	50	13%	50.00
	2b	50	13%	50.00
	2c	50	13%	50.00
	2d	50	13%	0.00
TOTAL FINANCIAL POINTS		400	100%	310.00
% OF POSSIBLE FINANCIAL POINTS				77.50%

The financial measures included here are based on industry standards. They are not intended to reflect the nuances of a school's financial status. A low score on any single measure indicates only the possibility of a problem. In many cases, contextual information that alleviates concern is provided in the notes that accompany individual measures. Please see the financial section of this framework for additional detail.

RHPCS --- PERFORMANCE FRAMEWORK SCORING

ACCOUNTABILITY DESIGNATION	Academic & Mission-Specific		Operational		Financial	
	Range	% of Points Possible Earned	Range	% of Points Possible Earned	Range	% of Points Possible Earned
Honor Schools achieving at this level in all categories are eligible for special recognition and will be recommended for renewal. Replication and expansion proposals are likely to succeed.	75% - 100% of points possible		90% - 100% of points possible	92.50%	85% - 100% of points possible	
Good Standing Schools achieving at this level in Academic & Mission-Specific will be recommended for renewal; however, conditional renewal may be recommended if Operational and/or Financial outcomes are poor. Replication and expansion proposals will be considered. To be placed in this category for Academic & Mission-Specific, schools must receive the appropriate percentage of points and have at least a Three Star Rating.	55% - 74% of points possible	65.12%	80% - 89% of points possible		65% - 84% of points possible	77.50%
Remediation Schools achieving at this level in Academic & Mission-Specific may be recommended for non-renewal or conditional renewal, particularly if Operational and/or Financial outcomes are also poor. Replication and expansion proposals are unlikely to succeed.	31% - 54% of points possible		61% - 79% of points possible		46% - 64% of points possible	
Critical Schools achieving at this level in Academic & Mission-Specific level face a strong likelihood of non-renewal, particularly if Operational and/or Financial outcomes are also poor. Replication and expansion proposals should not be considered.	0% - 30% of points possible		0% - 60% of points possible		0% - 45% of points possible	

RHPCS --- ACADEMIC FRAMEWORK

INDICATOR 1: STATE AND FEDERAL ACCOUNTABILITY							
Measure 1a Overall Star Rating	Is the school meeting acceptable standards according to existing state grading or rating systems?	Result (Stars)	Points Possible	Points Earned			
		5	25				
	Exceeds Standard: School received five stars on the Star Rating System	4	20				
	Meets Standard: School received three or four stars on the Star Rating System	3	15				
	Does Not Meet Standard: School received two stars on the Star Rating System	2	0				
	Falls Far Below Standard: School received one star on the Star Rating System	1	0	<hr/> 0			
Notes							
Measure 1b State Designations	Is the school meeting state designation expectations as set forth by state and federal accountability systems?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned			
		Reward	25				
	Exceeds Standard: School was identified as a "Reward" school.	None	15				
	Meets Standard: School does not have a designation.	Focus	0				
	Does Not Meet Standard: School was identified as a "Focus" school.	Priority	0				
	Falls Far Below Standard: School was identified as a "Priority" school.			<hr/> 0			
Notes							
INDICATOR 2: STUDENT ACADEMIC PROFICIENCY							
Measure 2a ISAT / SBA % Proficiency Reading	Are students achieving reading proficiency on state examinations?	Result (Percentage)	Points Possible	Possible in this Range	Percentile Targets	Percentile Points	Points Earned
			57-75	19	90-100	11	0
	Exceeds Standard: 90% or more of students met or exceeded proficiency.		38-56	19	65-89	25	0
	Meets Standard: Between 65-89% of students met or exceeded proficiency.		20-37	18	41-64	24	0
	Does Not Meet Standard: Between 41-64% of students met or exceeded proficiency.		0-19	19	1-40	40	0
	Falls Far Below Standard: Fewer than 41% of students met or exceeded proficiency.						<hr/> 0
Notes							
Measure 2b ISAT / SBA % Proficiency Math	Are students achieving math proficiency on state examinations?	Result (Percentage)	Points Possible	Possible in this Range	Percentile Targets	Percentile Points	Points Earned
			57-75	19	90-100	11	0
	Exceeds Standard: 90% or more of students met or exceeded proficiency.		38-56	19	65-89	25	0
	Meets Standard: Between 65-89% of students met or exceeded proficiency.	55.00	20-37	18	41-64	24	30
	Does Not Meet Standard: Between 41-64% of students met or exceeded proficiency.		0-19	19	1-40	40	0
	Falls Far Below Standard: Fewer than 41% of students met or exceeded proficiency.						<hr/> 30
Notes							

RHPCS --- ACADEMIC FRAMEWORK

Measure 2c ISAT / SBA % Proficiency Language Arts	Are students achieving language proficiency on state examinations?	Result (Percentage)	Points Possible	Possible in this Range	Percentile Targets	Percentile Points	Points Earned
	Exceeds Standard: 90% or more of students met or exceeded proficiency.		57-75	19	90-100	11	0
	Meets Standard: Between 65-89% of students met or exceeded proficiency.	66.90	38-56	19	65-89	25	39
	Does Not Meet Standard: Between 41-64% of students met or exceeded proficiency.		20-37	18	41-64	24	0
	Falls Far Below Standard: Fewer than 41% of students met or exceeded proficiency.		0-19	19	1-40	40	0
Notes							
INDICATOR 3: STUDENT ACADEMIC GROWTH							
Measure 3a Criterion-Referenced Growth in Reading	Are students making adequate annual academic growth to achieve proficiency in reading with 3 years or by 10th grade?	Result (Percentage)	Points Possible	Possible in this Range	Percentile Targets	Percentile Points	Points Earned
	Exceeds Standard: At least 85% of students are making adequate academic growth.		76-100	25	85-100	16	0
	Meets Standard: Between 70-84% of students are making adequate academic growth.		51-75	25	70-84	15	0
	Does Not Meet Standard: Between 50-69% of students are making adequate academic growth.		26-50	25	50-69	20	0
	Falls Far Below Standard: Fewer than 50% of students are making adequate academic growth.		0-25	25	1-49	49	0
Notes							
Measure 3b Criterion-Referenced Growth in Math	Are students making adequate annual academic growth to achieve math proficiency within 3 years or by 10th grade?	Result (Percentage)	Points Possible	Points possible in this Range	Percentile Targets	Percentile Points	Points Earned
	Exceeds Standard: At least 85% of students are making adequate academic growth.		76-100	25	85-100	16	0
	Meets Standard: Between 70-84% of students are making adequate academic growth.		51-75	25	70-84	15	0
	Does Not Meet Standard: Between 50-69% of students are making adequate academic growth.		26-50	25	50-69	20	0
	Falls Far Below Standard: Fewer than 50% of students are making adequate academic growth.		0-25	25	1-49	49	0
Notes							
Measure 3c Criterion-Referenced Growth in Language	Are students making adequate annual academic growth to achieve language proficiency within 3 years or by 10th grade?	Result (Percentage)	Points Possible	Possible in this Range	Percentile Targets	Percentile Points	Points Earned
	Exceeds Standard: At least 85% of students are making adequate academic growth.		76-100	25	85-100	16	0
	Meets Standard: Between 70-84% of students are making adequate academic growth.		51-75	25	70-84	15	0
	Does Not Meet Standard: Between 50-69% of students are making adequate academic growth.		26-50	25	50-69	20	0
	Falls Far Below Standard: Fewer than 50% of students are making adequate academic growth.		0-25	25	1-49	49	0
Notes							

RHPCS --- ACADEMIC FRAMEWORK

Measure 3d Norm-Referenced Growth in Reading	Are students making expected annual academic growth in reading compared to their academic peers?	Result (Percentile)	Points Possible	Possible in this Range	Percentile Targets	Percentile Points	Points Earned
	Exceeds Standard: The school's Median SGP in reading falls between the 66 th and 99 th percentile.		57-75	19	66-99	34	0
	Meets Standard: The school's Median SGP in reading falls between the 43 rd and 65 th percentile.		38-56	19	43-65	23	0
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school's Median SGP in reading falls between the 30 th and 42 th percentile.		20-37	18	30-42	13	0
	Falls Far Below Standard: The school's Median SGP in reading falls below the 30 th percentile.		0-19	19	1-29	29	0
							0
Notes							
Measure 3e Norm-Referenced Growth in Math	Are students making expected annual academic growth in math compared to their academic peers?	Result (Percentile)	Points Possible	Possible in this Range	Percentile Targets	Percentile Points	Points Earned
	Exceeds Standard: The school's Median SGP in math falls between the 66 th and 99 th percentile.		57-75	19	66-99	34	0
	Meets Standard: The school's Median SGP in math falls between the 43 rd and 65 th percentile.		38-56	19	43-65	23	0
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school's Median SGP in math falls between the 30 th and 42 th percentile.		20-37	18	30-42	13	0
	Falls Far Below Standard: The school's Median SGP in math falls below the 30 th percentile.		0-19	19	1-29	29	0
							0
Notes							
Measure 3f Norm-Referenced Growth in Language	Are students making expected annual academic growth in language compared to their academic peers?	Result (Percentile)	Points Possible	Possible in this Range	Percentile Targets	Percentile Points	Points Earned
	Exceeds Standard: The school's Median SGP in language arts falls between the 66 th and 99 th percentile.		57-75	19	66-99	34	0
	Meets Standard: The school's Median SGP in language arts falls between the 43 rd and 65 th percentile.		38-56	19	43-65	23	0
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school's Median SGP in language arts falls between the 30 th and 42 th percentile.		20-37	18	30-42	13	0
	Falls Far Below Standard: The school's Median SGP in language arts falls below the 30 th percentile.		0-19	19	1-29	29	0
							0
Notes							
Measure 3g Subgroup Growth Combined Subjects	Is the school increasing subgroup academic performance over time?	Result (Percentage)	Points Possible	Possible in this Range	Percentile Targets	Percentile Points	Points Earned
	Exceeds Standard: School earned at least 70% of possible points in SRS Accountability Area 3.		76-100	25	70-100	31	0
	Meets Standard: School earned 45-69% of possible points in SRS Accountability Area 3.		51-75	25	45-69	25	0
	Does Not Meet Standard: School earned 30-44% of possible points in SRS Accountability Area 3.		26-50	25	30-44	15	0
	Falls Far Below Standard: School earned fewer than 30% of possible points in SRS Accountability Area 3.		0-25	25	1-29	29	0
							0
Notes							

INDICATOR 4: COLLEGE AND CAREER READINESS							
Measure 4a Advanced Opportunity	Are students participating successfully in advance opportunity coursework?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned			
Coursework	Exceeds Standard: School earned 5 points in SRS Post-Secondary Content Area: Advanced Opportunity	5	50				
	Meets Standard: School earned 3-4 points in SRS Post-Secondary Content Area: Advanced Opportunity	3-4	30				
	Does Not Meet Standard: School earned 2 points in SRS Post-Secondary Content Area: Advanced Opportunity	2	10				
	Falls Far Below Standard: School earned 1 or fewer points in SRS Post-Secondary Content Area: Adv Oppty	1	0				
Notes				<hr/> 0			
Measure 4b1 College Entrance Exam Results	Does students' performance on college entrance exams reflect college readiness?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned			
	Exceeds Standard: Effective in 2013-14, at least 35% of students met or exceeded the college readiness benchmark on an entrance or placement exam.	5	50				
	Meets Standard: Effective in 2013-14, between 25-34% of students met or exceeded the college readiness benchmark on an entrance or placement exam.)	3-4	30				
	Does Not Meet Standard: Effective in 2013-14, between 20-24% of students met or exceeded the college readiness benchmark on an entrance or placement exam.)	2	10				
	Falls Far Below Standard: Effective in 2013-14, fewer than 20% of students met or exceeded the college readiness benchmark on an entrance or placement exam.	1	0				
Notes				<hr/> 0			
Measure 4b2 College Entrance Exam Results	Does students' performance on college entrance exams reflect college readiness?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned			
	Exceeds Standard: Effective in 2014-15 and thereafter, at least 45% of students met or exceeded the college readiness benchmark on an entrance or placement exam.	5	50				
	Meets Standard: Effective in 2014-15 and thereafter, between 35-44% of students met or exceeded the college readiness benchmark on an entrance or placement exam.	3-4	30				
	Does Not Meet Standard: Effective in 2014-15 and thereafter, between 30-34% of students met or exceeded the college readiness benchmark on an entrance or placement exam.	2	10				
	Falls Far Below Standard: Effective in 2014-15 and thereafter, fewer than 30% of students met or exceeded the college readiness benchmark on an entrance or placement exam.	1	0				
Notes				<hr/> 0			
Measure 4c Graduation Rate	Are students graduating from high school?	Result (Percentage)	Possible Overall	Possible in this Range	Percentile Targets	Percentile Points	Points Earned
	Exceeds Standard: At least 90% of students graduated from high school.		39-50	12	90-100	11	0
	Meets Standard: 81-89% of students graduated from high school.		26-38	13	81-89	9	0
	Does Not Meet Standard: 71%-80% of students graduated from high school.		14-25	12	71-80	10	0
	Falls Far Below Standard: Fewer than 70% of students graduated from high school.		0-13	13	1-70	70	0
Notes							<hr/> 0

RHPCS --- MISSION-SPECIFIC FRAMEWORK

MISSION-SPECIFIC GOALS				
Measure 1	Is the school helping students increase their pro-social behavior?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
	<p>Exceeds Standard: 90% to 100% of 4th and 5th grade students who ranked in tier 1 - 4 on the fall benchmark AIMSWEB Prosocial Behavior Scale Social Skills Improvement System (SSIS) increased by one tier or more by the spring benchmark.</p> <p>Meets Standard: 70% to 89% of 4th and 5th grade students who ranked in tier 1 - 4 on the fall benchmark SSIS increased by one tier or more by the spring benchmark.</p> <p>Does Not Meet Standard: 40% to 69% of 4th and 5th grade students who ranked in tier 1 - 4 on the fall benchmark SSIS increased by one tier or more by the spring benchmark.</p> <p>Falls Far Below Standard: Less than 40% of 4th and 5th grade students who ranked in tier 1 - 4 on the fall benchmark SSIS increased by one tier or more by the spring benchmark.</p>	92.10%	200	200
			160	
			100	
			0	
				200.00
Notes	Results will be reported by the school to the PCSC by October 1 of each year.			
Measure 2	Is the school helping middle school students increase civic engagement through service learning projects?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
	<p>Exceeds Standard: 90% to 100% of 6th through 8th grade students who participate in a service learning project scored at least 40 out of 50 on the Civic Responsibility Level 2 survey tool.</p> <p>Meets Standard: 80% to 89% of 6th through 8th grade students who participate in a service learning project scored at least 40 out of 50 on the Civic Responsibility Level 2 survey tool.</p> <p>Does Not Meet Standard: 30% to 79% of 6th through 8th grade students who participate in a service learning project scored at least 40 out of 50 on the Civic Responsibility Level 2 survey tool.</p> <p>Falls Far Below Standard: Less than 30% of 6th through 8th grade students who participate in a service learning project scored at least 40 out of 50 on the Civic Responsibility Level 2 survey tool.</p>	92.9	200	200
			160	
			100	
			0	
				200.00
Notes	The assessment tool to be used is the Civic Responsibility Survey Level 2 (Middle School) developed in 1998 by Furco, Muller, and Ammon at the Service Learning Research and Development Center, University of CA, Berkeley. Results will be reported by the school to the PCSC by October 1 of each year.			

RHPCS --- MISSION-SPECIFIC FRAMEWORK

		Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Measure 3	Is the school helping a high percentage of young students reach proficiency in reading?		200	
	Exceeds Standard: 95% -100% of 1st through 3rd grade students achieved benchmark proficiency on the spring Idaho Reading Indicator (IRI).			
	Meets Standard: 80% -94% of 1st through 3rd grade students achieved benchmark proficiency on the spring IRI.	86%	160	150
	Does Not Meet Standard: 50% -79% of 1st through 3rd grade students achieved benchmark proficiency on the spring IRI.		100	
	Falls Far Below Standard: Less than 49% of 1st through 3rd grade students achieved benchmark proficiency on the spring IRI.			
				150.00
Notes	Results will be reported by the school to the PCSC by October 1 of each year.			

INDICATOR 1: EDUCATIONAL PROGRAM			
Measure 1a Implementation of Educational Program	Is the school implementing the material terms of the educational program as defined in the performance certificate?	Result	Points Possible Points Earned
	Meets Standard: The school implements the material terms of the mission, vision, and educational program in all material respects and the implementation of the educational program reflects the essential elements outlined in the performance certificate, or the school has gained approval for a charter modification to the material terms.	No instances of non-compliance documented	25 25.00
	Does Not Meet Standard: School has deviated from the material terms of the mission, vision, and essential elements of the educational program as described in the performance certificate, without approval for a charter modification, such that the program provided differs substantially from the program described in the charter and performance certificate.	0	
	Notes		25.00
Measure 1b Education Requirements	Is the school complying with applicable education requirements?	Result	Points Possible Points Earned
	Exceeds Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to education requirements, including but not limited to: Instructional time requirements, graduation and promotion requirements, content standards including the Common Core State Standards, the Idaho State Standards, State assessments, and implementation of mandated programming related to state or federal funding.	No instances of non-compliance documented	25 25.00
	Meets Standard: The school has exhibited non-compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to the education requirements; however, matters of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.	15	
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant non-compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to education requirements; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.	0	
	Notes		25.00
Measure 1c Students with Disabilities	Is the school protecting the rights of students with disabilities?	Result	Points Possible Points Earned
	Exceeds Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to the treatment of students with identified disabilities and those suspected of having a disability, including but not limited to: Equitable access and opportunity to enroll; identification and referral; appropriate development and implementation of IEPs and Section 504 plans; operational compliance, including provision of services in the LRE and appropriate inclusion in the school's academic program, assessments, and extracurricular activities; discipline, including due process protections, manifestation determinations, and behavioral intervention plans; access to the school's facility and program; appropriate use of all available, applicable funding.	25	
	Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to the treatment of students with identified disabilities and those suspected of having a disability. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.	See note	15 15.00
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant non-compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to the treatment of students with identified disabilities and those suspected of having a disability; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.	0	
	Notes		15.00
In August 2016, the SDE reported its finding that a special education violation occurred during the 2015-16 school year. The school responded promptly to implement a corrective action plan.			

		Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Measure 1d English Language Learners	Is the school protecting the rights of English Language Learner (ELL) students?			
	Exceeds Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to requirements regarding ELLs, including but not limited to: Equitable access and opportunity to enroll; required policies related to the service of ELL students; compliance with native language communication requirements; proper steps for identification of students in need of ELL services; appropriate and equitable delivery of services to identified students; appropriate accommodations on assessments; exiting of students from ELL services; and ongoing monitoring of exited students. Matters of non-compliance, if any, are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.	No instances of non-compliance documented	25	25.00
	Meets Standard: The school has exhibited non-compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to the treatment of ELL students; however, matters of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		15	
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant non-compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to requirements regarding ELLs; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		0	
Notes				25.00
INDICATOR 2: FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT AND OVERSIGHT				
Measure 2a Financial Reporting and Compliance	Is the school meeting financial reporting and compliance requirements?			
	Exceeds Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to financial reporting requirements, including but not limited to: Complete and on-time submission of financial reports including annual budget, revised budgets (if applicable), periodic financial reports as required by the PCSC, and any reporting requirements if the board contracts with and Education Service Provider; on-time submission and completion of the annual independent audit and corrective action plans (if applicable); and all reporting requirements related to the use of public funds.		25	
	Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to financial reporting requirements. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.	See note	15	15.00
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to financial reporting requirements; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		0	
Notes				15.00
The school's FY15 independent financial audit, due October 15, 2015, was submitted October 22, 2015.				
Measure 2b GAAP	Is the school following Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP)?			
	Meets Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to financial management and oversight expectations as evidenced by an annual independent audit, including but not limited to: An unqualified audit opinion; an audit devoid of significant findings and conditions, material weaknesses, or significant internal control weaknesses; and an audit that does not include a going concern disclosure in the notes or an explanatory paragraph within the audit report.	No instances of non-compliance documented	25	25.00
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits failure to comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to financial management and oversight expectations as evidenced by an annual independent audits; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		0	
Notes				25.00

GOVERNANCE AND REPORTING				
Measure 3a Governance Requirements	Is the school complying with governance requirements?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
	Exceeds Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to governance by its board, including but not limited to: board policies; board bylaws; state open meetings law; code of ethics; conflicts of interest; board composition; and compensation for attendance at meetings.	No instances of non-compliance documented	25	25.00
	Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to governance by its board. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		15	
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to governance by its board; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		0	
Notes		25.00		
Measure 3b Reporting Requirements	Is the school complying with reporting requirements?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
	Exceeds Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and requirements of the performance certificate relating to relevant reporting requirements to the PCSC, the SDE, and/or federal authorities, including but not limited to: accountability tracking; attendance and enrollment reporting; compliance and oversight; additional information requested by the authorizer.	No instances of non-compliance documented	25	25.00
	Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to relevant reporting requirements to the PCSC, the SDE, and/or federal authorities. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		15	
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to relevant reporting requirements to the PCSC, the SDE, and/or federal authorities; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		0	
Notes		25.00		
INDICATOR 4: STUDENTS AND EMPLOYEES				
Measure 4a Student Rights	Is the school protecting the rights of all students?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
	Exceeds Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and requirements of the performance certificate relating to the rights of students, including but not limited to: policies and practices related to recruitment and enrollment; the collection and protection of student information; due process protections, privacy, civil rights, and student liberties requirements; conduct of discipline.	No instances of non-compliance documented	25	25.00
	Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to the rights of students. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		15	
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to the rights of students; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		0	
Notes		25.00		

		Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Measure 4b Credentialing	Is the school meeting teacher and other staff credentialing requirements?			
	Exceeds Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and requirements of the performance certificate relating to state and federal certification requirements.	No instances of non-compliance documented	25	25.00
	Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or requirements of the performance certificate relating to state and federal certification requirements. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		15	
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to state and federal certification requirements; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		0	
Notes				25.00
		Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Measure 4c Employee Rights	Is the school complying with laws regarding employee rights?			
	Exceeds Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and requirements of the performance certificate relating to employment considerations, including those relating to the Family Medical Leave Act, the Americans with Disabilities Act, and employment contracts.	No instances of non-compliance documented	25	25.00
	Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or requirements of the performance certificate relating to employment considerations or employee rights. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		15	
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to employment considerations; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		0	
Notes				25.00
		Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Measure 4d Background Checks	Is the school completing required background checks?			
	Exceeds Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and requirements of the performance certificate relating to background checks of all applicable individuals.	No instances of non-compliance documented	25	25.00
	Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or requirements of the performance certificate relating to background checks of all applicable individuals. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		15	
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to background checks of all applicable individuals; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		0	
Notes				25.00

INDICATOR 5: SCHOOL ENVIRONMENT				
Measure 5a Facilities and Transportation	Is the school complying with facilities and transportation requirements? Exceeds Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and requirements of the performance certificate relating to the school facilities, grounds, and transportation, including but not limited to: American's with Disabilities Act, fire inspections and related records, viable certificate of occupancy or other required building use authorization, documentation of requisite insurance coverage, and student transportation. Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or requirements of the performance certificate relating to the school facilities, grounds, or transportation. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board. Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to the school facilities, grounds, and transportation; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
			25	
		See note	15	15.00
			0	<hr/> 15.00
Notes	The school does not offer student transportation. Historically, this decision has been left to schools' discretion; however, Idaho statute requires that public schools provide student transportation where practicable.			
Measure 5b Health and Safety	Is the school complying with health and safety requirements? Exceeds Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and requirements of the performance certificate relating to safety and the provision of health-related services. Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or requirements of the performance certificate relating to safety or the provision of health-related services. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board. Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to safety and the provision of health-related services; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
		No instances of non-compliance documented	25	25.00
			15	
			0	<hr/> 25.00
Notes				
Measure 5c Information Handling	Is the school handling information appropriately? Exceeds Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and requirements of the performance certificate relating to the handling of information, including but not limited to: maintaining the security of and providing access to student records under the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act and other applicable authorities; accessing documents maintained by the school under the state's Freedom of Information law and other applicable authorities; Transferring of student records; proper and secure maintenance of testing materials. Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or requirements of the performance certificate relating to the handling of information. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board. Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to the handling of information; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
		No instances of non-compliance documented	25	25.00
			15	
			0	<hr/> 25.00
Notes				

RHPCS --- OPERATIONAL FRAMEWORK

ADDITIONAL OBLIGATIONS				
Measure 6a Additional Obligations	Is the school complying with all other obligations?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Notes	Meets Standard: The school materially complies with all other material legal, statutory, regulatory, or contractual requirements contained in its charter contract that are not otherwise explicitly stated herein, including but not limited to requirements from the following sources: revisions to state charter law; and requirements of the State Department of Education. Matters of non-compliance, if any, are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.	No instances of non-compliance documented	25	25.00
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with with all other material legal, statutory, regulatory, or contractual requirements contained in its charter contract that are not otherwise explicitly stated herein; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		0	
				25.00

RHPCS --- FINANCIAL FRAMEWORK

INDICATOR 1: NEAR-TERM MEASURES				
Measure 1a	Current Ratio: Current Assets divided by Current Liabilities	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Current Ratio	<p>Meets Standard: Current Ratio is greater than or equal to 1.1 OR Current Ratio is between 1.0 and 1.1 and one-year trend is positive (current year ratio is higher than last year's). <i>Note: For schools in their first or second year of operation, the current ratio must be greater than or equal to 1.1.</i></p> <p>Does Not Meet Standard: Current Ratio is between 0.9 and 1.0 or equals 1.0 OR Current Ratio is between 1.0 and 1.1 and one-year trend is negative.</p> <p>Falls Far Below Standard: Current ratio is less than or equal to 0.9.</p>	Current Ratio is:		
		2.79	50	50.00
			10	
			0	50.00
Notes				
Measure 1b	Unrestricted Days Cash: Unrestricted Cash divided by (Total Expenses minus Depreciation Expense / 365)	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Unrestricted Days Cash	<p>Meets Standard: 60 Days Cash OR Between 30 and 60 Days Cash and one-year trend is positive. <i>Note: Schools in their first or second year of operation must have a minimum of 30 Days Cash.</i></p> <p>Does Note Meet Standard: Days Cash is between 15-30 days OR Days Cash is between 30-60 days and one-year trend is negative.</p> <p>Falls Far Below Standard: Fewer than 15 Days Cash.</p>	No. of Days Cash:		
			50	
		37	10	10.00
			0	10.00
Notes				
Measure 1c	Enrollment Variance: Actual Enrollment divided by Enrollment Projection in Charter School Board-Approved Budget	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Enrollment Variance	<p>Meets Standard: Enrollment Variance equals or exceeds 95 percent in the most recent year.</p> <p>Does Not Meet Standard: Enrollment Variance is between 85-95 percent in the most recent year.</p> <p>Falls Far Below Standard: Enrollment Variance is less than 85 percent in the most recent year.</p>	Variance is:		
		97.40%	50	50.00
			30	
			0	50.00
Notes				
Measure 1d	Default	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Default	<p>Meets Standard: School is not in default of loan covenant(s) and/or is not delinquent with debt service payments.</p>	No default or delinquency noted in audit	50	50.00
	<p>Does Not Meet Standard: Not applicable</p>			
	<p>Falls Far Below Standard: School is in default of loan covenant(s) and/or is delinquent with debt service payments.</p>		0	50.00
Notes				

INDICATOR 2: SUSTAINABILITY MEASURES				
Measure 2a	Total Margin: Net Income divided by Total Revenue AND Aggregated Total Margin: Total 3-Year Net Income divided by Total 3-Year Revenues	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Total Margin and Aggregated 3-Year Total Margin	<p>Meets Standard: Aggregated 3-year Total Margin is positive and the most recent year Total Margin is positive OR Aggregated 3-Year Total Margin is greater than -1.5 percent, the trend is positive for the last two years, and the most recent year Total Margin is positive. <i>Note: For schools in their first or second year of operation, the cumulative Total Margin must be positive.</i></p> <p>Does Not Meet Standard: Aggregated 3-Year Total Margin is greater than -1.5 percent, but trend does not "Meet Standard"</p> <p>Falls Far Below Standard: Aggregated 3-Year Total Margin is less than or equal to -1.5 percent OR The most recent year Total Margin is less than -10 percent.</p>	Aggregated 3-Year Totals:		
		16.30%	50	50.00
			10	
			0	
				50.00
Notes	Due to the Restatement of Pension Liability, as required by GASB 68, Net Position may be higher than expected. Changes in Net Position due to pension restatement that do not provide or require current financial resources have been removed from the Net Position calculation. This restatement had no material effect on the standard outcome.			
Measure 2b	Debt to Asset Ratio: Total Liabilities divided by Total Assets	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Debt to Asset Ratio	<p>Meets Standard: Debt to Asset Ratio is less than 0.9</p> <p>Does Not Meet Standard: Debt to Asset Ratio is between 0.9 and 1.0</p> <p>Falls Far Below Standard: Debt to Asset Ratio is greater than 1.0</p>	Ratio is:		
		0.39	50	50.00
			30	
			0	
				50.00
Notes	Due to the Restatement of Pension Liability, as required by GASB 68, Total Liabilities may be higher than expected. The pension liability was removed from the Total Liability calculation in the reported standard outcome. This restatement had no material effect on the standard outcome.			
Measure 2c	Cash Flow: Multi-Year Cash Flow = Year 3 Total Cash - Year 1 Total Cash AND One-Year Cash Flow = Year 2 Total Cash - Year 1 Total Cash	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Cash Flow	<p>Meets Standard (in one of two ways): Multi-Year Cumulative Cash Flow is positive and Cash Flow is positive each year OR Multi-Year Cumulative Cash Flow is positive, Cash Flow is positive in one of two years, and Cash Flow in the most recent year is positive. <i>Note: Schools in their first or second year of operation must have positive cash flow.</i></p> <p>Does Not Meet Standard: Multi-Year Cumulative Cash Flow is positive, but trend does not "Meet Standard"</p> <p>Falls Far Below Standard: Multi-Year Cumulative Cash Flow is negative</p>	Multi-Year Cumulative is:		
		\$4,285	50	50.00
			30	
			0	
				50.00
Notes				
Measure 2d	Debt Service Coverage Ratio: (Net Income + Depreciation + Interest Expense+Lease Payments)/(Annual Principal, Interest, and Lease Payments)	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Debt Service Coverage Ratio	<p>Meets Standard: Debt Service Coverage Ratio is equal to or exceeds 1.1</p> <p>Does Not Meet Standard: Debt Service Coverage Ratio is less than 1.1</p> <p>Falls Far Below Standard: Not Applicable</p>	Ratio is:		
			50	
		0.71	0	0.00
				0.00
Notes	Due to the Restatement of Pension Liability, as required by GASB 68, Net Position may be higher than expected. Changes in Net Position due to pension restatement that do not provide or require current financial resources have been removed from the Net Position calculation. This restatement had no material effect on the standard outcome.			

RHPCS --- LONGITUDINAL RESULTS


ACADEMIC	Measure	Possible Points	2013-14 POINTS EARNED*	2014-15 POINTS EARNED	2015-16 POINTS EARNED	2016-17 POINTS EARNED	2017-18 POINTS EARNED
State/Federal Accountability	1a	25	20.00	0.00	0.00		
	1b	25	15.00	15.00	0.00		
Proficiency	2a	75	62.74	0.00	0.00		
	2b	75	56.00	28.30	30.25		
	2c	75	53.04	38.90	39.20		
Growth	3a	100	77.86	0.00	0.00		
	3b	100	57.22	0.00	0.00		
	3c	100	62.78	0.00	0.00		
	3d	75	46.91	0.00	0.00		
	3e	75	41.96	0.00	0.00		
	3f	75	46.91	0.00	0.00		
	3g	100	66.00	0.00	0.00		
College & Career Readiness	4a						
	4b1 / 4b2						
	4c						
Total Possible Academic Points Received		900	606.41	82.20	69.45	0.00	0.00
% of Possible Academic Points for This School			67.38%	46.97%	46.30%	0.00%	0.00%
*2013-14 academic results are based on 2012-13 ISAT. Subsequent outcomes are based on the ISAT by SBAC and should not be directly compared to 2013-14 data.							

MISSION-SPECIFIC	Measure	Possible Points	2013-14 POINTS EARNED	2014-15 POINTS EARNED	2015-16 POINTS EARNED	2016-17 POINTS EARNED	2017-18 POINTS EARNED
Safe Learning Environment / Pro Social Behavior	1	200	N/A	38.89	33.33		
Civic Engagement	2	200	N/A	38.89	33.33		
Reading Proficiency, Young Students	3	200	N/A	38.89	26.67		
Total Possible Mission-Specific Points Received		600	0.00	116.67	93.33	0.00	0.00
% of Possible Mission-Specific Points for This School			N/A	100.00%	93.34%	0.00%	0.00%

OPERATIONAL	Measure	Possible Points	2013-14 POINTS EARNED	2014-15 POINTS EARNED	2015-16 POINTS EARNED	2016-17 POINTS EARNED	2017-18 POINTS EARNED
Educational Program	1a	25	25	25	25		
	1b	25	25	25	25		
	1c	25	25	25	15		
	1d	25	25	25	25		
Financial Management & Oversight	2a	25	15	25	15		
	2b	25	25	25	25		
Governance & Reporting	3a	25	25	25	25		
	3b	25	25	25	25		
Students & Employees	4a	25	25	25	25		
	4b	25	25	25	25		
	4c	25	25	25	25		
	4d	25	25	25	25		
School Environment	5a	25	15	15	15		
	5b	25	25	25	25		
	5c	25	25	25	25		
Additional Obligations	6a	25	25	25	25		
Total Possible Operational Points Received		400	380.00	390.00	370.00	0.00	0.00
% of Possible Operational Points for This School			95.00%	97.50%	92.50%	0.00%	0.00%

FINANCIAL	Measure	Possible Points	2013-14 POINTS EARNED	2014-15 POINTS EARNED	2015-16 POINTS EARNED	2016-17 POINTS EARNED	2017-18 POINTS EARNED
Near-Term Measures	1a	50	50	50	50		
	1b	50	50	50	10		
	1c	50	50	50	50		
	1d	50	50	50	50		
Sustainability Measures	2a	50	50	50	50		
	2b	50	50	50	50		
	2c	50	50	50	50		
	2d	50	0	50	0		
Total Possible Financial Points Received		400	350.00	400.00	310.00	0.00	0.00
% of Possible Financial Points for This School			87.50%	100.00%	77.50%	0.00%	0.00%

ACCOUNTABILITY DESIGNATION	2013-14 DESIGNATION	2014-15 DESIGNATION	2015-16 DESIGNATION	2016-17 DESIGNATION	2017-18 DESIGNATION
Academic & Mission-Specific	Good Standing	Good Standing	Good Standing		
Operational	Honor	Honor	Honor		
Financial	Honor	Honor	Good Standing		

A decorative graphic consisting of several overlapping blue triangles and trapezoids, creating a layered, geometric effect. It is positioned on the left side of the page, partially behind the quote.

"Performance-based accountability is the cornerstone of charter schools."

Alison Consoletti, The Center for Education Reform



Rolling Hills Public Charter School



ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2014-2015

Idaho Public Charter School Commission
304 North 8th Street, Room 242
Boise, Idaho 83702

Phone: (208) 332-1561
chartercommission.idaho.gov

Alan Reed, Chairman
Tamara Baysinger, Director

Distributed January 2016

Introduction

Each year, Idaho's Public Charter School Commission (PCSC) issues a performance report to every school in its portfolio. The annual report serves several purposes:

1. To provide transparent, data-driven information about charter school quality;
2. To ensure that charter school boards have access to clear expectations and are provided maximum opportunity to correct any deficiencies prior to their renewal year; and
3. To inform mid-term decision making, such as the evaluation of charter amendment proposals.

This report contains an overview of the school, including its history, mission, leadership, and demographics. The overview is followed by the school's performance framework, including outcomes for the most recently completed school year.

The performance framework is comprised of four sections: Academic, Mission-Specific, Operational, and Financial. Each section contains a number of measures intended to evaluate the school's performance against specific criteria. The scorecard pages of the framework offer a summary of the school's scores and accountability designation ranging from Honor (high) to Critical (low).

Due to significant and ongoing changes to the state's school accountability system, many of the academic measures in the performance framework could not be scored this year. Data for all of the growth measures and most of the post-secondary readiness measures was unavailable. As a result, academic framework scores cannot reflect the intended scope of information.

Additionally, although ISAT Math and English Language Arts proficiency data was available, it was gathered using an assessment that the state adopted subsequent to the framework's development. The cut scores used to establish proficiency remain under evaluation, and it cannot be determined at this time whether or not the rating categories within each framework measure are appropriate in the context of the new assessment.

For these reasons, we have eliminated academic framework scores from this report and instead provided comparisons of the public charter schools' proficiency rates to those of the state as a whole, as well as to area schools that serve similar grade ranges. In some cases, comparisons cannot be provided because the data is masked per state law or statistical irrelevance.

To facilitate a clearer context for the academic results contained in this report, the demographic, enrollment, and school leadership data provided is from the 2014-15 school year. Updated enrollment and school leadership information is available upon request from the school or PCSC office.

Schools had an opportunity to correct or clarify their framework outcomes prior to the publication of this report.

Public charter school operations are inherently complex. For this reason, readers are encouraged to consider the scores on individual measures within the framework as a starting point for gaining full, contextualized understanding of the school's performance.

Additional information about how the performance framework was developed and how results may be interpreted is available on the PCSC's website: chartercommission.idaho.gov.

School Overview

Mission Statement	The Rolling Hills Public Charter School mission is to develop educated and engaged citizens through high expectations for student behavior and academic success in a small, safe, structured environment.	
Key Design Elements	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Create a physically and emotionally safe learning environment; • Utilize citizenship education through the Six Pillars of Character; • Integrate service learning; • Teach to the high through the core curriculum, including the Shurley method; • Offer electives to middle school students. 	
School Contact Information	Address: 8900 N. Horseshoe Bend Road, Boise, Idaho 83714	Phone: 208-939-5400
Surrounding District	West Ada School District	
Neighboring District	Boise Independent School District	
Opening Year	2005	
Current Term	October 10, 2013 – June 30, 2018	
Grades Served	K - 8	
Enrollment	Approved: 278	Actual: 247

School Leadership (2014-2015)	Role
Scot Carley	Chairman
Jeremy Evans	Vice Chair
Jennifer Sweet-Fears	Treasurer
Rachel Tracy	Secretary
Rebecca Evans	Member
Carrie Riddick	Member
Shane Pratt	Administrator

	School	Surrounding District (West Ada)	Neighboring District (Boise)	State
Non-White	██████	17.36%	23.64%	23.59%
Limited English Proficiency	██████	5.18%	12.02%	8.52%
Special Needs	██████	9.87%	10.91%	10.43%
Free & Reduced Lunch	██████	29.08%	48.80%	49.62%

Academic Measure	Result
State Accountability Designation (if applicable)	██████
Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding Proficiency in Math	██████
Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding Proficiency in English Language Arts	██████
Graduation Rate (4-year cohort data from 2014)	N/A

Meridian Area Grades K-12 ELA Percentage Proficient/Advanced



Meridian Area Grades K-12 Math Percentage Proficient/Advanced



PUBLIC CHARTER SCHOOL COMMISSION - PERFORMANCE FRAMEWORK

Name of School: Rolling Hills Public Charter School Year Opened: 2005 Operating Term: 10/10/13 - 6/30/18 Date Executed: 10/10/2013

Introduction

Idaho's charter school legislation requires each public charter school authorizer to develop a Performance Framework on which the provisions of the Performance Certificate will be based. Performance Frameworks must clearly set forth the academic and operational performance indicators, measures, and metrics that will guide the authorizer's evaluations of each public charter school, and must contain the following:

- Indicators, measures, and metrics for student academic proficiency;
- Indicators, measures, and metrics for student academic growth;
- Indicators, measures, and metrics for college and career readiness (for high schools); and
- Indicators, measures, and metrics for board performance and stewardship, including compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and terms of the performance certificate.

The measurable performance targets contained within the framework must require, at a minimum, that each school meet applicable federal, state, and authorizer goals for student achievement. This Performance Framework was adopted by the Public Charter School Commission (PCSC) on August 30, 2013, and is intended for use with non-alternative public charter schools authorized by the PCSC.

Performance Framework Structure

The Performance Framework is divided into four sections: Academic, Mission-Specific, Operational, and Financial. The Academic and Mission-Specific sections comprise the primary indicators on which most renewal or non-renewal decisions will be based. The Operational and Financial sections contribute additional indicators that will, except in cases of egregious failure to meet standards, be considered secondary.

Academic:

A high percentage (60%) of a school's total score for the Academic & Mission Specific Accountability Designation reflects the school's performance on a set of academic measures. These measures are the same for all non-alternative schools. The "Meets Standard" rating for each measure is designed to align closely with state minimum standards as established in Idaho's ESEA waiver and Star Rating System.

Mission-Specific:

A significant portion (40%) of a school's total score for the Academic & Mission Specific Accountability Designation reflects the school's performance on a set of mission-specific measures. These measures may be academic or non-academic in nature, but must be objective and data-driven. The number and weighting of mission-specific measures should be established during one-on-one negotiations between the school and authorizer.

During their first Performance Certificate term only, schools authorized to open in or before Fall 2014 may choose to opt out of the Mission-Specific section of the framework. Schools choosing to opt out of Mission-Specific measures for their first term agree that the weight of those measures will be placed instead on the Academic section, which then becomes the single, primary factor considered for purposes of renewal or non-renewal.

Operational:

Operational indicators comprise a secondary element for consideration during the renewal process. While each school will receive a score in the operational section, this score should not be used as the primary rationale for non-renewal unless the non-compliance with organizational expectations is severe or systemic. Particularly for a school whose academic performance meets or exceeds standards, poor results in this area are more likely to lead to a conditional renewal decision than to non-renewal.

Financial:

Financial indicators comprise a secondary element for consideration during the renewal process. While each school will receive a score in the financial section, this score should not be used as the primary rationale for non-renewal unless the school's financial state at the time of renewal is dire. Particularly for a school whose academic performance meets or exceeds standards, poor results in this area are more likely to lead to a conditional renewal decision than to non-renewal. The PCSC may also elect to renew a financially troubled school that is clearly providing a high quality education, but notify the SDE of the situation so that the payment schedule may be modified in order to safeguard taxpayer dollars.

Accountability Designations

Calculation of the percentage of eligible points earned for each school will guide the determination of that school's accountability designation: Honor, Good Standing, Remediation, or Critical. The accountability designation will, in turn, guide the PCSC's renewal or non-renewal decision-making. Measures for which a school lacks data due to factors such as grade configuration or small size will not contribute to that school's accountability designation. The PCSC will consider contextual factors affecting a school's accountability designation when making renewal or non-renewal decisions.

Honor:

Schools achieving at this level in all categories (academic, mission-specific, operational, and financial) are eligible for special recognition and will be recommended for renewal. Replication and expansion proposals are likely to succeed. The Framework places schools that earn 75-100% of the combined academic and mission-specific points possible in this accountability designation. It is possible for 5-star schools, high-range 4-star schools with solid mission-specific outcomes, and mid-range 4-star schools with strong mission-specific outcomes to receive an honor designation. Schools that fall into this point-percentage category but have poor operational and/or financial outcomes will not be eligible for an honor designation.

Good Standing:

Schools achieving at this level will be recommended for renewal; however, conditional renewal may be recommended if operational and/or financial outcomes are poor. Replication and expansion proposals will be considered. To be placed in this category, schools must receive the appropriate percentage of the combined academic and mission-specific points possible and have at least a 3-star rating. The Framework places schools that earn 55-74% of the combined academic and mission-specific points possible in this accountability designation. It is possible for 3-star or 4-star schools with solid mission-specific outcomes, or 5-star schools with poor mission-specific, financial, and/or operational outcomes to receive a good standing designation. Although 2-star schools with strong mission-specific outcomes could fall into this point-percentage range, they would not be eligible to receive a good standing designation due to their star ratings; the Framework is drafted thus in recognition of Idaho's statutory provision that the performance framework shall, at a minimum, require that each school meet applicable federal and state goals for student achievement.

Remediation:

Schools achieving at this level may be recommended for non-renewal or conditional renewal, particularly if operational and/or financial outcomes are poor. Replication and expansion proposals are unlikely to succeed. The Framework places schools that earn 31-54% of the combined academic and mission-specific points possible in this accountability designation. It is possible for 3-star schools with poor mission-specific outcomes, 2-star schools, or 1-star schools with strong mission-specific outcomes to receive a remediation designation.

Critical:

Schools achieving at this level face a strong likelihood of non-renewal, particularly if operational and/or financial outcomes are also poor. Replication and expansion proposals should not be considered. The Framework places schools that earn less than 30% of the combined academic and mission-specific points possible in this accountability designation. It is possible for 1-star schools or 2-star schools with poor mission-specific outcomes to receive a Critical designation.

RHPCS --- PERFORMANCE FRAMEWORK SCORING

ACADEMIC	Measure	Possible Elem / MS Points	% of Total Points	POINTS EARNED	Possible HS Points	% of Total Points	POINTS EARNED
State/Federal Accountability	1a	25	0%	0.00			
	1b	25	9%	15.00			
Proficiency	2a	75	0%	0.00			
	2b	75	26%	28.30			
	2c	75	26%	38.90			
Growth	3a	100	0%	0.00			
	3b	100	0%	0.00			
	3c	100	0%	0.00			
	3d	75	0%	0.00			
	3e	75	0%	0.00			
	3f	75	0%	0.00			
	3g	100	0%	0.00			
	4a						
College & Career Readiness	4b1 / 4b2						
	4c						
Total Possible Academic Points		900	60%				
- Points from Non-Applicable		725					
Total Possible Academic Points for This School		175					
Total Academic Points Received				82.20			
% of Possible Academic Points for This School				46.97%			

MISSION-SPECIFIC	Measure	Possible Points	% of Total Points	POINTS EARNED	Possible Points	% of Total Points	POINTS EARNED
Safe Learning Environment / Pro Social Behavior	1	38.89		38.89			
Civic Engagement	2	38.89		38.89			
Reading Proficiency, Young Students	3	38.89		38.89			
Total Possible Mission-Specific Points		116.67	40%	116.67			
Total Mission-Specific Points Received				116.67			
% of Possible Mission-Specific Points Received				100.00%			
TOTAL POSSIBLE ACADEMIC & MISSION-SPECIFIC POINTS		291.67					
TOTAL POINTS RECEIVED				198.87			
% OF POSSIBLE ACADEMIC & MISSION-SPECIFIC POINTS				68.18%			

OPERATIONAL	Measure	Points Possible	% of Total Points	Points Earned
Educational Program	1a	25	6%	25.00
	1b	25	6%	25.00
	1c	25	6%	25.00
	1d	25	6%	25.00
Financial Management & Oversight	2a	25	6%	25.00
	2b	25	6%	25.00
Governance & Reporting	3a	25	6%	25.00
	3b	25	6%	25.00
Students & Employees	4a	25	6%	25.00
	4b	25	6%	25.00
	4c	25	6%	25.00
	4d	25	6%	25.00
School Environment	5a	25	6%	15.00
	5b	25	6%	25.00
	5c	25	6%	25.00
Additional Obligations	6a	25	6%	25.00
TOTAL OPERATIONAL POINTS		400	100%	390.00
% OF POSSIBLE OPERATIONAL POINTS				97.50%

FINANCIAL	Measure	Points Possible	% of Total Points	Points Earned
Near-Term Measures	1a	50	13%	50.00
	1b	50	13%	50.00
	1c	50	13%	50.00
	1d	50	13%	50.00
Sustainability Measures	2a	50	13%	50.00
	2b	50	13%	50.00
	2c	50	13%	50.00
	2d	50	13%	50.00
TOTAL FINANCIAL POINTS		400	100%	400.00
% OF POSSIBLE FINANCIAL POINTS				100.00%

The financial measures included here are based on industry standards. They are not intended to reflect the nuances of a school's financial status. A low score on any single measure indicates only the *possibility* of a problem. In many cases, contextual information that alleviates concern is provided in the notes that accompany individual measures. Please see the financial section of this framework for additional detail.

RHPCS --- PERFORMANCE FRAMEWORK SCORING

ACCOUNTABILITY DESIGNATION	Academic & Mission-Specific		Operational		Financial	
	Range	% of Points Possible Earned	Range	% of Points Possible Earned	Range	% of Points Possible Earned
Honor Schools achieving at this level in all categories are eligible for special recognition and will be recommended for renewal. Replication and expansion proposals are likely to succeed.	75% - 100% of points possible		90% - 100% of points possible	97.50%	85% - 100% of points possible	100.00%
Good Standing Schools achieving at this level in Academic & Mission-Specific will be recommended for renewal; however, conditional renewal may be recommended if Operational and/or Financial outcomes are poor. Replication and expansion proposals will be considered. To be placed in this category for Academic & Mission-Specific, schools must receive the appropriate percentage of points and have at least a Three Star Rating.	55% - 74% of points possible	68.18%	80% - 89% of points possible		65% - 84% of points possible	
Remediation Schools achieving at this level in Academic & Mission-Specific may be recommended for non-renewal or conditional renewal, particularly if Operational and/or Financial outcomes are also poor. Replication and expansion proposals are unlikely to succeed.	31% - 54% of points possible		61% - 79% of points possible		46% - 64% of points possible	
Critical Schools achieving at this level in Academic & Mission-Specific level face a strong likelihood of non-renewal, particularly if Operational and/or Financial outcomes are also poor. Replication and expansion proposals should not be considered.	0% - 30% of points possible		0% - 60% of points possible		0% - 45% of points possible	

INDICATOR 1: STATE AND FEDERAL ACCOUNTABILITY							
Measure 1a Overall Star Rating	Is the school meeting acceptable standards according to existing state grading or rating systems?	Result (Stars)	Points Possible	Points Earned			
		5	25				
	Exceeds Standard: School received five stars on the Star Rating System	4	20				
	Meets Standard: School received three or four stars on the Star Rating System	3	15				
	Does Not Meet Standard: School received two stars on the Star Rating System	2	0				
	Falls Far Below Standard: School received one star on the Star Rating System	1	0				
Notes					0		
Measure 1b State Designations	Is the school meeting state designation expectations as set forth by state and federal accountability systems?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned			
		Reward	25				
	Exceeds Standard: School was identified as a "Reward" school.	None	15	15			
	Meets Standard: School does not have a designation.	Focus	0				
	Does Not Meet Standard: School was identified as a "Focus" school.	Priority	0				
	Falls Far Below Standard: School was identified as a "Priority" school.			15			
Notes	The SDE has not yet determined state designations for the 2014-15 school year. Most schools will not have a designation under this category. However, your school’s designation and subsequent rating on this measure may change when the SDE finalizes the designations.						
INDICATOR 2: STUDENT ACADEMIC PROFICIENCY							
Measure 2a ISAT / SBA % Proficiency Reading	Are students achieving reading proficiency on state examinations?	Result (Percentage)	Points Possible	Possible in this Range	Percentile Targets	Percentile Points	Points Earned
			57-75	19	90-100	11	0
	Exceeds Standard: 90% or more of students met or exceeded proficiency.		38-56	19	65-89	25	0
	Meets Standard: Between 65-89% of students met or exceeded proficiency.		20-37	18	41-64	24	0
	Does Not Meet Standard: Between 41-64% of students met or exceeded proficiency.		0-19	19	1-40	40	0
	Falls Far Below Standard: Fewer than 41% of students met or exceeded proficiency.						0
Notes							
Measure 2b ISAT / SBA % Proficiency Math	Are students achieving math proficiency on state examinations?	Result (Percentage)	Points Possible	Possible in this Range	Percentile Targets	Percentile Points	Points Earned
			57-75	19	90-100	11	0
	Exceeds Standard: 90% or more of students met or exceeded proficiency.		38-56	19	65-89	25	0
	Meets Standard: Between 65-89% of students met or exceeded proficiency.	52.40	20-37	18	41-64	24	28
	Does Not Meet Standard: Between 41-64% of students met or exceeded proficiency.		0-19	19	1-40	40	0
	Falls Far Below Standard: Fewer than 41% of students met or exceeded proficiency.						28
Notes							

		Result (Percentage)	Points Possible	Possible in this Range	Percentile Targets	Percentile Points	Points Earned
Measure 2c ISAT / SBA % Proficiency Language Arts	Are students achieving language proficiency on state examinations?						
	Exceeds Standard: 90% or more of students met or exceeded proficiency.		57-75	19	90-100	11	0
	Meets Standard: Between 65-89% of students met or exceeded proficiency.	66.50	38-56	19	65-89	25	39
	Does Not Meet Standard: Between 41-64% of students met or exceeded proficiency.		20-37	18	41-64	24	0
	Falls Far Below Standard: Fewer than 41% of students met or exceeded proficiency.		0-19	19	1-40	40	0
Notes							39
INDICATOR 3: STUDENT ACADEMIC GROWTH							
Measure 3a Criterion-Referenced Growth in Reading	Are students making adequate annual academic growth to achieve proficiency in reading with 3 years or by 10th grade?	Result (Percentage)	Points Possible	Possible in this Range	Percentile Targets	Percentile Points	Points Earned
	Exceeds Standard: At least 85% of students are making adequate academic growth.		76-100	25	85-100	16	0
	Meets Standard: Between 70-84% of students are making adequate academic growth.		51-75	25	70-84	15	0
	Does Not Meet Standard: Between 50-69% of students are making adequate academic growth.		26-50	25	50-69	20	0
	Falls Far Below Standard: Fewer than 50% of students are making adequate academic growth.		0-25	25	1-49	49	0
Notes							0
Measure 3b Criterion-Referenced Growth in Math	Are students making adequate annual academic growth to achieve math proficiency within 3 years or by 10th grade?	Result (Percentage)	Points Possible	Points possible in this Range	Percentile Targets	Percentile Points	Points Earned
	Exceeds Standard: At least 85% of students are making adequate academic growth.		76-100	25	85-100	16	0
	Meets Standard: Between 70-84% of students are making adequate academic growth.		51-75	25	70-84	15	0
	Does Not Meet Standard: Between 50-69% of students are making adequate academic growth.		26-50	25	50-69	20	0
	Falls Far Below Standard: Fewer than 50% of students are making adequate academic growth.		0-25	25	1-49	49	0
Notes							0
Measure 3c Criterion-Referenced Growth in Language	Are students making adequate annual academic growth to achieve language proficiency within 3 years or by 10th grade?	Result (Percentage)	Points Possible	Possible in this Range	Percentile Targets	Percentile Points	Points Earned
	Exceeds Standard: At least 85% of students are making adequate academic growth.		76-100	25	85-100	16	0
	Meets Standard: Between 70-84% of students are making adequate academic growth.		51-75	25	70-84	15	0
	Does Not Meet Standard: Between 50-69% of students are making adequate academic growth.		26-50	25	50-69	20	0
	Falls Far Below Standard: Fewer than 50% of students are making adequate academic growth.		0-25	25	1-49	49	0
Notes							0

RHPCS --- ACADEMIC FRAMEWORK (2014-2015 data)

Measure 3d Norm-Referenced Growth in Reading	Are students making expected annual academic growth in reading compared to their academic peers?	Result (Percentile)	Points Possible	Possible in this Range	Percentile Targets	Percentile Points	Points Earned
	Exceeds Standard: The school's Median SGP in reading falls between the 66 th and 99 th percentile.		57-75	19	66-99	34	0
	Meets Standard: The school's Median SGP in reading falls between the 43 rd and 65 th percentile.		38-56	19	43-65	23	0
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school's Median SGP in reading falls between the 30 th and 42 th percentile.		20-37	18	30-42	13	0
	Falls Far Below Standard: The school's Median SGP in reading falls below the 30 th percentile.		0-19	19	1-29	29	0
Notes							0
Measure 3e Norm-Referenced Growth in Math	Are students making expected annual academic growth in math compared to their academic peers?	Result (Percentile)	Points Possible	Possible in this Range	Percentile Targets	Percentile Points	Points Earned
	Exceeds Standard: The school's Median SGP in math falls between the 66 th and 99 th percentile.		57-75	19	66-99	34	0
	Meets Standard: The school's Median SGP in math falls between the 43 rd and 65 th percentile.		38-56	19	43-65	23	0
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school's Median SGP in math falls between the 30 th and 42 th percentile.		20-37	18	30-42	13	0
	Falls Far Below Standard: The school's Median SGP in math falls below the 30 th percentile.		0-19	19	1-29	29	0
Notes							0
Measure 3f Norm-Referenced Growth in Language	Are students making expected annual academic growth in language compared to their academic peers?	Result (Percentile)	Points Possible	Possible in this Range	Percentile Targets	Percentile Points	Points Earned
	Exceeds Standard: The school's Median SGP in language arts falls between the 66 th and 99 th percentile.		57-75	19	66-99	34	0
	Meets Standard: The school's Median SGP in language arts falls between the 43 rd and 65 th percentile.		38-56	19	43-65	23	0
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school's Median SGP in language arts falls between the 30 th and 42 th percentile.		20-37	18	30-42	13	0
	Falls Far Below Standard: The school's Median SGP in language arts falls below the 30 th percentile.		0-19	19	1-29	29	0
Notes							0
Measure 3g Subgroup Growth Combined Subjects	Is the school increasing subgroup academic performance over time?	Result (Percentage)	Points Possible	Possible in this Range	Percentile Targets	Percentile Points	Points Earned
	Exceeds Standard: School earned at least 70% of possible points in SRS Accountability Area 3.		76-100	25	70-100	31	0
	Meets Standard: School earned 45-69% of possible points in SRS Accountability Area 3.		51-75	25	45-69	25	0
	Does Not Meet Standard: School earned 30-44% of possible points in SRS Accountability Area 3.		26-50	25	30-44	15	0
	Falls Far Below Standard: School earned fewer than 30% of possible points in SRS Accountability Area 3.		0-25	25	1-29	29	0
Notes							0

INDICATOR 4: COLLEGE AND CAREER READINESS							
Measure 4a Advanced Opportunity	Are students participating successfully in advance opportunity coursework?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned			
Coursework	Exceeds Standard: School earned 5 points in SRS Post-Secondary Content Area: Advanced Opportunity	5	50				
	Meets Standard: School earned 3-4 points in SRS Post-Secondary Content Area: Advanced Opportunity	3-4	30				
	Does Not Meet Standard: School earned 2 points in SRS Post-Secondary Content Area: Advanced Opportunity	2	10				
	Falls Far Below Standard: School earned 1 or fewer points in SRS Post-Secondary Content Area: Adv Oppty	1	0				
Notes				0			
Measure 4b1 College Entrance Exam Results	Does students' performance on college entrance exams reflect college readiness?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned			
	Exceeds Standard: Effective in 2013-14, at least 35% of students met or exceeded the college readiness benchmark on an entrance or placement exam.	5	50				
	Meets Standard: Effective in 2013-14, between 25-34% of students met or exceeded the college readiness benchmark on an entrance or placement exam.)	3-4	30				
	Does Not Meet Standard: Effective in 2013-14, between 20-24% of students met or exceeded the college readiness benchmark on an entrance or placement exam.)	2	10				
	Falls Far Below Standard: Effective in 2013-14, fewer than 20% of students met or exceeded the college readiness benchmark on an entrance or placement exam.	1	0				
Notes				0			
Measure 4b2 College Entrance Exam Results	Does students' performance on college entrance exams reflect college readiness?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned			
	Exceeds Standard: Effective in 2014-15 and thereafter, at least 45% of students met or exceeded the college readiness benchmark on an entrance or placement exam.	5	50				
	Meets Standard: Effective in 2014-15 and thereafter, between 35-44% of students met or exceeded the college readiness benchmark on an entrance or placement exam.	3-4	30				
	Does Not Meet Standard: Effective in 2014-15 and thereafter, between 30-34% of students met or exceeded the college readiness benchmark on an entrance or placement exam.	2	10				
	Falls Far Below Standard: Effective in 2014-15 and thereafter, fewer than 30% of students met or exceeded the college readiness benchmark on an entrance or placement exam.	1	0				
Notes				0			
Measure 4c Graduation Rate	Are students graduating from high school?	Result (Percentage)	Possible Overall	Possible in this Range	Percentile Targets	Percentile Points	Points Earned
	Exceeds Standard: At least 90% of students graduated from high school.		39-50	12	90-100	11	0
	Meets Standard: 81-89% of students graduated from high school.		26-38	13	81-89	9	0
	Does Not Meet Standard: 71%-80% of students graduated from high school.		14-25	12	71-80	10	0
	Falls Far Below Standard: Fewer than 70% of students graduated from high school.		0-13	13	1-70	70	0
Notes							0

RHPCS --- MISSION-SPECIFIC FRAMEWORK

MISSION-SPECIFIC GOALS				
Measure 1	Is the school helping students increase their pro-social behavior?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
	<p>Exceeds Standard: 90% to 100% of 4th and 5th grade students who ranked in tier 1 - 4 on the fall benchmark AIMSWEB Prosocial Behavior Scale Social Skills Improvement System (SSIS) increased by one tier or more by the spring benchmark.</p> <p>Meets Standard: 70% to 89% of 4th and 5th grade students who ranked in tier 1 - 4 on the fall benchmark SSIS increased by one tier or more by the spring benchmark.</p> <p>Does Not Meet Standard: 40% to 69% of 4th and 5th grade students who ranked in tier 1 - 4 on the fall benchmark SSIS increased by one tier or more by the spring benchmark.</p> <p>Falls Far Below Standard: Less than 40% of 4th and 5th grade students who ranked in tier 1 - 4 on the fall benchmark SSIS increased by one tier or more by the spring benchmark.</p>	91.6	200	200
			160	
			100	
			0	
				200.00
Notes	Results will be reported by the school to the PCSC by October 1 of each year.			
Measure 2	Is the school helping middle school students increase civic engagement through service learning projects?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
	<p>Exceeds Standard: 90% to 100% of 6th through 8th grade students who participate in a service learning project scored at least 40 out of 50 on the Civic Responsibility Level 2 survey tool.</p> <p>Meets Standard: 80% to 89% of 6th through 8th grade students who participate in a service learning project scored at least 40 out of 50 on the Civic Responsibility Level 2 survey tool.</p> <p>Does Not Meet Standard: 30% to 79% of 6th through 8th grade students who participate in a service learning project scored at least 40 out of 50 on the Civic Responsibility Level 2 survey tool.</p> <p>Falls Far Below Standard: Less than 30% of 6th through 8th grade students who participate in a service learning project scored at least 40 out of 50 on the Civic Responsibility Level 2 survey tool.</p>	90.5	200	200
			160	
			100	
			0	
				200.00
Notes	The assessment tool to be used is the Civic Responsibility Survey Level 2 (Middle School) developed in 1998 by Furco, Muller, and Ammon at the Service Learning Research and Development Center, University of CA, Berkeley. Results will be reported by the school to the PCSC by October 1 of each year.			

RHPCS --- MISSION-SPECIFIC FRAMEWORK

		Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Measure 3	Is the school helping a high percentage of young students reach proficiency in reading?			
	Exceeds Standard: 95% -100% of 1st through 3rd grade students achieved benchmark proficiency on the spring Idaho Reading Indicator (IRI).	95	200	200
	Meets Standard: 80% -94% of 1st through 3rd grade students achieved benchmark proficiency on the spring IRI.		160	
	Does Not Meet Standard: 50% -79% of 1st through 3rd grade students achieved benchmark proficiency on the spring IRI.		100	
	Falls Far Below Standard: Less than 49% of 1st through 3rd grade students achieved benchmark proficiency on the spring IRI.			
				<u>200.00</u>
Notes	Results will be reported by the school to the PCSC by October 1 of each year.			

INDICATOR 1: EDUCATIONAL PROGRAM			
Measure 1a Implementation of Educational Program	Is the school implementing the material terms of the educational program as defined in the performance certificate?	Result	Points Possible
	Meets Standard: The school implements the material terms of the mission, vision, and educational program in all material respects and the implementation of the educational program reflects the essential elements outlined in the performance certificate, or the school has gained approval for a charter modification to the material terms.	No instances of non-compliance documented	25
	Does Not Meet Standard: School has deviated from the material terms of the mission, vision, and essential elements of the educational program as described in the performance certificate, without approval for a charter modification, such that the program provided differs substantially from the program described in the charter and performance certificate.		0
Notes			25.00
Measure 1b Education Requirements	Is the school complying with applicable education requirements?	Result	Points Possible
	Exceeds Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to education requirements, including but not limited to: Instructional time requirements, graduation and promotion requirements, content standards including the Common Core State Standards, the Idaho State Standards, State assessments, and implementation of mandated programming related to state or federal funding.	No instances of non-compliance documented	25
	Meets Standard: The school has exhibited non-compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to the education requirements; however, matters of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		15
Notes			0
Measure 1c Students with Disabilities	Is the school protecting the rights of students with disabilities?	Result	Points Possible
	Exceeds Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to the treatment of students with identified disabilities and those suspected of having a disability, including but not limited to: Equitable access and opportunity to enroll; identification and referral; appropriate development and implementation of IEPs and Section 504 plans; operational compliance, including provision of services in the LRE and appropriate inclusion in the school's academic program, assessments, and extracurricular activities; discipline, including due process protections, manifestation determinations, and behavioral intervention plans; access to the school's facility and program; appropriate use of all available, applicable funding.	No instances of non-compliance documented	25
	Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to the treatment of students with identified disabilities and those suspected of having a disability. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		15
Notes			0
			25.00

		Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Measure 1d English Language Learners	Is the school protecting the rights of English Language Learner (ELL) students?			
	Exceeds Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to requirements regarding ELLs, including but not limited to: Equitable access and opportunity to enroll; required policies related to the service of ELL students; compliance with native language communication requirements; proper steps for identification of students in need of ELL services; appropriate and equitable delivery of services to identified students; appropriate accommodations on assessments; exiting of students from ELL services; and ongoing monitoring of exited students. Matters of non-compliance, if any, are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.	No instances of non-compliance documented	25	25.00
	Meets Standard: The school has exhibited non-compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to the treatment of ELL students; however, matters of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		15	
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant non-compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to requirements regarding ELLs; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		0	
Notes				25.00
INDICATOR 2: FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT AND OVERSIGHT				
Measure 2a Financial Reporting and Compliance	Is the school meeting financial reporting and compliance requirements?			
	Exceeds Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to financial reporting requirements, including but not limited to: Complete and on-time submission of financial reports including annual budget, revised budgets (if applicable), periodic financial reports as required by the PCSC, and any reporting requirements if the board contracts with and Education Service Provider; on-time submission and completion of the annual independent audit and corrective action plans (if applicable); and all reporting requirements related to the use of public funds.	No instances of non-compliance documented	25	25.00
	Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to financial reporting requirements. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		15	
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to financial reporting requirements; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		0	
Notes				25.00
Measure 2b GAAP	Is the school following Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP)?			
	Meets Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to financial management and oversight expectations as evidenced by an annual independent audit, including but not limited to: An unqualified audit opinion; an audit devoid of significant findings and conditions, material weaknesses, or significant internal control weaknesses; and an audit that does not include a going concern disclosure in the notes or an explanatory paragraph within the audit report.	No instances of non-compliance documented	25	25.00
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits failure to comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to financial management and oversight expectations as evidenced by an annual independent audits; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		0	
Notes				25.00

GOVERNANCE AND REPORTING		Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Measure 3a Governance Requirements	Is the school complying with governance requirements?			
	Exceeds Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to governance by its board, including but not limited to: board policies; board bylaws; state open meetings law; code of ethics; conflicts of interest; board composition; and compensation for attendance at meetings.	No instances of non-compliance documented	25	25.00
	Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to governance by its board. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		15	
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to governance by its board; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		0	
Notes				25.00
		Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Measure 3b Reporting Requirements	Is the school complying with reporting requirements?			
	Exceeds Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and requirements of the performance certificate relating to relevant reporting requirements to the PCSC, the SDE, and/or federal authorities, including but not limited to: accountability tracking; attendance and enrollment reporting; compliance and oversight; additional information requested by the authorizer.	No instances of non-compliance documented	25	25.00
	Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to relevant reporting requirements to the PCSC, the SDE, and/or federal authorities. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		15	
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to relevant reporting requirements to the PCSC, the SDE, and/or federal authorities; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		0	
Notes				25.00
INDICATOR 4: STUDENTS AND EMPLOYEES		Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Measure 4a Student Rights	Is the school protecting the rights of all students?			
	Exceeds Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and requirements of the performance certificate relating to the rights of students, including but not limited to: policies and practices related to recruitment and enrollment; the collection and protection of student information; due process protections, privacy, civil rights, and student liberties requirements; conduct of discipline.	No instances of non-compliance documented	25	25.00
	Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to the rights of students. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		15	
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to the rights of students; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		0	
Notes				25.00

		Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Measure 4b Credentialing	Is the school meeting teacher and other staff credentialing requirements?			
	Exceeds Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and requirements of the performance certificate relating to state and federal certification requirements.	No instances of non-compliance documented	25	25.00
	Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or requirements of the performance certificate relating to state and federal certification requirements. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		15	
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to state and federal certification requirements; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		0	
Notes				25.00
		Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Measure 4c Employee Rights	Is the school complying with laws regarding employee rights?			
	Exceeds Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and requirements of the performance certificate relating to employment considerations, including those relating to the Family Medical Leave Act, the Americans with Disabilities Act, and employment contracts.	No instances of non-compliance documented	25	25.00
	Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or requirements of the performance certificate relating to employment considerations or employee rights. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		15	
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to employment considerations; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		0	
Notes				25.00
		Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Measure 4d Background Checks	Is the school completing required background checks?			
	Exceeds Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and requirements of the performance certificate relating to background checks of all applicable individuals.	No instances of non-compliance documented	25	25.00
	Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or requirements of the performance certificate relating to background checks of all applicable individuals. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		15	
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to background checks of all applicable individuals; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		0	
Notes				25.00

INDICATOR 5: SCHOOL ENVIRONMENT				
Measure 5a Facilities and Transportation	Is the school complying with facilities and transportation requirements? Exceeds Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and requirements of the performance certificate relating to the school facilities, grounds, and transportation, including but not limited to: American's with Disabilities Act, fire inspections and related records, viable certificate of occupancy or other required building use authorization, documentation of requisite insurance coverage, and student transportation. Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or requirements of the performance certificate relating to the school facilities, grounds, or transportation. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board. Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to the school facilities, grounds, and transportation; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
			25	
		See note	15	15.00
			0	<hr/> 15.00
Notes	The school does not offer student transportation. Historically, this decision has been left to schools' discretion; however, Idaho statute requires that public schools provide student transportation where practicable.			
Measure 5b Health and Safety	Is the school complying with health and safety requirements? Exceeds Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and requirements of the performance certificate relating to safety and the provision of health-related services. Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or requirements of the performance certificate relating to safety or the provision of health-related services. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board. Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to safety and the provision of health-related services; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
		No instances of non-compliance documented	25	25.00
			15	
			0	<hr/> 25.00
Notes				
Measure 5c Information Handling	Is the school handling information appropriately? Exceeds Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and requirements of the performance certificate relating to the handling of information, including but not limited to: maintaining the security of and providing access to student records under the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act and other applicable authorities; accessing documents maintained by the school under the state's Freedom of Information law and other applicable authorities; Transferring of student records; proper and secure maintenance of testing materials. Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or requirements of the performance certificate relating to the handling of information. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board. Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to the handling of information; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
		No instances of non-compliance documented	25	25.00
			15	
			0	<hr/> 25.00
Notes				

RHPCS --- OPERATIONAL FRAMEWORK

ADDITIONAL OBLIGATIONS				
Measure 6a Additional Obligations	Is the school complying with all other obligations?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
	<p>Meets Standard: The school materially complies with all other material legal, statutory, regulatory, or contractual requirements contained in its charter contract that are not otherwise explicitly stated herein, including but not limited to requirements from the following sources: revisions to state charter law; and requirements of the State Department of Education. Matters of non-compliance, if any, are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.</p> <p>Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with with all other material legal, statutory, regulatory, or contractual requirements contained in its charter contract that are not otherwise explicitly stated herein; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.</p>	See note	25	25.00
			0	
				25.00
Notes	<p>The school's 2013-14 annual performance report was not published on the school's website in accordance with §33-5209C, Idaho Code. Continued failure to meet this requirement may impact scores on future annual performance reports.</p>			

RHPCS --- FINANCIAL FRAMEWORK

INDICATOR 1: NEAR-TERM MEASURES											
Measure 1a	Current Ratio: Current Assets divided by Current Liabilities	Result Points Possible Points Earned									
Current Ratio	<p>Meets Standard: Current Ratio is greater than or equal to 1.1 OR Current Ratio is between 1.0 and 1.1 and one-year trend is positive (current year ratio is higher than last year's). <i>Note: For schools in their first or second year of operation, the current ratio must be greater than or equal to 1.1.</i></p> <p>Does Not Meet Standard: Current Ratio is between 0.9 and 1.0 or equals 1.0 OR Current Ratio is between 1.0 and 1.1 and one-year trend is negative.</p> <p>Falls Far Below Standard: Current ratio is less than or equal to 0.9.</p>	<p>Current Ratio is:</p> <table> <tr> <td>2.27</td><td>50</td><td>50.00</td></tr> <tr> <td></td><td>10</td><td></td></tr> <tr> <td></td><td>0</td><td>50.00</td></tr> </table>	2.27	50	50.00		10			0	50.00
2.27	50	50.00									
	10										
	0	50.00									
Notes											
Measure 1b	Unrestricted Days Cash: Unrestricted Cash divided by (Total Expenses minus Depreciation Expense / 365)	Result Points Possible Points Earned									
Unrestricted Days Cash	<p>Meets Standard: 60 Days Cash OR Between 30 and 60 Days Cash and one-year trend is positive. <i>Note: Schools in their first or second year of operation must have a minimum of 30 Days Cash.</i></p> <p>Does Note Meet Standard: Days Cash is between 15-30 days OR Days Cash is between 30-60 days and one-year trend is negative.</p> <p>Falls Far Below Standard: Fewer than 15 Days Cash.</p>	<p>No. of Days Cash:</p> <table> <tr> <td>42</td><td>50</td><td>50.00</td></tr> <tr> <td></td><td>10</td><td></td></tr> <tr> <td></td><td>0</td><td>50.00</td></tr> </table>	42	50	50.00		10			0	50.00
42	50	50.00									
	10										
	0	50.00									
Notes											
Measure 1c	Enrollment Variance: Actual Enrollment divided by Enrollment Projection in Charter School Board-Approved Budget	Result Points Possible Points Earned									
Enrollment Variance	<p>Meets Standard: Enrollment Variance equals or exceeds 95 percent in the most recent year.</p> <p>Does Not Meet Standard: Enrollment Variance is between 85-95 percent in the most recent year.</p> <p>Falls Far Below Standard: Enrollment Variance is less than 85 percent in the most recent year.</p>	<p>Variance is:</p> <table> <tr> <td>98.01%</td><td>50</td><td>50.00</td></tr> <tr> <td></td><td>30</td><td></td></tr> <tr> <td></td><td>0</td><td>50.00</td></tr> </table>	98.01%	50	50.00		30			0	50.00
98.01%	50	50.00									
	30										
	0	50.00									
Notes											
Measure 1d	Default	Result Points Possible Points Earned									
Default	<p>Meets Standard: School is not in default of loan covenant(s) and/or is not delinquent with debt service payments.</p> <p>Does Not Meet Standard: Not applicable</p> <p>Falls Far Below Standard: School is in default of loan covenant(s) and/or is delinquent with debt service payments.</p>	<table> <tr> <td>No default or delinquency noted in audit</td><td>50</td><td>50.00</td></tr> <tr> <td></td><td>0</td><td>50.00</td></tr> </table>	No default or delinquency noted in audit	50	50.00		0	50.00			
No default or delinquency noted in audit	50	50.00									
	0	50.00									
Notes											

INDICATOR 2: SUSTAINABILITY MEASURES				
Measure 2a	Total Margin: Net Income divided by Total Revenue AND Aggregated Total Margin: Total 3-Year Net Income divided by Total 3-Year Revenues	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Total Margin and Aggregated 3-Year Total Margin	<p>Meets Standard: Aggregated 3-year Total Margin is positive and the most recent year Total Margin is positive OR Aggregated 3-Year Total Margin is greater than -1.5 percent, the trend is positive for the last two years, and the most recent year Total Margin is positive. <i>Note: For schools in their first or second year of operation, the cumulative Total Margin must be positive.</i></p> <p>Does Not Meet Standard: Aggregated 3-Year Total Margin is greater than -1.5 percent, but trend does not "Meet Standard"</p> <p>Falls Far Below Standard: Aggregated 3-Year Total Margin is less than or equal to -1.5 percent OR The most recent year Total Margin is less than -10 percent.</p>	Aggregated 3-Year Totals:		
		18.54%	50	50.00
			10	
			0	
				50.00
Notes	Due to the Restatement of Pension Liability, as required by GASB 68, Net Position may be higher than expected. Changes in Net Position due to pension restatement that do not provide or require current financial resources have been removed from the Net Position calculation. This restatement had no material effect on the standard outcome.			
Measure 2b	Debt to Asset Ratio: Total Liabilities divided by Total Assets	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Debt to Asset Ratio	<p>Meets Standard: Debt to Asset Ratio is less than 0.9</p> <p>Does Not Meet Standard: Debt to Asset Ratio is between 0.9 and 1.0</p> <p>Falls Far Below Standard: Debt to Asset Ratio is greater than 1.0</p>	Ratio is:		
		0.43	50	50.00
			30	
			0	
				50.00
Notes	Due to the Restatement of Pension Liability, as required by GASB 68, Total Liabilities may be higher than expected. The restatement had no material effect on the standard outcome and was removed from the Total Liability calculation in the reported standard outcome.			
Measure 2c	Cash Flow: Multi-Year Cash Flow = Year 3 Total Cash - Year 1 Total Cash AND One-Year Cash Flow = Year 2 Total Cash - Year 1 Total Cash	Result	0	Points Earned
Cash Flow	<p>Meets Standard (in one of two ways): Multi-Year Cumulative Cash Flow is positive and Cash Flow is positive each year OR Multi-Year Cumulative Cash Flow is positive, Cash Flow is positive in one of two years, and Cash Flow in the most recent year is positive. <i>Note: Schools in their first or second year of operation must have positive cash flow.</i></p> <p>Does Not Meet Standard: Multi-Year Cumulative Cash Flow is positive, but trend does not "Meet Standard"</p> <p>Falls Far Below Standard: Multi-Year Cumulative Cash Flow is negative</p>	Multi-Year Cumulative is:		
		\$129,925	50	50.00
			30	
			0	
				50.00
Notes				
Measure 2d	Debt Service Coverage Ratio: (Net Income + Depreciation + Interest Expense+Lease Payments)/(Annual Principal, Interest, and Lease Payments)	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Debt Service Coverage Ratio	<p>Meets Standard: Debt Service Coverage Ratio is equal to or exceeds 1.1</p> <p>Does Not Meet Standard: Debt Service Coverage Ratio is less than 1.1</p> <p>Falls Far Below Standard: Not Applicable</p>	Ratio is:		
		1.48	50	50.00
			0	
				50.00
Notes	Due to the Restatement of Pension Liability, as required by GASB 68, Net Position may be higher than expected. Changes in Net Position due to pension restatement that do not provide or require current financial resources have been removed from the Net Position calculation. This restatement had no material effect on the standard outcome.			

RHPCS --- LONGITUDINAL RESULTS


ACADEMIC	Measure	Possible Points	2013-14 POINTS EARNED*	2014-15 POINTS EARNED*	2015-16 POINTS EARNED	2016-17 POINTS EARNED	2017-18 POINTS EARNED
State/Federal Accountability	1a	25	20.00	0.00			
	1b	25	15.00	15.00			
Proficiency	2a	75	62.74	0.00			
	2b	75	56.00	28.30			
	2c	75	53.04	38.90			
Growth	3a	100	77.86	0.00			
	3b	100	57.22	0.00			
	3c	100	62.78	0.00			
	3d	75	46.91	0.00			
	3e	75	41.96	0.00			
	3f	75	46.91	0.00			
	3g	100	66.00	0.00			
College & Career Readiness	4a						
	4b1 / 4b2						
	4c						
Total Possible Academic Points Received		900	606.41	82.20	0.00	0.00	0.00
% of Possible Academic Points for This School			67.38%	46.97%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
*NOTE: 2013-14 Academic results are based on 2012-13 standardized tests. 2014-15 results are based on a different test and should not be directly compared.							

MISSION-SPECIFIC	Measure	Possible Points	2013-14 POINTS EARNED	2014-15 POINTS EARNED	2015-16 POINTS EARNED	2016-17 POINTS EARNED	2017-18 POINTS EARNED
Safe Learning Environment / Pro Social Behavior	1	200	N/A	38.89			
Civic Engagement	2	200	N/A	38.89			
Reading Proficiency, Young Students	3	200	N/A	38.89			
Total Possible Mission-Specific Points Received		600	0.00	116.67	0.00	0.00	0.00
% of Possible Mission-Specific Points for This School			N/A	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%

OPERATIONAL	Measure	Possible Points	2013-14 POINTS EARNED	2014-15 POINTS EARNED	2015-16 POINTS EARNED	2016-17 POINTS EARNED	2017-18 POINTS EARNED
Educational Program	1a	25	25	25			
	1b	25	25	25			
	1c	25	25	25			
	1d	25	25	25			
Financial Management & Oversight	2a	25	15	25			
	2b	25	25	25			
Governance & Reporting	3a	25	25	25			
	3b	25	25	25			
Students & Employees	4a	25	25	25			
	4b	25	25	25			
	4c	25	25	25			
	4d	25	25	25			
School Environment	5a	25	15	15			
	5b	25	25	25			
	5c	25	25	25			
Additional Obligations	6a	25	25	25			
Total Possible Operational Points Received		400	380.00	390.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
% of Possible Operational Points for This School			95.00%	97.50%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%

FINANCIAL	Measure	Possible Points	2013-14 POINTS EARNED	2014-15 POINTS EARNED	2015-16 POINTS EARNED	2016-17 POINTS EARNED	2017-18 POINTS EARNED
Near-Term Measures	1a	50	50	50			
	1b	50	50	50			
	1c	50	50	50			
	1d	50	50	50			
Sustainability Measures	2a	50	50	50			
	2b	50	50	50			
	2c	50	50	50			
	2d	50	0	50			
Total Possible Financial Points Received		400	350.00	400.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
% of Possible Financial Points for This School			87.50%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%

ACCOUNTABILITY DESIGNATION	2013-14 DESIGNATION	2014-15 DESIGNATION	2015-16 DESIGNATION	2016-17 DESIGNATION	2017-18 DESIGNATION
Academic & Mission-Specific	Good Standing	Good Standing			
Operational	Honor	Honor			
Financial	Honor	Honor			



"Performance-based accountability is the cornerstone of charter schools."

Alison Consoletti, The Center for Education Reform



Rolling Hills Public Charter School



ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013-2014

Idaho Public Charter School Commission
304 North 8th Street, Room 242
Boise, Idaho 83702

Phone: (208) 332-1561
chartercommission.idaho.gov

Alan Reed, Chairman
Tamara Baysinger, Director

Distributed Spring 2015

Introduction

Each year, Idaho's Public Charter School Commission (PCSC) issues a performance report to every school in its portfolio. The annual report serves several purposes:

1. To provide transparent, data-driven information about charter school quality;
2. To ensure that charter school boards have access to clear expectations and are provided maximum opportunity to correct any deficiencies prior to their renewal year; and
3. To inform mid-term decision making, such as the evaluation of charter amendment proposals.

This report contains an overview of the school, including its history, mission, leadership, and demographics. The overview is followed by the school's performance framework, including outcomes for the most recently completed school year.

The performance framework is comprised of four sections: Academic, Mission-Specific, Operational, and Financial. Each section contains a number of measures intended to evaluate the school's performance against specific criteria. The scorecard pages of the framework offer a summary of the school's scores and accountability designation ranging from Honor (high) to Critical (low).

Schools have an opportunity to correct or clarify their framework outcomes prior to the publication of this report.

Public charter school operations are inherently complex. For this reason, readers are encouraged to consider the scores on individual measures within the framework as a starting point for gaining full, contextualized understanding of the school's performance.

Additional information about how the performance framework was developed and how results may be interpreted is available on the PCSC's website: chartercommission.idaho.gov.

School Overview

Mission Statement	The Rolling Hills Public Charter School mission is to develop educated and engaged citizens through high expectations for student behavior and academic success in a small, safe, structured environment.	
Key Design Elements	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Create a physically and emotionally safe learning environment; • Utilize citizenship education through the Six Pillars of Character; • Integrate service learning; • Teach to the high through the core curriculum, including the Shurley method; • Offer electives to middle school students. 	
School Contact Information	Address: 8900 N. Horseshoe Bend Rd., Boise, Idaho 83714	Phone: 208-939-5400
Surrounding District	Meridian School District	
Opening Year	2005	
Current Term	October 10, 2013 - June 30, 2018	
Grades Served	K - 8	
Enrollment	Approved: 278	Actual: 250

	School	Surrounding District	State
Non-White	██████	16.16%	22.56%
Limited English Proficiency	██████	3.87%	6.24%
Special Needs	██████	9.14%	9.46%
Free & Reduced Lunch	██████	29.78%	47.07%

School Leadership	Role
Scot Carley	Board Chair - 2014
Tina Roehr	Member- 2014
Jonathan Giles	Member - 2014
Jeremy Evans	Member- 2015
Jennifer Fears	Member- 2015
Carrie Riddick	Board Clerk
Shane Pratt	Administrator

To facilitate a clearer context for the academic results, the demographic data provided above is from the 2012-13 school year. The enrollment and school leadership information provided above is from the 2013-14 school year. Updated enrollment and school leadership information is available upon request from the school or PCSC office.

PUBLIC CHARTER SCHOOL COMMISSION - PERFORMANCE FRAMEWORK

Name of School: Rolling Hills Public Charter School Year Opened: 2005 Operating Term: 10/10/13 - 6/30/18 Date Executed: 10/10/2013

Introduction

Idaho's charter school legislation requires each public charter school authorizer to develop a Performance Framework on which the provisions of the Performance Certificate will be based. Performance Frameworks must clearly set forth the academic and operational performance indicators, measures, and metrics that will guide the authorizer's evaluations of each public charter school, and must contain the following:

- Indicators, measures, and metrics for student academic proficiency;
- Indicators, measures, and metrics for student academic growth;
- Indicators, measures, and metrics for college and career readiness (for high schools); and
- Indicators, measures, and metrics for board performance and stewardship, including compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and terms of the performance certificate.

The measurable performance targets contained within the framework must require, at a minimum, that each school meet applicable federal, state, and authorizer goals for student achievement. This Performance Framework was adopted by the Public Charter School Commission (PCSC) on August 30, 2013, and is intended for use with non-alternative public charter schools authorized by the PCSC.

Performance Framework Structure

The Performance Framework is divided into four sections: Academic, Mission-Specific, Operational, and Financial. The Academic and Mission-Specific sections comprise the primary indicators on which most renewal or non-renewal decisions will be based. The Operational and Financial sections contribute additional indicators that will, except in cases of egregious failure to meet standards, be considered secondary.

Academic:

A high percentage (60%) of a school's total score for the Academic & Mission Specific Accountability Designation reflects the school's performance on a set of academic measures. These measures are the same for all non-alternative schools. The "Meets Standard" rating for each measure is designed to align closely with state minimum standards as established in Idaho's ESEA waiver and Star Rating System.

Mission-Specific:

A significant portion (40%) of a school's total score for the Academic & Mission Specific Accountability Designation reflects the school's performance on a set of mission-specific measures. These measures may be academic or non-academic in nature, but must be objective and data-driven. The number and weighting of mission-specific measures should be established during one-on-one negotiations between the school and authorizer.

During their first Performance Certificate term only, schools authorized to open in or before Fall 2014 may choose to opt-out of the Mission-Specific section of the framework. Schools choosing to opt out of Mission-Specific measures for their first term agree that the weight of those measures will be placed instead on the Academic section, which then becomes the single, primary factor considered for purposes of renewal or non-renewal.

Operational:

Operational indicators comprise a secondary element for consideration during the renewal process. While each school will receive a score in the operational section, this score should not be used as the primary rationale for non-renewal unless the non-compliance with organizational expectations is severe or systemic. Particularly for a school whose academic performance meets or exceeds standards, poor results in this area are more likely to lead to a conditional renewal decision than to non-renewal.

Financial:

Financial indicators comprise a secondary element for consideration during the renewal process. While each school will receive a score in the financial section, this score should not be used as the primary rationale for non-renewal unless the school's financial state at the time of renewal is dire. Particularly for a school whose academic performance meets or exceeds standards, poor results in this area are more likely to lead to a conditional renewal decision than to non-renewal. The PCSC may also elect to renew a financially troubled school that is clearly providing a high quality education, but notify the SDE of the situation so that the payment schedule may be modified in order to safeguard taxpayer dollars.

Accountability Designations

Calculation of the percentage of eligible points earned for each school will guide the determination of that school's accountability designation: Honor, Good Standing, Remediation, or Critical. The accountability designation will, in turn, guide the PCSC's renewal or non-renewal decision-making. Measures for which a school lacks data due to factors such as grade configuration or small size will not contribute to that school's accountability designation. The PCSC will consider contextual factors affecting a school's accountability designation when making renewal or non-renewal decisions.

Honor:

Schools achieving at this level in all categories (academic, mission-specific, operational, and financial) are eligible for special recognition and will be recommended for renewal. Replication and expansion proposals are likely to succeed. The Framework places schools that earn 75-100% of the combined academic and mission-specific points possible in this accountability designation. It is possible for 5-star schools, high-range 4-star schools with solid mission-specific outcomes, and mid-range 4-star schools with strong mission-specific outcomes to receive an honor designation. Schools that fall into this point-percentage category but have poor operational and/or financial outcomes will not be eligible for an honor designation.

Good Standing:

Schools achieving at this level will be recommended for renewal; however, conditional renewal may be recommended if operational and/or financial outcomes are poor. Replication and expansion proposals will be considered. To be placed in this category, schools must receive the appropriate percentage of the combined academic and mission-specific points possible and have at least a 3-star rating. The Framework places schools that earn 55-74% of the combined academic and mission-specific points possible in this accountability designation. It is possible for 3-star or 4-star schools with solid mission-specific outcomes, or 5-star schools with poor mission-specific, financial, and/or operational outcomes to receive a good standing designation. Although 2-star schools with strong mission-specific outcomes could fall into this point-percentage range, they would not be eligible to receive a good standing designation due to their star ratings; the Framework is drafted thus in recognition of Idaho's statutory provision that the performance framework shall, at a minimum, require that each school meet applicable federal and state goals for student achievement.

Remediation:

Schools achieving at this level may be recommended for non-renewal or conditional renewal, particularly if operational and/or financial outcomes are poor. Replication and expansion proposals are unlikely to succeed. The Framework places schools that earn 31-54% of the combined academic and mission-specific points possible in this accountability designation. It is possible for 3-star schools with poor mission-specific outcomes, 2-star schools, or 1-star schools with strong mission-specific outcomes to receive a remediation designation.

Critical:

Schools achieving at this level face a strong likelihood of non-renewal, particularly if operational and/or financial outcomes are also poor. Replication and expansion proposals should not be considered. The Framework places schools that earn less than 30% of the combined academic and mission-specific points possible in this accountability designation. It is possible for 1-star schools or 2-star schools with poor mission-specific outcomes to receive a Critical designation.

ROLLING HILLS PUBLIC CHARTER SCHOOL ---- PERFORMANCE FRAMEWORK SCORECARD

ACADEMIC	Measure	Possible Elem / MS Points	% of Total Points	POINTS EARNED	Possible HS Points	% of Total Points	POINTS EARNED
State/Federal Accountability	1a	25	3%	20.00	25	1%	20.00
	1b	25	3%	15.00	25	1%	15.00
Proficiency	2a	75	8%	62.74	75	4%	62.74
	2b	75	8%	56.00	75	4%	56.00
	2c	75	8%	53.04	75	4%	53.04
Growth	3a	100	11%	77.86	100	6%	77.86
	3b	100	11%	57.22	100	6%	57.22
	3c	100	11%	62.78	100	6%	62.78
	3d	75	8%	46.91	75	4%	46.91
	3e	75	8%	41.96	75	4%	41.96
	3f	75	8%	46.91	75	4%	46.91
	3g	100	11%	66.00	100	6%	66.00
College & Career Readiness	4a				50	3%	0.00
	4b1 / 4b2				50	3%	0.00
	4c				50	3%	0.00
Total Possible Academic Points		900			1050		
- Points from Non-Applicable							
Total Possible Academic Points for This School		900			1050		
Total Academic Points Received				606.41			606.41
% of Possible Academic Points for This School				67.38%			57.75%

MISSION-SPECIFIC	Measure	Possible Points	% of Total Points	POINTS EARNED	Possible Points	% of Total Points	POINTS EARNED
Safe Learning Environment / Pro Social Behavior	1	0	0%	0.00	Mission-specific data is not available for this reporting period.		
Civic Engagement	2	0	0%	0.00			
	3	0	0%	0.00			
Total Possible Mission-Specific Points		0	0%		700	40%	
Total Mission-Specific Points Received				0.00			0.00
% of Possible Mission-Specific Points Received				#DIV/0!			0.00%
TOTAL POSSIBLE ACADEMIC & MISSION-SPECIFIC POINTS		900			1750		
TOTAL POINTS RECEIVED				606.41			606.41
% OF POSSIBLE ACADEMIC & MISSION-SPECIFIC POINTS				67.38%			34.65%

OPERATIONAL	Measure	Points Possible	% of Total Points	Points Earned
Educational Program	1a	25	6%	25.00
	1b	25	6%	25.00
	1c	25	6%	25.00
	1d	25	6%	25.00
Financial Management & Oversight	2a	25	6%	15.00
	2b	25	6%	25.00
Governance & Reporting	3a	25	6%	25.00
	3b	25	6%	25.00
Students & Employees	4a	25	6%	25.00
	4b	25	6%	25.00
	4c	25	6%	25.00
	4d	25	6%	25.00
School Environment	5a	25	6%	15.00
	5b	25	6%	25.00
	5c	25	6%	25.00
Additional Obligations	6a	25	6%	25.00
TOTAL OPERATIONAL POINTS		400	100%	380.00
% OF POSSIBLE OPERATIONAL POINTS				95.00%

FINANCIAL	Measure	Points Possible	% of Total Points	Points Earned
Near-Term Measures	1a	50	13%	50.00
	1b	50	13%	50.00
	1c	50	13%	50.00
	1d	50	13%	50.00
Sustainability Measures	2a	50	13%	50.00
	2b	50	13%	50.00
	2c	50	13%	50.00
	2d	50	13%	0.00
TOTAL FINANCIAL POINTS		400	100%	350.00
% OF POSSIBLE FINANCIAL POINTS				87.50%

ROLLING HILLS PUBLIC CHARTER SCHOOL --- PERFORMANCE FRAMEWORK SCORECARD

ACCOUNTABILITY DESIGNATION	Academic & Mission-Specific		Operational		Financial	
	Range	% of Points Possible Earned	Range	% of Points Possible Earned	Range	% of Points Possible Earned
Honor Schools achieving at this level in all categories are eligible for special recognition and will be recommended for renewal. Replication and expansion proposals are likely to succeed.	75% - 100% of points possible	67.38%	90% - 100% of points possible	95.00%	85% - 100% of points possible	87.50%
Good Standing Schools achieving at this level in Academic & Mission-Specific will be recommended for renewal; however, conditional renewal may be recommended if Operational and/or Financial outcomes are poor. Replication and expansion proposals will be considered. To be placed in this category for Academic & Mission-Specific, schools must receive the appropriate percentage of points and have at least a Three Star Rating.	55% - 74% of points possible		80% - 89% of points possible		65% - 84% of points possible	
Remediation Schools achieving at this level in Academic & Mission-Specific may be recommended for non-renewal or conditional renewal, particularly if Operational and/or Financial outcomes are also poor. Replication and expansion proposals are unlikely to succeed.	31% - 54% of points possible		61% - 79% of points possible		46% - 64% of points possible	
Critical Schools achieving at this level in Academic & Mission-Specific face a strong likelihood of non-renewal, particularly if Operational and/or Financial outcomes are also poor. Replication and expansion proposals should not be considered.	0% - 30% of points possible		0% - 60% of points possible		0% - 45% of points possible	

INDICATOR 1: STATE AND FEDERAL ACCOUNTABILITY							
Measure 1a Overall Star Rating	Is the school meeting acceptable standards according to existing state grading or rating systems?	Result (Stars)	Points Possible	Points Earned			
	Exceeds Standard: School received five stars on the Star Rating System. Meets Standard: School received three or four stars on the Star Rating System. Does Not Meet Standard: School received two stars on the Star Rating System. Falls Far Below Standard: School received one star on the Star Rating System.	5	25				
		4	20	20			
		3	15				
		2	0				
		1	0	20			
Notes							
Measure 1b State Designations	Is the school meeting state designation expectations as set forth by state and federal accountability systems?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned			
	Exceeds Standard: School was identified as a "Reward" school. Meets Standard: School does not have a designation. Does Not Meet Standard: School was identified as a "Focus" school. Falls Far Below Standard: School was identified as a "Priority" school.	Reward	25				
		None	15	15			
		Focus	0				
		Priority	0				
				15			
Notes							
INDICATOR 2: STUDENT ACADEMIC PROFICIENCY							
Measure 2a ISAT / SBA % Proficiency Reading	Are students achieving reading proficiency on state examinations?	Result (Percentage)	Points Possible	Possible in this Range	Percentile Targets	Percentile Points	Points Earned
	Exceeds Standard: 90% or more of students met or exceeded proficiency. Meets Standard: Between 65-89% of students met or exceeded proficiency. Does Not Meet Standard: Between 41-64% of students met or exceeded proficiency. Falls Far Below Standard: Fewer than 41% of students met or exceeded proficiency.		57-75	19	90-100	11	63
			38-56	19	65-89	25	0
			20-37	18	41-64	24	0
			0-19	19	1-40	40	0
							63
Notes							
Measure 2b ISAT / SBA % Proficiency Math	Are students achieving math proficiency on state examinations?	Result (Percentage)	Points Possible	Possible in this Range	Percentile Targets	Percentile Points	Points Earned
	Exceeds Standard: 90% or more of students met or exceeded proficiency. Meets Standard: Between 65-89% of students met or exceeded proficiency. Does Not Meet Standard: Between 41-64% of students met or exceeded proficiency. Falls Far Below Standard: Fewer than 41% of students met or exceeded proficiency.		57-75	19	90-100	11	0
			38-56	19	65-89	25	56
			20-37	18	41-64	24	0
			0-19	19	1-40	40	0
							56
Notes							
Measure 2c ISAT / SBA % Proficiency Language Arts	Are students achieving language proficiency on state examinations?	Result (Percentage)	Points Possible	Possible in this Range	Percentile Targets	Percentile Points	Points Earned
	Exceeds Standard: 90% or more of students met or exceeded proficiency. Meets Standard: Between 65-89% of students met or exceeded proficiency. Does Not Meet Standard: Between 41-64% of students met or exceeded proficiency. Falls Far Below Standard: Fewer than 41% of students met or exceeded proficiency.		57-75	19	90-100	11	0
			38-56	19	65-89	25	53
			20-37	18	41-64	24	0
			0-19	19	1-40	40	0
							53
Notes							

INDICATOR 3: STUDENT ACADEMIC GROWTH						
Measure 3a Criterion-Referenced Growth in Reading	Are students making adequate annual academic growth to achieve proficiency in reading with 3 years or by 10th grade?	Result (Percentage)	Points Possible	Possible in this Range	Percentile Targets	Percentile Points
						Points Earned
	Exceeds Standard: At least 85% of students are making adequate academic growth.	85.83	76-100	25	85-100	16
	Meets Standard: Between 70-84% of students are making adequate academic growth.		51-75	25	70-84	15
	Does Not Meet Standard: Between 50-69% of students are making adequate academic growth.		26-50	25	50-69	20
Notes	Falls Far Below Standard: Fewer than 50% of students are making adequate academic growth.		0-25	25	1-49	49
						78
Measure 3b Criterion-Referenced Growth in Math	Are students making adequate annual academic growth to achieve math proficiency within 3 years or by 10th grade?	Result (Percentage)	Points Possible	Points possible in this Range	Percentile Targets	Percentile Points
						Points Earned
	Exceeds Standard: At least 85% of students are making adequate academic growth.		76-100	25	85-100	16
	Meets Standard: Between 70-84% of students are making adequate academic growth.	73.33	51-75	25	70-84	15
	Does Not Meet Standard: Between 50-69% of students are making adequate academic growth.		26-50	25	50-69	20
Notes	Falls Far Below Standard: Fewer than 50% of students are making adequate academic growth.		0-25	25	1-49	49
						57
Measure 3c Criterion-Referenced Growth in Language	Are students making adequate annual academic growth to achieve language proficiency within 3 years or by 10th grade?	Result (Percentage)	Points Possible	Possible in this Range	Percentile Targets	Percentile Points
						Points Earned
	Exceeds Standard: At least 85% of students are making adequate academic growth.		76-100	25	85-100	16
	Meets Standard: Between 70-84% of students are making adequate academic growth.	76.67	51-75	25	70-84	15
	Does Not Meet Standard: Between 50-69% of students are making adequate academic growth.		26-50	25	50-69	20
Notes	Falls Far Below Standard: Fewer than 50% of students are making adequate academic growth.		0-25	25	1-49	49
						63
Measure 3d Norm-Referenced Growth in Reading	Are students making expected annual academic growth in reading compared to their academic peers?	Result (Percentage)	Points Possible	Possible in this Range	Percentile Targets	Percentile Points
						Points Earned
	Exceeds Standard: The school's Median SGP in reading falls between the 66 th and 99 th percentile.		57-75	19	66-99	34
	Meets Standard: The school's Median SGP in reading falls between the 43 rd and 65 th percentile.	54.00	38-56	19	43-65	23
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school's Median SGP in reading falls between the 30 th and 42 nd percentile.		20-37	18	30-42	13
Notes	Falls Far Below Standard: The school's Median SGP in reading falls below the 30 th percentile.		0-19	19	1-29	29
						47
Measure 3e Norm-Referenced Growth in Math	Are students making expected annual academic growth in math compared to their academic peers?	Result (Percentage)	Points Possible	Possible in this Range	Percentile Targets	Percentile Points
						Points Earned
	Exceeds Standard: The school's Median SGP in math falls between the 66 th and 99 th percentile.		57-75	19	66-99	34
	Meets Standard: The school's Median SGP in math falls between the 43 rd and 65 th percentile.	48.00	38-56	19	43-65	23
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school's Median SGP in math falls between the 30 th and 42 nd percentile.		20-37	18	30-42	13
Notes	Falls Far Below Standard: The school's Median SGP in math falls below the 30 th percentile.		0-19	19	1-29	29
						42

		Result (Percentage)	Points Possible	Possible in this Range	Percentile Targets	Percentile Points	Points Earned
Measure 3f Norm-Referenced	Are students making expected annual academic growth in language compared to their academic peers?						
Growth in Language	Exceeds Standard: The school's Median SGP in language arts falls between the 66 th and 99 th percentile.		57-75	19	66-99	34	0
	Meets Standard: The school's Median SGP in language arts falls between the 43 rd and 65 th percentile.	54.00	38-56	19	43-65	23	47
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school's Median SGP in language arts falls between the 30 th and 42 nd percentile.		20-37	18	30-42	13	0
	Falls Far Below Standard: The school's Median SGP in language arts falls below the 30 th percentile.		0-19	19	1-29	29	0
Notes							47
		Result (Percentage)	Points Possible	Possible in this Range	Percentile Targets	Percentile Points	Points Earned
Measure 3g Subgroup Growth Combined Subjects	Is the school increasing subgroup academic performance over time?						
	Exceeds Standard: School earned at least 70% of possible points in SRS Accountability Area 3.		76-100	25	70-100	31	0
	Meets Standard: School earned 45-69% of possible points in SRS Accountability Area 3.	60.00	51-75	25	45-69	25	66
	Does Not Meet Standard: School earned 30-44% of possible points in SRS Accountability Area 3.		26-50	25	31-44	14	0
	Falls Far Below Standard: School earned fewer than 30% of possible points in SRS Accountability Area 3.		0-25	25	1-30	30	0
Notes							66
INDICATOR 4: COLLEGE AND CAREER READINESS							
Measure 4a Advanced Opportunity	Are students participating successfully in advance opportunity coursework?	Result	Points Possible				
Coursework	Exceeds Standard: School earned 5 points in SRS Post-Secondary Content Area: Advanced Opportunity	5	50				
	Meets Standard: School earned 3-4 points in SRS Post-Secondary Content Area: Advanced Opportunity	3-4	30				
	Does Not Meet Standard: School earned 2 points in SRS Post-Secondary Content Area: Advanced Opportunity	2	10				
	Falls Far Below Standard: School earned 1 or fewer points in SRS Post-Secondary Content Area: Adv Oppty	1	0				
Notes							0
Measure 4b1 College Entrance Exam Results	Does students' performance on college entrance exams reflect college readiness?	Result	Points Possible				
	Exceeds Standard: Effective in 2013-14, at least 35% of students met or exceeded the college readiness benchmark on an entrance or placement exam.	5	50				
	Meets Standard: Effective in 2013-14, between 25-34% of students met or exceeded the college readiness benchmark on an entrance or placement exam.)	3-4	30				
	Does Not Meet Standard: Effective in 2013-14, between 20-24% of students met or exceeded the college readiness benchmark on an entrance or placement exam.)	2	10				
	Falls Far Below Standard: Effective in 2013-14, fewer than 20% of students met or exceeded the college readiness benchmark on an entrance or placement exam.	1	0				
Notes							0
Measure 4b2 College Entrance Exam Results	Does students' performance on college entrance exams reflect college readiness?	Result	Points Possible				
	Exceeds Standard: Effective in 2014-15 and thereafter, at least 45% of students met or exceeded the college readiness benchmark on an entrance or placement exam.	5	50				
	Meets Standard: Effective in 2014-15 and thereafter, between 35-44% of students met or exceeded the college readiness benchmark on an entrance or placement exam.	3-4	30				
	Does Not Meet Standard: Effective in 2014-15 and thereafter, between 30-34% of students met or exceeded the college readiness benchmark on an entrance or placement exam.	2	10				
	Falls Far Below Standard: Effective in 2014-15 and thereafter, fewer than 30% of students met or exceeded the college readiness benchmark on an entrance or placement exam.	1	0				
Notes							0
Measure 4c Graduation Rate	Are students graduating from high school?	Result (Percentage)	Possible Overall	Possible in this Range	Percentile Targets	Percentile Points	Points Earned
	Exceeds Standard: At least 90% of students graduated from high school.		39-50	12	90-100	11	0
	Meets Standard: 81-89% of students graduated from high school.		26-38	13	81-89	9	0
	Does Not Meet Standard: 71%-80% of students graduated from high school.		14-25	12	71-80	10	0
	Falls Far Below Standard: Fewer than 70% of students graduated from high school.		0-13	13	1-70	70	0
Notes							0

MISSION-SPECIFIC GOALS				
Measure 1	Is the school helping students increase their pro-social behavior?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
	Exceeds Standard: 90% to 100% of 4th and 5th grade students who ranked in tier 1 - 4 on the fall benchmark AIMSWEB Prosocial Behavior Scale Social Skills Improvement System (SSIS) increased by one tier or more by the spring benchmark.		200	
	Meets Standard: 70% to 89% of 4th and 5th grade students who ranked in tier 1 - 4 on the fall benchmark SSIS increased by one tier or more by the spring benchmark.		160	
	Does Not Meet Standard: 40% to 69% of 4th and 5th grade students who ranked in tier 1 - 4 on the fall benchmark SSIS increased by one tier or more by the spring benchmark.		100	
	Falls Far Below Standard: Less than 40% of 4th and 5th grade students who ranked in tier 1 - 4 on the fall benchmark SSIS increased by one tier or more by the spring benchmark.		0	
				<hr/> 0
Notes	Results will be reported by the school to the PCSC by October 1 of each year.			
Measure 2	Is the school helping middle school students increase civic engagement through service learning projects?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
	Exceeds Standard: 90% to 100% of 6th through 8th grade students who participate in a service learning project scored at least 40 out of 50 on the Civic Responsibility Level 2 survey tool.		200	
	Meets Standard: 80% to 89% of 6th through 8th grade students who participate in a service learning project scored at least 40 out of 50 on the Civic Responsibility Level 2 survey tool.		160	
	Does Not Meet Standard: 30% to 79% of 6th through 8th grade students who participate in a service learning project scored at least 40 out of 50 on the Civic Responsibility Level 2 survey tool.		100	
	Falls Far Below Standard: Less than 30% of 6th through 8th grade students who participate in a service learning project scored at least 40 out of 50 on the Civic Responsibility Level 2 survey tool.		0	
				<hr/> 0
Notes	The assessment tool to be used is the Civic Responsibility Survey Level 2 (Middle School) developed in 1998 by Furco, Muller, and Ammon at the Service Learning Research and Development Center, University of CA, Berkeley. Results will be reported by the school to the PCSC by October 1 of each year.			

ROLLING HILLS PUBLIC CHARTER SCHOOL --- MISSION-SPECIFIC FRAMEWORK (N/A. Initial data set available fall 2015.)

Measure 3	Is the school helping a high percentage of young students reach proficiency in reading?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
	Exceeds Standard: 95% -100% of 1st through 3rd grade students achieved benchmark proficiency on the spring Idaho Reading Indicator (IRI).		200	
	Meets Standard: 80% -94% of 1st through 3rd grade students achieved benchmark proficiency on the spring IRI.		160	
	Does Not Meet Standard: 50% -79% of 1st through 3rd grade students achieved benchmark proficiency on the spring IRI.		100	
	Falls Far Below Standard: Less than 49% of 1st through 3rd grade students achieved benchmark proficiency on the spring IRI.			0
Notes	Results will be reported by the school to the PCSC by October 1 of each year.			

INDICATOR 1: EDUCATIONAL PROGRAM		Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Measure 1a Implementation of Educational Program	Is the school implementing the material terms of the educational program as defined in the performance certificate?			
	Meets Standard: The school implements the material terms of the mission, vision, and educational program in all material respects and the implementation of the educational program reflects the essential elements outlined in the performance certificate, or the school has gained approval for a charter modification to the material terms.	Meets	25	25.00
	Does Not Meet Standard: School has deviated from the material terms of the mission, vision, and essential elements of the educational program as described in the performance certificate, without approval for a charter modification, such that the program provided differs substantially from the program described in the charter and performance certificate.		0	
Notes				25.00
		Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Measure 1b Education Requirements	Is the school complying with applicable education requirements?			
	Exceeds Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to education requirements, including but not limited to: Instructional time requirements, graduation and promotion requirements, content standards including the Common Core State Standards, the Idaho State Standards, State assessments, and implementation of mandated programming related to state or federal funding.	No instances of non-compliance documented	25	25.00
	Meets Standard: The school has exhibited non-compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to the education requirements; however, matters of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		15	
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant non-compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to education requirements; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		0	
Notes				25.00
		Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Measure 1c Students with Disabilities	Is the school protecting the rights of students with disabilities?			
	Exceeds Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to the treatment of students with identified disabilities and those suspected of having a disability, including but not limited to: Equitable access and opportunity to enroll; identification and referral; appropriate development and implementation of IEPs and Section 504 plans; operational compliance, including provision of services in the LRE and appropriate inclusion in the school's academic program, assessments, and extracurricular activities; discipline, including due process protections, manifestation determinations, and behavioral intervention plans; access to the school's facility and program; appropriate use of all available, applicable funding.	No instances of non-compliance documented	25	25.00
	Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to the treatment of students with identified disabilities and those suspected of having a disability. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		15	
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant non-compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to the treatment of students with identified disabilities and those suspected of having a disability; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		0	
Notes				25.00

		Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Measure 1d English Language Learners	Is the school protecting the rights of English Language Learner (ELL) students?			
	<p>Exceeds Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to requirements regarding ELLs, including but not limited to: Equitable access and opportunity to enroll; required policies related to the service of ELL students; compliance with native language communication requirements; proper steps for identification of students in need of ELL services; appropriate and equitable delivery of services to identified students; appropriate accommodations on assessments; exiting of students from ELL services; and ongoing monitoring of exited students. Matters of non-compliance, if any, are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.</p> <p>Meets Standard: The school has exhibited non-compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to the treatment of ELL students; however, matters of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.</p> <p>Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant non-compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to requirements regarding ELLs; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.</p>	No instances of non-compliance documented	25	25.00
			15	
			0	25.00
Notes				
INDICATOR 2: FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT AND OVERSIGHT				
Measure 2a Financial Reporting and Compliance	Is the school meeting financial reporting and compliance requirements?			
	<p>Exceeds Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to financial reporting requirements, including but not limited to: Complete and on-time submission of financial reports including annual budget, revised budgets (if applicable), periodic financial reports as required by the PCSC, and any reporting requirements if the board contracts with an Education Service Provider; on-time submission and completion of the annual independent audit and corrective action plans (if applicable); and all reporting requirements related to the use of public funds.</p> <p>Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to financial reporting requirements. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.</p> <p>Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to financial reporting requirements; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.</p>		25	
		See note	15	15.00
			0	15.00
Notes				
The FY13 fiscal audit (due Nov 15, 2013) was submitted 12/18/13.				
Measure 2b GAAP	Is the school following Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP)?			
	<p>Meets Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to financial management and oversight expectations as evidenced by an annual independent audit, including but not limited to: An unqualified audit opinion; an audit devoid of significant findings and conditions, material weaknesses, or significant internal control weaknesses; and an audit that does not include a going concern disclosure in the notes or an explanatory paragraph within the audit report.</p> <p>Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits failure to comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to financial management and oversight expectations as evidenced by an annual independent audits; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.</p>	No instances of non-compliance documented	25	25.00
			0	
				25.00
Notes				

GOVERNANCE AND REPORTING				
Measure 3a Governance Requirements	Is the school complying with governance requirements?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
	Exceeds Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to governance by its board, including but not limited to: board policies; board bylaws; state open meetings law; code of ethics; conflicts of interest; board composition; and compensation for attendance at meetings.	No instances of non-compliance documented	25	25.00
	Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to governance by its board. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		15	
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to governance by its board; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		0	
Notes		25.00		
Measure 3b Reporting Requirements	Is the school complying with reporting requirements?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
	Exceeds Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and requirements of the performance certificate relating to relevant reporting requirements to the PCSC, the SDE, and/or federal authorities, including but not limited to: accountability tracking; attendance and enrollment reporting; compliance and oversight; additional information requested by the authorizer.	No instances of non-compliance documented	25	25.00
	Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to relevant reporting requirements to the PCSC, the SDE, and/or federal authorities. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		15	
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to relevant reporting requirements to the PCSC, the SDE, and/or federal authorities; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		0	
Notes		25.00		
INDICATOR 4: STUDENTS AND EMPLOYEES				
Measure 4a Student Rights	Is the school protecting the rights of all students?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
	Exceeds Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and requirements of the performance certificate relating to the rights of students, including but not limited to: policies and practices related to recruitment and enrollment; the collection and protection of student information; due process protections, privacy, civil rights, and student liberties requirements; conduct of discipline.	No instances of non-compliance documented	25	25.00
	Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to the rights of students. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		15	
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to the rights of students; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		0	
Notes		25.00		

Measure 4b Credentialing	<p>Is the school meeting teacher and other staff credentialing requirements?</p> <p>Exceeds Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and requirements of the performance certificate relating to state and federal certification requirements.</p> <p>Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or requirements of the performance certificate relating to state and federal certification requirements. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.</p> <p>Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to state and federal certification requirements; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.</p>	<table> <tr> <th>Result</th><th>Points Possible</th><th>Points Earned</th></tr> <tr> <td>No instances of non-compliance documented</td><td>25</td><td>25.00</td></tr> <tr> <td></td><td>15</td><td></td></tr> <tr> <td></td><td>0</td><td></td></tr> <tr> <td></td><td></td><td><u>25.00</u></td></tr> </table>	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned	No instances of non-compliance documented	25	25.00		15			0				<u>25.00</u>
Result	Points Possible	Points Earned															
No instances of non-compliance documented	25	25.00															
	15																
	0																
		<u>25.00</u>															
Notes																	
Measure 4c Employee Rights	<p>Is the school complying with laws regarding employee rights?</p> <p>Exceeds Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and requirements of the performance certificate relating to employment considerations, including those relating to the Family Medical Leave Act, the Americans with Disabilities Act, and employment contracts.</p> <p>Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or requirements of the performance certificate relating to employment considerations or employee rights. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.</p> <p>Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to employment considerations; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.</p>	<table> <tr> <th>Result</th><th>Points Possible</th><th>Points Earned</th></tr> <tr> <td>No instances of non-compliance documented</td><td>25</td><td>25.00</td></tr> <tr> <td></td><td>15</td><td></td></tr> <tr> <td></td><td>0</td><td></td></tr> <tr> <td></td><td></td><td><u>25.00</u></td></tr> </table>	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned	No instances of non-compliance documented	25	25.00		15			0				<u>25.00</u>
Result	Points Possible	Points Earned															
No instances of non-compliance documented	25	25.00															
	15																
	0																
		<u>25.00</u>															
Notes																	
Measure 4d Background Checks	<p>Is the school completing required background checks?</p> <p>Exceeds Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and requirements of the performance certificate relating to background checks of all applicable individuals.</p> <p>Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or requirements of the performance certificate relating to background checks of all applicable individuals. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.</p> <p>Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to background checks of all applicable individuals; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.</p>	<table> <tr> <th>Result</th><th>Points Possible</th><th>Points Earned</th></tr> <tr> <td>No instances of non-compliance documented</td><td>25</td><td>25.00</td></tr> <tr> <td></td><td>15</td><td></td></tr> <tr> <td></td><td>0</td><td></td></tr> <tr> <td></td><td></td><td><u>25.00</u></td></tr> </table>	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned	No instances of non-compliance documented	25	25.00		15			0				<u>25.00</u>
Result	Points Possible	Points Earned															
No instances of non-compliance documented	25	25.00															
	15																
	0																
		<u>25.00</u>															
Notes																	

INDICATOR 5: SCHOOL ENVIRONMENT				
Measure 5a Facilities and Transportation	Is the school complying with facilities and transportation requirements?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
	Exceeds Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and requirements of the performance certificate relating to the school facilities, grounds, and transportation, including but not limited to: American's with Disabilities Act, fire inspections and related records, viable certificate of occupancy or other required building use authorization, documentation of requisite insurance coverage, and student transportation.		25	
	Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or requirements of the performance certificate relating to the school facilities, grounds, or transportation. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.			
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to the school facilities, grounds, and transportation; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		0	
				15.00
Notes		The school does not offer student transportation. Historically, this decision has been left to schools' discretion; however, Idaho statute requires that public schools provide student transportation where practicable.		
Measure 5b Health and Safety	Is the school complying with health and safety requirements?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
	Exceeds Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and requirements of the performance certificate relating to safety and the provision of health-related services.			
	Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or requirements of the performance certificate relating to safety or the provision of health-related services. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.			
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to safety and the provision of health-related services; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.			
				25.00
Notes				
Measure 5c Information Handling	Is the school handling information appropriately?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
	Exceeds Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and requirements of the performance certificate relating to the handling of information, including but not limited to: maintaining the security of and providing access to student records under the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act and other applicable authorities; accessing documents maintained by the school under the state's Freedom of Information law and other applicable authorities; transferring of student records; proper and secure maintenance of testing materials.			
	Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or requirements of the performance certificate relating to the handling of information. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.			
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to the handling of information; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.			
				25.00
Notes				


ROLLING HILLS PUBLIC CHARTER SCHOOL --- OPERATIONAL FRAMEWORK

ADDITIONAL OBLIGATIONS				
Measure 6a Additional Obligations	Is the school complying with all other obligations?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
	Meets Standard: The school materially complies with all other material legal, statutory, regulatory, or contractual requirements contained in its charter contract that are not otherwise explicitly stated herein, including but not limited to requirements from the following sources: revisions to state charter law; and requirements of the State Department of Education. Matters of non-compliance, if any, are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.	No instances of non-compliance documented	25	25.00
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with with all other material legal, statutory, regulatory, or contractual requirements contained in its charter contract that are not otherwise explicitly stated herein; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		0	
Notes				25.00

INDICATOR 1: NEAR-TERM MEASURES			25
Measure 1a Current Ratio	Current Ratio: Current Assets divided by Current Liabilities	Result Points Possible	Points Earned
Notes	Meets Standard: Current Ratio is greater than or equal to 1.1 OR Current Ratio is between 1.0 and 1.1 and one-year trend is positive (current year ratio is higher than last year's). <i>Note: For schools in their first or second year of operation, the current ratio must be greater than or equal to 1.1.</i> Does Not Meet Standard: Current Ratio is between 0.9 and 1.0 or equalis 1.0 OR Current Ratio is between 1.0 and 1.1 and one-year trend is negative. Falls Far Below Standard: Current ratio is less than or equal to 0.9.	Ratio is 1.95	50.00
		10	
		0	
			50.00
Measure 1b Unrestricted Days Cash	Unrestricted Days Cash: Unrestricted Cash divided by (Total Expenses minus Depreciation Expense / 365)	Result Points Possible	Points Earned
Notes	Meets Standard: 60 Days Cash OR Between 30 and 60 Days Cash and one-year trend is positive. <i>Note: Schools in their first or second year of operation must have a minimum of 30 Days Cash.</i> Does Not Meet Standard: Days Cash is between 15-30 days OR Days Cash is between 30-60 days and one-year trend is negative. Falls Far Below Standard: Fewer than 15 Days Cash.	43 days cash & trend is positive	50.00
		10	
		0	
			50.00
Measure 1c Enrollment Variance	Enrollment Variance: Actual Enrollment divided by Enrollment Projection in Charter School Board-Approved Budget	Result Points Possible	Points Earned
Notes	Meets Standard: Enrollment Variance equals or exceeds 95 percent in the most recent year. Does Not Meet Standard: Enrollment Variance is between 85-95 percent in the most recent year. Falls Far Below Standard: Enrollment Variance is less than 85 percent in the most recent year.	Variance is 98.82%	50.00
		30	
		0	
			50.00
Measure 1d Default	Default	Result Points Possible	Points Earned
Notes	Meets Standard: School is not in default of loan covenant(s) and/ore is not delinquent with debt service payments. Does Not Meet Standard: Not applicable Falls Far Below Standard: School is in default of loan covenant(s) and/or is delinquent with debt service payments.	No default or deficiency noted in audit	50.00
		0	
			50.00

ROLLING HILLS PUBLIC CHARTER SCHOOL --- FINANCIAL FRAMEWORK

INDICATOR 2: SUSTAINABILITY MEASURES				
Measure 2a Total Margin and Aggregated 3-Year Total Margin	Total Margin: Net Income divided by Total Revenue AND Aggregated Total Margin: Total 3-Year Net Income divided by Total 3-Year Revenues	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
	Meets Standard: Aggregated 3-year Total Margin is positive and the most recent year Total Margin is positive OR Aggregated 3-Year Total Margin is greater than -1.5 percent, the trend is positive for the last two years, and the most recent year Total Margin is positive. <i>Note: For schools in their first or second year of operation, the cumulative Total Margin must be positive.</i>	Agg = 18% Total = 37%	50	50.00
			10	
			0	50.00
Notes				
Measure 2b Debt to Asset Ratio	Debt to Asset Ratio: Total Liabilities divided by Total Assets	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
	Meets Standard: Debt to Asset Ratio is less than 0.9 Does Not Meet Standard: Debt to Asset Ratio is between 0.9 and 1.0 Falls Far Below Standard: Debt to Asset Ratio is greater than 1.0	Ratio is .46	50	50.00
			30	
			0	50.00
Notes				
Measure 2c Cash Flow	Cash Flow: Multi-Year Cash Flow = Year 3 Total Cash - Year 1 Total Cash AND One-Year Cash Flow = Year 2 Total Cash - Year 1 Total Cash	Result	0	Points Earned
	Meets Standard (in one of two ways): Multi-Year Cumulative Cash Flow is positive and Cash Flow is positive each year OR Multi-Year Cumulative Cash Flow is positive, Cash Flow is positive in one of two years, and Cash Flow in the most recent year is positive. <i>Note: Schools in their first or second year of operation must have positive cash flow.</i> Does Not Meet Standard: Multi-Year Cumulative Cash Flow is positive, but trend does not "Meet Standard" Falls Far Below Standard: Multi-Year Cumulative Cash Flow is negative	Multi-year and most recent years are positive	50	50.00
			30	
			0	50.00
Notes				
Measure 2d Debt Service Coverage Ratio	Debt Service Coverage Ratio: (Net Income + Depreciation + Interest Expense)/(Annual Principal, Interest, and Lease Payments)	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
	Meets Standard: Debt Service Coverage Ratio is equal to or exceeds 1.1 Does Not Meet Standard: Debt Service Coverage Ratio is less than 1.1 Falls Far Below Standard: Not Applicable		50	
		Ratio is 1.0	0	0.00
				0.00
Notes		While none of the scores reflected in this annual report are impacted, it should be noted that RHPCS's facility loan includes a balloon payment of approximately \$800,000 in December 2018.		

A green decorative graphic consisting of several overlapping, semi-transparent triangles of varying shades of green, creating a layered, geometric effect.

“Performance-based accountability is the cornerstone of charter schools.”

Alison Consoletti, The Center for Education Reform

EXHIBIT H – Pre-Renewal Site Visit Report

Because RHPCS qualified for automatic renewal, no pre-renewal site visit was performed and no corresponding report was generated.

EXHIBIT I – Site Visit Rubric

Because RHPCS qualified for automatic renewal, no pre-renewal site visit was performed and no corresponding rubric was applied.

CHARTER SCHOOL PERFORMANCE CERTIFICATE

This performance certificate is executed on this 10th day of October, 2013, by and between the Idaho Public Charter School Commission (the "Authorizer"), and Rolling Hills Public Charter School, Inc. (the "School"), an independent public school organized as an Idaho nonprofit corporation and established under the Public Charter Schools Law, Idaho Code Section 33-5201 *et seq.*, as amended (the "Charter Schools Law.")

RECITALS

WHEREAS, on September 17, 2004, the Authorizer approved a charter petition for the establishment of the School; and

WHEREAS, the School began operations in the year 2005; and

WHEREAS, the Charter Schools Law was amended effective as of July 1, 2013 to require all public charter schools approved prior to July 1, 2013 to execute performance certificates with their authorizers no later than July 1, 2014;

NOW THEREFORE in consideration of the foregoing recitals and mutual understandings, the Authorizer and the School agree as follows:

SECTION 1: AUTHORIZATION OF CHARTER SCHOOL

- A. Continued Operation of School.** Pursuant to the Charter Schools Law, the Authorizer hereby approves the continued operation of the School on the terms and conditions set forth in this Charter School Performance Certificate (the "Certificate"). The approved Charter is attached to this Certificate as Appendix B.
- B. Pre-Opening Requirements.** Pursuant to Idaho Code Section 33-5206(6), the Authorizer may establish reasonable pre-opening requirements or conditions ("Pre-Opening Requirements") to monitor the start-up progress of a newly approved public charter school to ensure that the school is prepared to open smoothly on the date agreed. The School shall not commence instruction until all pre-opening requirements have been completed to the satisfaction of the Authorizer. Pre-opening requirements are attached as Appendix C. If all pre-opening conditions have been completed to the satisfaction of the Authorizer, the School shall commence operations/instruction with the first day of school in Fall 2005. In the event that all pre-opening conditions have not been completed to the satisfaction of the Authorizer, the School may not commence instruction on the scheduled first day of school. In such event, the Authorizer may exercise its authority on or before July 20

to prohibit the School from commencing operation/instruction until the start of the succeeding semester or school year.

- C. Term of Agreement.** This Certificate is effective as of **October 10, 2013**, and shall continue through **June 30, 2018**, unless earlier terminated as provided herein.

SECTION 2: SCHOOL GOVERNANCE

- A. Governing Board.** The School shall be governed by a board (the “Charter Board”) in a manner that is consistent with the terms of this Certificate so long as such provisions are in accordance with state, federal, and local law. The Charter Board shall have final authority and responsibility for the academic, financial, and organizational performance of the School. The Charter Board shall also have authority for and be responsible for policy and operational decisions of the School, although nothing herein shall prevent the Charter Board from delegating decision-making authority for policy and operational decisions to officers, employees and agents of the School, as well as third party management providers.
- B. Articles of Incorporation and Bylaws.** The articles of incorporation and bylaws of the entity holding the charter shall provide for governance of the operation of the School as a nonprofit corporation and public charter school and shall at all times be consistent with all applicable law and this Certificate. The articles of incorporation and bylaws are attached to this Certificate as Appendix D (the “Articles and Bylaws”). Any modification of the Articles and Bylaws must be submitted to the Authorizer within five (5) business days of approval by the Charter Board.
- C. Charter Board Composition.** The composition of the Charter Board shall at all times be determined by and consistent with the Articles and Bylaws and all applicable law and policy. The roster of the Charter Board is attached to this Certificate as Appendix E (the “Board Roster”). The Charter Board shall notify the Authorizer of any changes to the Board Roster and provide an amended Board Roster within five (5) business days of their taking effect.

SECTION 3: EDUCATIONAL PROGRAM

- A. School Mission.** The mission of the School is as follows: **The Rolling Hills Public Charter School mission is to develop educated and engaged citizens through high expectations for student behavior and academic success in a small, safe, structured environment.**
- B. Grades Served.** The School may serve students in **kindergarten through grade 8.**
- C. Design Elements.** The School shall implement and maintain the following essential design elements of its educational program:
- **Create a physically and emotionally safe learning environment;**
 - **Utilize citizenship education through the Six Pillars of Character;**

- Integrate service learning;
- Teach to the high through the core curriculum, including the Shurley method;
- Offer electives to middle school students.

D. Standardized Testing. Students of the School shall be tested with the same standardized tests as other Idaho public school students.

E. Accreditation. The School shall be accredited as provided by rule of the state board of education.

SECTION 4: AUTHORIZER ROLE AND RESPONSIBILITIES

A. Oversight allowing autonomy. The Authorizer shall comply with the provisions of Charter School Law and the terms of this Certificate in a manner that does not unduly inhibit the autonomy of the School. The Authorizer's Role will be to evaluate the School's outcomes according to this Certificate and the Performance Framework rather than to establish the process by which the School achieves the outcomes sought.

B. Charter School Performance Framework. The Charter School Performance Framework ("Performance Framework") is attached and incorporated into this agreement as Appendix F. The Performance Framework shall be used to evaluate the School's academic, financial and operational performance, and shall supersede and replace any and all assessment measures, educational goals and objectives, financial operations metrics, and operational performance metrics set forth in the Charter and not explicitly incorporated into the Performance Framework. The specific terms, form and requirements of the Performance Framework, including any required indicators, measures, metrics, and targets, are determined by the Authorizer and will be binding on the School.

C. Authorizer to Monitor School Performance. The Authorizer shall monitor and report on the School's progress in relation to the indicators, measures, metrics and targets set out in the Performance Framework. The School shall be subject to a formal review of its academic, mission-specific, operational, and financial performance at least annually.

D. School Performance. The School shall achieve an accountability designation of *Good Standing* or *Honor* on each of the three sections of the Performance Framework. In the event the School is a party to a third party management contract which includes a deficit protection clause, the School shall be exempt from some or all measures within the financial portion of the Performance Framework. In accordance with Charter School Law, the Authorizer shall renew any charter in which the public charter school met all of the terms of its performance certificate at the time of renewal.

E. Performance Framework As Basis For Renewal of Charter. The School's performance in relation to the indicators, measures, metrics and targets set forth in the Academic and Mission-Specific, Operational and Financial sections of the Performance Framework shall provide the basis upon which the Authorizer will decide whether to renew the School's Charter at the end of the Certificate term. As part of the Performance

Framework, the Authorizer agrees to consider mission-specific, rigorous, valid, and reliable indicators of the School's performance. These negotiated indicators will be included in the Mission-Specific portion of the Academic and Mission Specific section of the Performance Framework.

- F. Authorizer's Right to Review.** The School will be subject to review of its academics, operations and finances by the Authorizer, including related policies, documents and records, when the Authorizer deems such review necessary. The Authorizer shall conduct its reviews in a manner that does not unduly inhibit the autonomy granted to the School.
- G. Site Visits.** In addition to the above procedures, the Charter School shall grant reasonable access to, and cooperate with, the Authorizer, its officers, employees and other agents, including allowing site visits by the Authorizer, its officers, employees, or other agents, for the purpose of allowing the Authorizer to fully evaluate the operations and performance of the School. The Authorizer may conduct a site visit at any time if the Authorizer has reasonable concern regarding the operations and performance of the School. The Authorizer will provide the School reasonable notice prior to its annual site visit to the School. The School shall have an opportunity to provide a written response to the site visit report no later than fourteen (14) days prior to the meeting at which the report is to be considered by the Authorizer. If no written response is provided, the School shall have the opportunity to respond orally to the site visit report at the meeting.
- H. Required Reports.** The School shall prepare and submit reports regarding its governance, operations, and/or finances according to the established policies of and upon the request of the Authorizer. However, to the extent possible, the Authorizer shall not request reports from the School that are otherwise available through student information systems or other data sources reasonably available to the Authorizer.

SECTION 5: SCHOOL OPERATIONS

- A. In General.** The School and the Charter Board shall operate at all times in accordance with all federal and state laws, local ordinances, regulations and Authorizer policies applicable to charter schools. Authorizer policies in effect for the duration of this Certificate are attached as Appendix G.
- B. Maximum Enrollment.** The maximum number of students who may be enrolled in the school shall be 278 students. The maximum number of students who may be enrolled per class/grade level shall be as follows:
 - Kindergarten- 24
 - 1st Grade- 28
 - 2nd-3rd Grade- 30
 - 4th-5th Grade- 32
 - 6th-8th Grade- 34
- C. Enrollment Policy.** The School shall make student recruitment, admissions,

enrollment and retention decisions in a nondiscriminatory manner and without regard to race, color, creed, national origin, sex, marital status, religion, ancestry, disability or need for special education services. In no event may the School limit admission based on race, ethnicity, national origin, disability, gender, income level, athletic ability, or proficiency in the English language. If there are more applications to enroll in the charter school than there are spaces available, the charter school shall select students to attend using a random selection process that shall be publicly noticed and open to the public. The School shall follow the enrollment policy approved by the Authorizer and incorporated into this agreement as Appendix H.

- D. School Facilities.** 8900 N. Horseshoe Bend Rd., Boise, Idaho 83714. The School shall provide reasonable notification to the Authorizer of any change in the location of its facilities.
- E. Attendance Area.** The School's primary attendance area is as follows: Residences within the following geographic boundaries: East of Eagle Rd., West of Glenwood or Gary Lane, South of Beacon Light, North of Chinden Blvd.
- F. Staff.** Instructional staff shall be certified teachers as provided by rule of the state board of education. All full-time staff members of the School will be covered by the public employee retirement system, federal social security, unemployment insurance, worker's compensation insurance, and health insurance.
- G. Alignment with All Applicable Law.** The School shall comply with all applicable federal and state laws, rules, and regulations. In the event any such laws, rules, or regulations are amended, the School shall be bound by any such amendment upon the effective date of said amendment.

SECTION 6: SCHOOL FINANCE

- A. General.** The School shall comply with all applicable financial and budget statutes, rules, regulations, and financial reporting requirements, as well as the requirements contained in the School Performance Framework incorporated into this contract as Appendix F.
- B. Financial Controls.** At all times, the Charter School shall maintain appropriate governance and managerial procedures and financial controls which procedures and controls shall include, but not be limited to: (1) commonly accepted accounting practices and the capacity to implement them (2) a checking account; (3) adequate payroll procedures; (4) procedures for the creation and review of monthly and quarterly financial reports, which procedures shall specifically identify the individual who will be responsible for preparing such financial reports in the following fiscal year; (5) internal control procedures for cash receipts, cash disbursements and purchases; and (6) maintenance of asset registers and financial procedures for grants in accordance with applicable state and federal law.
- C. Financial Audit.** The School shall submit audited financial statements from an

independent auditor to the Authorizer no later than October 15 of each year.

- D. Annual Budgets.** The School shall adopt a budget for each fiscal year, prior to the beginning of the fiscal year. The budget shall be in the Idaho Financial Accounting Reporting Management Systems (IFARMS) format and any other format as may be reasonably requested by the Authorizer.

SECTION 7: TERMINATION, NON-RENEWAL AND REVOCATION

- A. Termination by the School.** Should the School choose to terminate its Charter before the expiration of the Certificate, it may do so upon written notice to the Authorizer. Any school terminating its charter shall work with the Authorizer to ensure a smooth and orderly closure and transition for students and parents, as guided by the public charter school closure protocol established by the Authorizer attached as Appendix I.
- B. Nonrenewal.** The Authorizer may non-renew the Charter at the expiration of the Certificate if the School failed to meet one (1) or more of the terms of its Certificate. Any school which is not renewed shall work with the Authorizer to ensure a smooth and orderly closure and transition for students and parents, as guided by the public charter school closure protocol established by the Authorizer attached as Appendix I.
- C. Revocation.** The School's Charter may be revoked by the Authorizer if the School has failed to meet any of the specific, written renewal conditions attached, if applicable, as Appendix A for necessary improvements established pursuant to Idaho Code§ 33-5209B(1) by the dates specified. Revocation may not occur until the public charter school has been afforded a public hearing, unless the Authorizer determines that continued operation of the public charter school presents an imminent public safety issue. If the School's Charter is revoked, the School shall work with the Authorizer ensure a smooth and orderly closure and transition for students and parents, as guided by the public charter school closure protocol established by the Authorizer attached as Appendix I.
- D. Dissolution.** Upon termination of the Charter for any reason by the Charter Board, or upon nonrenewal or revocation, the Charter Board will supervise and have authority to conduct the winding up of the business and other affairs of the School; provided, however, that in doing so the Authorizer will not be responsible for and will not assume any liability incurred by the School. The Charter Board and School personnel shall cooperate fully with the winding up of the affairs of the School.
- E. Disposition of School's Assets upon Termination or Dissolution.** Upon termination of the Charter for any reason, any assets owned by the School shall be distributed in accordance with Charter Schools Law.


SECTION 8: MISCELLANEOUS

- A. No Employee or Agency Relationship.** None of the provisions of this Certificate will be construed to create a relationship of agency, representation, joint venture, ownership, or employment between the Authorizer and the School.
- B. Additional Services.** Except as may be expressly provided in this Certificate, as set forth in any subsequent written agreement between the School and the Authorizer, or as may be required by law, neither the School nor the Authorizer shall be entitled to the use of or access to the services, supplies, or facilities of the other.
- C. No Third-Party Beneficiary.** This Certificate shall not create any rights in any third parties, nor shall any third party be entitled to enforce any rights or obligations that may be possessed by either party to this Certificate.
- D. Amendment.** This Certificate may be amended by agreement between the School and the Authorizer in accordance with Authorizer policy, attached as Appendix G. All amendments must be in writing and signed by the School and the Authorizer.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Authorizer and the School have executed this Performance Certificate to be effective October 10, 2013.



Chairman, Idaho Public Charter School Commission



Chairman, Rolling Hills Public Charter School Board

Appendix A: Conditions of Authorization/Renewal
Appendix B: Charter
Appendix C: Pre-Opening Requirements
Appendix D: Articles of Incorporation and Bylaws
Appendix E: Board Roster
Appendix F: School Performance Framework
Appendix G: Authorizer Policies
Appendix H: Enrollment Policy
Appendix I: Public Charter School Closure Protocol

The Performance Certificate Appendices are excluded from this document due to their substantial length. However, they are available upon request from the PCSC office.

EXHIBIT K – Application for Charter Renewal

Because RHPCS qualified for automatic renewal, no Application for Charter Renewal was required.

AUXILIARY DATA SUBMITTED BY SCHOOL

The renewal process included an optional opportunity for schools to submit auxiliary performance data of which the PCSC may not otherwise be aware. Schools were invited to make their case for renewal by providing academic, mission-specific, operational, or financial information that was not already captured by the performance framework.

In March of the pre-renewal year, PCSC staff discussed with each school's leadership the kinds of auxiliary data that would be particularly helpful for that individual school. The Renewal Guidance and Application document provided instructions and examples to assist schools in submitting meaningful data.

RHPCS chose not to submit auxiliary data.